

# Technology Document ICT Program Brief Cyber Security

2023-27 Transmission Revenue Reset

PUBLIC

Submitted: 29 October 2020



#### **Table of Contents**

1	Doc	ument Background	3		
	1.1	Purpose of this document	3		
	1.2	References	3		
	1.3	Document History	3		
	1.4	Approvals	3		
2	Exec	cutive summary	4		
	2.1	Program summary	4		
3	Con	text	8		
	3.1	Background			
	3.2	Increasing threats	9		
	3.3	Existing and emerging regulatory obligations	9		
	3.4	Objective(s)			
	3.5	Customer drivers	10		
	3.6	Business drivers	11		
	3.7	Approach to developing expenditure forecast			
4	Opti	13			
	4.1	Overview			
	4.2	Option #1 [C-I-C]			
	4.3	Option #2 <mark>[C-I-C]</mark>			
	4.4	Option #3 <mark>[C-I-C]</mark>	22		
5	Ass	essment and recommended option	26		
	5.1	Assessment of the options			
	5.2	NPV analysis			
	5.3	Sensitivity Analysis of Benefits	27		
	5.4	Recommended option			
6	Atta	chment – Risks level matrix	31		
7	AES-CSF domains and practices				

# 1 Document Background

#### 1.1 Purpose of this document

The purpose of this document is to outline a business case for a proposed program of work that will form part of AusNet Services' Technology TRR submission.

#### 1.2 References

Document	Version	Author
Digital Utility Strategy	V1.3	S Scanlon
FY21 Electricity Transmission Business Plan	V0.3	A Hill
TRR Technology Strategy	V0.21	S Scanlon

#### 1.3 Document History

Date	Version	Comment	Author
21/10/2020	V1.0	Published	S Scanlon

#### 1.4 Approvals

Position	Date
Technology Leadership Team	

# 2 Executive summary

#### 2.1 Program summary

The table below provides a summary of the program discussed in this brief. Additional information is provided following the table and throughout the brief.

				l Oullina						
Key objective(s) of the program	<ul> <li>Ine ongoing objectives of cyber security at AusNet Services are to:</li> <li>[C-I-C]</li> <li>[C-I-C]</li> <li>[C-I-C]</li> <li>[C-I-C]</li> <li>[C-I-C].</li> </ul>									
Key benefits	<ul> <li>[C-I-C]</li> <li>[C-I-C]</li> <li>[C-I-C]</li> <li>[C-I-C]</li> </ul>									
Cost	Electricity Distribution		25%		Electricity	Transmi	ssion	on 63%		
allocation	Gas Distribution									
	Recurrent						$\boxtimes$			
Program type	Non-Recurrent									
.,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	Client Devices									
Program timings	Program duration	n:	5 year	ſS						
	(\$m)	F	Y23	FY24	FY25	FY26	F	Y27	Total	
	Сарех	[C	<mark>-I-C]</mark>	[C-I-C]	[C-I-C]	[C-I-C	] [C	<mark>-I-C]</mark>	\$15.47	
Expenditure forecast	Opex (incl step change)	[C	C-I-C]	[C-I-C]	[C-I-C]	[C-I-C	] [C	<mark>-I-C]</mark>	\$31.05	
	Electricity Transmission Cost	[C	<mark>I-C</mark> ]	[C-I-C]	[C-I-C]	[C-I-C	] [C	<mark>-I-C]</mark>	\$46.52	
	Total program cost		C-I-C]	[C-I-C]	[C-I-C]	[C-I-C	] [C	- <mark>I-C]</mark>	\$74.39	
Estimated life of system	[ <mark>C-I-C]</mark>						1			

#### Table 2-1 Summary table



[C-I-C]

[C-I-C]

[C-I-C]

# [C-I-C]

The recommended budget will enable AusNet Services to:

•	[C-I-C]
•	[C-I-C]

• [C-I-C]

[C-I-C]

#### [C-I-C]



#### Alignment with the AER ICT expenditure assessment framework

In accordance with the framework outlined in the AER – Guidance Note – Non-network ICT capex assessment approach for electricity distributors (28 November 2019), we have categorised 45% of this program as recurrent expenditure, on the basis that it relates to a compliance requirement, and that an ongoing refresh of AusNet Services' cyber security infrastructure is a cost that must be incurred periodically to comply with regulatory requirements.

Consistent with AusNet Services' internal practices, we have developed this detailed business case. We have also undertaken an NPV analysis for the non-recurrent proportion to weigh the costs and benefits of each option to address the need for investment in order to determine the chosen option.

