

**From:** Pabudgie [<mailto:pabudgie@aol.com>]  
**Sent:** Wednesday, 24 September 2014 6:00 PM  
**To:** AMI Charges2015  
**Cc:** [russell.northe@parliament.vic.gov.au](mailto:russell.northe@parliament.vic.gov.au)  
**Subject:** Additional charges for manual read of meters.

Submission part 1

1. I have a smart meter installed
2. According to my account my meter is being read manually and I am being required to provide access over a five day period when the reading is due.
3. The conditions the meter operates in have not been changed since its installation.

The questions are then that should be clarified are.

1. Am I to be charged for a manual read as well as the meter costs?
2. Are the meters capable of carrying out their function in all the conditions in which they are being installed?
3. Is the cost of the installation of the meter system to be borne by the consumer when it is dysfunctional?
4. Has the existing meter reading cost been factored into the cost of the smart meter or into the cost of the new meter read for a manual meter?

Submission Part 2.

The meters have been installed with the understanding from ARPANSA that they function safely in relation to health effects on the population.

This understanding or approval of the meters was given some years back.

ARPANSA as late as Dec 2013 were investigating the number of energy pulses associated with the operation of a meter and the "Mesh" system and established that at least 15,000 energy pulses a day were involved, whereas their approval is based on 6 plus.

They have been informed of this situation and the how and why of the energy pulses causing illnesses and cancers in the years to come and that the problem will apply to everybody exposed.

They are stubbornly sticking to their recommendation despite it being based on unscientific empirical data, to which they admit.

A USA law firm are becoming entrenched in a law suits against the manufactures of mobile cell phones, due to the premise they emit Low Frequency energy pulses, causing cancer, despite their restricted use as compared to that of the smart meters that when functioning, emit LF radiations 24 hours per day, creating a far more dangerous situation.

The law firm of high repute are looking to sue for billions of dollars, despite their case being based on "what" is happening.

Objections to smart meters far outweigh those against the phones with illnesses occurring throughout the world and these objections are also based on "what" is occurring.

This situation however is about to change as I am forwarding to them papers based on scientific data, physics phenomena and engineering principles that when put together spell out the "how" and "why" of cancers occurring as a result of exposure to LF radiations.

ARPANSA are aware of this situation and have not refuted it over quite a long period.

The scientific basis for this conclusion is available to everybody who cares to look and as a consequence it is now not possible to deny knowledge of the problem as a defense.

The situation is such however that no government authority or organisation is deemed with or accepts responsibility for the health problems of those exposed.

A fair conclusion then would be that ignoring the problem is verging on a criminal act as the population is about to suffer, as previously stated, illnesses and cancer that inevitably will result in avoidable deaths.

Perhaps a reasonable conclusion then is that all of those individuals in responsible positions that are involved in ignoring the situation will bear some culpability.