What Concerns Us

What I'm here for:

- Highlight concerns with the apparent tampering with established principles (accounting, tax, SORP, etc, other decisions, established practices)
- In particular, what appears to be arbitrary and inappropriate classification of refurbishment costs between Opex & Capex

What I'm not here for:

 to debate the quantum of \$ in Electranet here to urge the ACCC to follow sound, established principles.

What is Refurbishment?

- Expenditure associated with the overhaul of aged assets
- There is "refurbishment" that is Capex upgrading or extending life, eg. replacing an aged transmission line
- There is "refurbishment" that is Opex does NOT extend life of asset or upgrade capacity; eg.replacing components to achieve the design life and capacity - note some "real life" examples



Sticking to Principles

- This distinction is clearly consistent with Australian accounting standards
- ACCC got it right in the Powerlink determination - and both the ACCC and its consultants concluded that Powerlink's split between opex and capex was correct and consistent



It is important that:

- ACCC is seen to apply its principles consistently across all decisions
- ACCC does not deviate from Accounting Standards and accepted practices

ACCC should not sacrifice the consistent application of established principles on the altar of expediency