#### 3 Context

This chapter provides an overview of the context in which this program of work is operating, and the figure below outlines four key areas to be discussed.



#### Figure 3-1 Key areas of the context to be discussed

#### 3.1 Background

#### [C-I-C]

- [C-I-C][C-I-C]
- [C-I-C]
- [C-I-C]
- [C-I-C]
- [C-I-C]

#### [C-I-C]

#### [<mark>C-I-C]</mark>

#### [C-I-C]:

- [C-I-C]

3.2 Increasing threats

[C-I-C]

3.3 Existing and emerging regulatory obligations

[C-I-C]

#### [C-I-C]

3.4 Objective(s)

By establishing [C-I-C] capabilities, we will:

- [C-I-C]
- [C-I-C]
- [C-I-C]
- [C-I-C]
- [C-I-C]

#### 3.5 Customer drivers

Through customer research carried out by AusNet Services, a succinct list of key customer values and priorities were identified. These customer drivers are:

- delivering basic services deliver on the basics
- keeping customers informed keep me posted
- affordable services affordable for me
- adaptability be ready for the future
- safety always safe.

Additional information on each of these customer outcomes is provided in the overarching Technology TRR submission FY2023-2027.

This program of work proposed by AusNet Services is considered to be directly linked to all of these five customer outcomes, and materially affect the reliability and security of the operation of the transmission network.

[C-I-C].

We will further explore these customer drivers in the discussions of each of the options.

#### 3.6 Business drivers

In the face of significant industry disruption resulting in a period of substantial uncertainty and increasing complexity across the industry, AusNet Services has selected four key business drivers which set the direction for the business.

These business drivers are:

- **Maintaining current service performance** in a disrupted environment where risks are changing due to the increasingly complex nature of the grid.
- Updating and implementing **new technologies** to enable AusNet Services to respond to changes within the growing renewable generation market;
- Complying with new obligations; and
- Delivering improvements requested by our customers regarding sustainability and cost.

This program of work is considered to be essential to all four business drivers, through enhanced cyber protection capabilities that contribute to confidence in the business' ability to ensure security of supply. This enhanced security capability also enables the adoption of new and changing technologies. We will further explore this in the discussions of each of the options.

In addition, the following security-related drivers have also been identified for the program:

- [C-I-C]
- [C-I-C]
- [C-I-C]
- [C-I-C]
- [C-I-C]
- [C-I-C]

#### 3.7 Approach to developing expenditure forecast

For each program brief, a consistent approach is used to develop programs of work and the associated expenditure forecast for the regulatory period FY2023-2027.

A full overview of the approach can be found in section 3.2 of the "AusNet Services – Transmission Revenue Review – Technology Strategy Document".

To develop each program of work and associated expenditure, the following steps were taken:

- Needs analysis to identify areas of the network and business processes that require investment over the upcoming regulatory period,
- Bottom up discussion with business and technology architects and delivery leads to develop options to address the investment need, including scope, key objectives, and drivers influencing the requirement for the programs,
- Consideration of different options to achieve the objectives of the program and analysis of their relative costs, benefits and risks, and
- Top down view to ensure that the Technology Strategy investment portfolio represents prudent and efficient expenditure for the upcoming period, relative to AusNet Services' previous expenditure and also benchmarked against other comparable Transmission businesses.

# 4 **Options**

#### 4.1 Overview

This section provides an overview of the three options to address the requirement to meet MIL: 3. As mentioned in Section 3.3, these options represent a different approach and set of activities within each domain.

A brief overview of each of the options					
Option 1	[C-I-C]				
Option 2	[C-I-C]				
(Recommended)					
Option 3					

#### Table 4-1 Brief overview of the options

## 4.2 Option #1 [C-I-C]

[C-I-C]

#### Costs

#### [C-I-C]

#### Table 4-2 Costs of option 1

(\$m)	FY23	FY24	FY25	FY26	FY27	Total
Electricity transmission capex	[C-I-C]	[C-I-C]	[C-I-C]	[ <mark>C-I-C]</mark>	[ <mark>C-I-C]</mark>	\$9.32
Electricity transmission opex	[C-I-C]	[C-I-C]	[C-I-C]	[C-I-C]	[C-I-C]	\$1.37
Electricity transmission total cost	<mark>[C-I-C]</mark>	<mark>[C-I-C]</mark>	[C-I-C]	<mark>[C-I-C]</mark>	<mark>[C-I-C]</mark>	\$10.69
Total program cost	[C-I-C]	[C-I-C]	[C-I-C]	[C-I-C]	[C-I-C]	\$35.63

#### **Risks**

The below table outlines the various risks associated with each domain, ranked according to our risk matrix. See Figure 6-1 for additional information on this rating system. Overall, this option is rated high risk.

