Powerlink 2022-27 Revenue Proposal

AER Public Forum 8 April 2021

Powerlink Customer Panel

Agenda

- Introduction to Powerlink Customer Panel
- Timeline of Customer Panel Involvement
- Customer Panel Statement on Engagement
- Assessing 'Capable of Acceptance'
- Opportunities for improving Powerlink's engagement
- Next Steps

Introduction to Powerlink Customer Panel (CP) and Revenue Proposal Reference Group (RPRG)

- RPRG established as a subset of the CP to engage more intensively and deeply on key aspects of the Revenue Proposal and report back to the wider CP
- These comments are presented on behalf of CP members acting as individuals, not as representatives of their respective organisations

| CP members | CP and also RPRG members |
|--|---|
| Aurizon | Council on the Ageing (COTA)* |
| BHP Mitsubishi Alliance (BMA) | CS Energy* |
| Commonwealth Scientific and Industrial Research Organisation (CSIRO) | Energy Users Association of Australia (EUAA)* |
| Energy Consumers Australia (ECA) (up to August 2020) | Queensland Farmers' Federation (QFF)* |
| Edify Energy | Shell* |
| Energy Queensland | |
| Queensland Resources Council (QRC) | |
| St Vincent de Paul | |

Timeline of Customer Panel Involvement

- Commenced with an engagement co-design workshop in May 2019
- 6 CP meetings, 10 RPRG meetings and 1 deep dive between mid 2019 and end 2020
- 2 CP meetings without Powerlink representatives present:
 - October 2020 to discuss the draft Revenue Proposal
 - December 2020 to discuss Powerlink's engagement performance, and 'Capable of Acceptance'
- Prepared joint CP submission to the draft Revenue Proposal
- Prepared Customer Panel Statement on Engagement which accompanied the Revenue Proposal in January 2021

Customer Panel Statement on Engagement

- Powerlink asked the CP to 'provide an assessment of their engagement approach and whether the Revenue Proposal is capable of acceptance'
- Our response:
 - Unanimous view that Powerlink's engagement has been genuine, transparent, consistent and deep
 - Observed consistent, high-level efforts by Powerlink staff to engage meaningfully with us
 - We believe we have influenced the Revenue Proposal. Specific examples: co-design workshop, business narrative, draft plan, change in depreciation, capex/opex proposals
 - The majority of Panel members consider the Proposal to be reasonable, although some are less comfortable due to specific concerns or relative lack of prior experience
 - No issues which are still 'on the table', unlikely to be any surprises.

Assessing Capable of Acceptance

- Panel members were unsure of what 'Capable of Acceptance' means or implies, and called for a clearer definition up front, while recognising that it continues to be an evolving concept
- Some Panel members felt that they don't have the skills, grounding or organisational delegation to make such an assessment. Suggestion that the concept could have been explored earlier.
- Some sense that Powerlink was trying to push the CP to commit to a 'Capable of Acceptance' statement, perhaps unnecessarily zealous
- Suggestion that we need to see the final proposal, or even the AER Draft Decision before considering this question.
- There was a view that the Panel might be able to provide a statement that the Proposal is 'Capable of Acceptance' subject to some conditions being met or clarified.
- Panel members reviewed the AER Framework for Considering Consumer
 Engagement criteria (Table 7), and suggested additional criteria for application as part of its ongoing evolution

Opportunities for Improving Powerlink's Engagement

- More diversity on the Customer Panel, as well as some succession planning for Panel members
- Extending the breadth of engagement beyond the Panel, particularly to regional customers – eg evidence and insights from engagement with local councils, landholders, smaller businesses
- Generic education modules or sessions

Next Steps

- Customer Panel will be meeting without Powerlink representatives present to:
 - Share views on specific aspects the Revenue Proposal
 - Further consider our position on 'Capable of Acceptance'

