



Transend Revenue Proposal

AER Public Forum – Hobart



Contents

- General comments
- Current regulatory period
 - Contingent capital expenditure
 - Regulated asset base
 - Operating costs
- Next Regulatory Period
 - Regulated asset base
 - Operating costs
 - Land and easements
 - Financing costs
- Other issues
 - Attribution of benefits
 - Scope of services



General comments

- The Australian economy is at close to full employment
 - Electricity industry labour is in short supply
 - Commodity prices – feeding into capital prices are at unprecedented levels
- Extra caution should be applied in making long dated capital investments
 - Recent history indicates projects likely to suffer upwards price pressure
 - Only investments that have obvious returns should go ahead
 - Marginal investments should be deferred



Current regulatory period

Contingent capital expenditure

- \$451.5 million (forecast) Capital expended
 - 25% more than approved
 - Waddamana-Lindisfarne line delayed
 - \$309.9 million on renewal – 50% above approval – difficult to understand increased need after 2003 approval
- Recommend AER
 - Closely assess delay in Waddamana-Lindisfarne
 - Was GEIP standard met in terms of project and cost management?
 - Unavoidable costs claimed were prudent?
 - No diversion of capital to other projects?
 - Renewal projects
 - What changed requiring very large increase in renewal?
 - Prudency test?



Regulated asset base

- Proposed RAB increase \$603.6 M to \$987.3 M
 - Delayed Waddamana-Lindisfarne line
 - ~ \$120 M in next regulatory period
 - Includes \$309.9 M renewal
 - Redeveloped six substations, replaced various large transformers, replaced high voltage switchgear, replaced Norwood-Scottsdale-Derby line and Creek Road-Risdon conductor.
 - Economic depreciation - \$83.5 M
- Recommend AER
 - Consider writing down renewal projects where benefits not clear
 - closely review renewal program to ensure replaced assets written off

Controllable operating costs

- Proposed increases in revenue
 - compensating uplift of 9.1% in revenue to cover current losses
 - Another proposed 5.5% to cover increased activity – some operating
 - Argue for 7.5% compound increase over 5.5 years (after inflation)
- Renewal program should be reducing operating cost pressures
- Applying GEIP and prudent operator test to scope increase
 - Transend expected to be doing these things
- Recommend AER
 - Carefully review claimed scope changes
 - Seek operating cost efficiency dividend from renewal program
 - Reject operating cost uplift



Next Regulatory Period



Load forecast

- Load forecast – 147 MW over period 2008-2014
 - 2.09% compound increase
- Grid Vision 2030 various scenarios
 - BAU (no carbon) – > 300 MW (2010-2020)
 - Carbon constraint – < 130 MW (2010-2020)
- Transend median forecast appears not to include carbon constraint
- Recent ACIL Tasman general equilibrium analysis
 - Carbon price of \$45/tonne – 12% reduction in energy usage
- Base case should include significant carbon constraint
- Carbon is already in forward prices
- Tasmania faces specific TEI risk
- Recommend AER
 - Require Transend to replace load forecast with carbon constrained forecast



Regulated asset base

- Propose to spend \$680.7 M in next period
 - Increase in RAB at end of period (63.5%)
 - Includes \$226.6 M in asset renewal
 - Includes \$121.8 M for connections
 - Seven new connection points??
 - Economic depreciation - \$132.5 M
 - Most asset life in excess of 40 years
- Asset renewal over 10.5 years is 85% of 2004 RAB.
 - Aversch-Johnson effect?
 - Questionable scope for renewal and augmentation
- Recommend AER
 - Closely review capital expenditure and remove unwarranted proposals and marginal proposals

Controllable operating costs

- Seeking real increase by 2013-14 of \$7.4 M pa
 - 3.7% real increase per annum over period
 - 11% real increase per annum when factor in claim to recover efficient costs
- Unitised labour and material costs are increasing
- Claims for scope increases are unconvincing
- Benefits from recent and planned renewal?
- Recommend AER
 - Carefully review claimed scope changes
 - Seek operating cost efficiency dividend from renewal program



Land and easements

- \$20.9 M proposed for land and easements
 - Unclear as to the purpose
- Alternative acquisition strategies should be considered
 - Defer land acquisition through use of options
 - Dual use arrangements to reduce acquisition costs
- Recommend AER
 - Require use of option strategies to defer acquisition
 - Require use of dual use arrangements to lower costs



Financing costs

- Claim for equity and debt financing costs
- These costs would normally be part of WACC consideration
- Locked in equity beta of 1 and BBB+ debt risk premiums
- Transend does not raise equity through the market
 - Equity raising costs not warranted – looks like a tax
- Debt raising costs should be closely looked at
 - Possibly cover reasonable actual outlays
- Recommend AER
 - Disallow equity raising costs
 - Only allow debt raising costs where demonstrated cost occurs



Other issues



Attribution of benefits

- Transend attribute shaping of transmission system to Tasmanian hydro generation
 - Hydro plant have substantial influence on configuration and operating conditions
- Implication that significant portion of transmission system for benefit of hydro plant
 - Should be reflected in prescribed transmission system
 - Should be reflected in pricing methodology
- AER recommended to
 - Review prescribed transmission system
 - Review pricing methodology



Scope of services

- Transend claim unique operating environment
 - Transmission assets
 - Sub-transmission assets down to 6.6kV
- Rationale not clear for operating sub-transmission
 - Not part of meshed network
 - Benefit only to local transmission customer
- Recommend AER ensure
 - Sub-transmission costs not included in general TUOS charges
 - Revenues associated with sub-transmission charged to localised transmission customer