#### Table 4-3 Risks for option 1

	Domain	Risks	Consequence	Likelihood	Risk rating
R1	[C-I-C]	[C-I-C]	[C-I-C]	Likely	
					В
R2	[C-I-C]	[C-I-C]	[C-I-C]	Likely	
					в
R3	[C-I-C]	[C-I-C]	[C-I-C]	Likely	
					В
R4	[ <mark>C-I-C]</mark>	[C-I-C]	[C-I-C]	Likely	
					В
R5				Likoly	
				LINCIY	в
					D
R6	[C-I-C]	[C-I-C]		Likely	<b>D</b>
					В
R7	[C-I-C]	[C-I-C]		Likely	Р
					D

	Domain	Risks	Consequence	Likelihood	Risk rating
R8	[C-I-C]	[C-I-C]	[C-I-C]	Likely	В
R9	[C-I-C]	[C-I-C]	[C-I-C]	Likely	В
R10	[C-I-C]	[C-I-C]	[C-I-C]	Likely	В
R11	[C-I-C]	[C-I-C]	[C-I-C]	Likely	В

#### Alignment to objectives

We do not consider that this option will achieve the intended objectives of this program of work, as shown in the table below.

Objective		Comments
Protect the organisational assets, including information, applications, systems, networks and end user devices from internal and external threats.	×	[C-I-C].
Uplift capability maturity level to respond to increasing complexity and sophistication of the cyber security attacks	×	[C-I-C]
Demonstrate the adherence to current and emerging regulatory standards and guidelines	×	[C-I-C]

#### Table 4-4 Objectives analysis of option 1

#### Alignment to customer related drivers of expenditure

As discussed in Section 3.5, five key customer outcomes have been identified through discussions with customers. The table below highlights how this option will achieve these outcomes. Where we consider that a customer outcome is not directly achievable by the option or irrelevant, 'N/A' is applied.

Customer outcome		How this program achieves this
Deliver on the basics	X	[C-I-C]
Keep me posted	X	
Affordable for me	X	
Be ready for the future	X	
Always safe	X	[C-I-C]

#### Table 4-5 Customer related drivers of option 1

#### Alignment to Business related drivers of expenditure

As discussed in Section 3.6, there are four Transmission business drivers that AusNet Services has identified and is focusing on over the next regulatory period. The table below highlights how this option will input into the initiatives where relevant. Where we consider that a business driver is not directly relevant to the option, 'N/A' is applied.

#### Table 4-6 Business related drivers of option 1

Business drivers	How this program achieves this
Maintaining current service performance in a disrupted environment where risks are changing due to the increasingly complex nature of the grid	[C-I-C]
Updating and implementing new technologies to enable AusNet Services to respond to changes within the growing renewable generation market	[C-I-C]
Complying with new obligations	[C-I-C]
Delivering improvements requested by our customers regarding sustainability and cost	[C-I-C]

#### 4.3 Option #2 [C-I-C]

#### [C-I-C]

[C-I-C]

#### Alignment to objectives

We consider that this option achieves all the intended objectives of this program of work, as shown in the table below.

Objective		Comments
Protect organizational assets, including information, applications, systems, networks and end-user devices from internal and external threats	•	[C-I-C]
Uplift capability maturity level to respond to increasing complexity and sophistication of the cyber security attacks	~	[C-I-C]
Demonstrate the adherence to current and emerging regulatory standards and guidelines	~	[C-I-C]

#### Table 4-7 Objectives analysis of option 2

#### Costs

**Cyber Security** 

#### [C-I-C]

#### Table 4-8 Costs of option 2

(\$m)	FY23	FY24	FY25	FY26	FY27	Total
Capex	[ <mark>C-I-C]</mark>	[ <mark>C-I-C]</mark>	[ <mark>C-I-C</mark> ]	[ <mark>C-I-C]</mark>	[ <mark>C-I-C]</mark>	\$15.47
Opex (incl step change)	[ <mark>C-I-C]</mark>	[ <mark>C-I-C]</mark>	[ <mark>C-I-C]</mark>	[ <mark>C-I-C]</mark>	[ <mark>C-I-C]</mark>	\$31.05
Electricity transmission cost	[ <mark>C-I-C]</mark>	[ <mark>C-I-C]</mark>	[ <mark>C-I-C]</mark>	[ <mark>C-I-C]</mark>	[ <mark>C-I-C]</mark>	\$46.52
Total program cost	[ <mark>C-I-C]</mark>	[ <mark>C-I-C]</mark>	[ <mark>C-I-C]</mark>	[ <mark>C-I-C]</mark>	[ <mark>C-I-C]</mark>	\$74.39

#### [C-I-C]

#### **Benefits**

Due to the complexity and speed of change of varied cyber threats to national critical infrastructure, the probability and impact of these threats can be very hard to calculate. However, we have identified that the threat profile is increasing, and critical infrastructure is an important area to protect as referenced in the recent Critical Infrastructure Act in Australia. Main benefits of this option are summarised below:

#### [C-I-C]

#### **Risks**

There are risks associated with the implementation of this particular option, as highlighted in the table below. Based on the consequence and likelihood of each risk, we have rated each of the individual risks blue, green, yellow, orange or red (order of severity). See Figure 6-1 for additional information on this rating system.

#### [C-I-C]

### Table 4-9 Risks for option 2

	Domain	Risks	Consequence	Likelihood	Risk rating
R1	[C-I-C]	[C-I-C]		Unlikely	g
			[C-I-C]		С
R2	[C-I-C]	[C-I-C]		Unlikely	
			[C-I-C]		С
R3	[C-I-C]	[C-I-C]		Unlikely	
			[C-I-C]		D
D/				Liplikoly	
114				Onlineiy	
			[ <mark>C-I-C</mark> ]		С
R5	[C-I-C]	[C-I-C]		Unlikely	
			[C-I-C]		С
R6	[C-I-C]	[C-I-C]		Unlikely	
			[C-I-C]		D
R7	[C-I-C]	[C-I-C]		Unlikely	
			[C-I-C]		С
					Ũ
R8	C-I-C	[C-I-C]		Unlikely	
					0
					C
R9				Linikely	
				Ormittery	
			[C-I-C]		D
540					
R10	[C-I-C]			Unlikely	
			[C-I-C]		С
R11	[C-I-C]	[C-I-C]		Unlikely	
			[C-I-C]		D

#### Alignment to customer related drivers of expenditure

As discussed in Section 3.5, five key customer outcomes have been identified through discussions with customers. The table below highlights how this option will achieve these outcomes. Where we consider that a customer outcome is not directly achievable by the option or irrelevant, 'N/A' is applied.

Table 4-10	Customer	related	drivers of	option 2
------------	----------	---------	------------	----------

Customer outcome		How this program achieves this
Deliver on the basics	✓	[C-I-C]
Keep me posted	✓	[C-I-C]
Affordable for me	✓	[C-I-C]
Be ready for the future	1	[C-I-C]
Always safe	✓	[C-I-C]

#### Alignment to business related drivers of expenditure

As discussed in Section 3.6, there are four Transmission business drivers that AusNet Services has identified and is focussing on over the next regulatory period. The table below highlights how this option will input into the initiatives where relevant. Where we consider that a business driver is not directly relevant to the option, 'N/A' is applied.

Business drivers	How this program achieves this
Maintaining current service performance in a disrupted environment where risks are changing due to the increasingly complex nature of the grid	[C-I-C]
Updating and implementing new technologies to enable AusNet Services to respond to changes within the growing renewable generation market	[C-I-C]

#### Table 4-11 Business related drivers of option 2

Business drivers	How this program achieves this
Complying with new obligations	[C-I-C]
Delivering improvements requested by our customers regarding sustainability and cost	[C-I-C]

4.4 Option #3 [C-I-C]

[<mark>C-I-C]</mark>

.

#### Alignment to objectives

We consider that this option achieves all the intended objectives of this program of work, as shown in the table below, but is unlikely to do so in the required timeframes, and at greater cost and risk.

Objective		Comments
Protect the organisational assets, including information, applications, systems, networks and end user devices from internal and external threats.	>	[C-I-C]
Uplift capability maturity level to respond to increasing complexity and sophistication of the cyber security attacks and	*	[C-I-C]
Demonstrate the adherence to current and emerging regulatory standards and guidelines	~	[C-I-C]

#### Table 4-12 Objectives analysis of option 3

#### Costs

(\$m)	FY23	FY24	FY25	FY26	FY27	Total
Сарех	[C-I-C]	[C-I-C]	[C-I-C]	[C-I-C]	[C-I-C]	\$31.13
Opex (incl step change)	[C-I-C]	[C-I-C]	[C-I-C]	[C-I-C]	[C-I-C]	\$34.48
Electricity transmission cost	[C-I-C]	[C-I-C]	[C-I-C]	[C-I-C]	[C-I-C]	\$65.61
Total program cost	[ <mark>C-I-C</mark> ]	\$100.93				

#### Table 4-13 Costs of option 3

#### [<mark>C-I-C]</mark>

#### **Benefits**

Due to the complexity and speed of change of varied cyber threats to national critical infrastructure, the probability and impact of these threats can be very hard to calculate. However, we have identified that the threat profile is increasing, and critical infrastructure is an important area to protect as referenced in the recent Critical Infrastructure Act in Australia. Main benefits of this option are summarised below:

•	[C-I-C]
•	[C-I-C]
•	[C-I-C]
•	[C-I-C]

#### **Risks**

There are risks associated with the implementation of this particular option, as highlighted in the table below. Based on the consequence and likelihood of each risk, we have rated each of the individual risks blue, green, yellow, orange or red (order of severity). See Figure 6-1 for additional information on this rating system.

	Domain	Risks	Consequence	Likelihood	Risk rating
R1	[C-I-C]	[C-I-C]	[ <mark>C-I-C]</mark>	Unlikely	С
R2	[C-I-C]	[C-I-C]	[ <mark>C-I-C]</mark>	Unlikely	С
R3	[C-I-C]	[C-I-C]	[ <mark>C-I-C]</mark>	Unlikely	D
R4	[C-I-C]	[C-I-C]	[ <mark>C-I-C]</mark>	Unlikely	С
R5	[C-I-C]	[C-I-C]	[C-I-C]	Unlikely	С

#### Table 4-14 Risks of option 3

	Domain	Risks	Consequence	Likelihood	Risk rating
R6	[ <mark>C-I-C</mark> ]	[C-I-C]	[C-I-C]	Unlikely	D
R7	[C-I-C]	[C-I-C]	IC-I-CI	Unlikely	С
R8	[C-I-C]	[C-I-C]	IC-I-C]	Unlikely	C
					Ŭ
R9	[C-I-C]	[C-I-C]		Unlikely	П
					U
R10	[C-I-C]	[C-I-C]		Unlikely	C
					C
R11	[C-I-C]	[C-I-C]		Unlikely	
					U

We consider that overall this option is rated medium risk.

#### Alignment to customer related drivers of expenditure

As discussed in Section 3.5, five key customer outcomes have been identified through discussions with customers. The table below highlights how this option will achieve these outcomes. Where we consider that a customer outcome is not directly achievable by the option or irrelevant, 'N/A' is applied.

Customer outcome		How this program achieves this
Deliver on the basics	~	[C-I-C]
Keep me posted	~	[C-I-C]

Table 4-15	Customer	related	drivers	of o	ption	3

Customer outcome		How this program achieves this
Affordable for me	N/A	[C-I-C]
Be ready for the future	~	[C-I-C]
Always safe	~	[C-I-C]

#### Alignment to business related drivers of expenditure

As discussed in Section 3.6, there are four Transmission business drivers that AusNet Services has identified and is prioritising over the next regulatory period. The table below highlights how this option will input into the initiatives where relevant. Where we consider that a business driver is not directly relevant to the option, 'N/A' is applied.

Business drivers	How this program achieves this
Maintaining current service performance in a disrupted environment where risks are changing due to the increasingly complex nature of the grid;	[C-I-C]
Updating and implementing new technologies to enable AusNet Services to respond to changes within the growing renewable generation market;	[C-I-C]
Complying with new obligations	[C-I-C]
Delivering improvements requested by our customers regarding sustainability and cost.	[C-I-C]

#### Table 4-16 Business related drivers of option 3

### 5 Assessment and recommended option

#### 5.1 Assessment of the options

To identify a recommended option for this program of work, we have selected a number of criteria to assess each of the options. We consider that these criteria represent a comprehensive view of each option, in achieving AusNet Services' business and customer objectives as well as requirements of the AER in ensuring that any expenditure is both prudent and efficient.

The table below summarises our assessment of each of the options against the criteria. The box is highlighted in green where it is the highest scoring option.

	Option 1	Option 2	Option 3
Alignment to objectives	Does not achieve program objectives This option would result in non-compliance	Achieves all program objectives	Achieves all program objectives
Costs	\$10.69	\$46.52	\$65.61
Overall risk rating High		Medium	Medium
Alignment to customer related drivers of expenditure	No alignment (0/5)	High alignment (5/5)	High alignment (4/5)
Alignment to business related drivers of expenditure		High alignment (4/4)	High alignment (4/4)

#### Table 5-1 Summary table of the assessment of the options

Based on this assessment, Option 2 is the recommended option as it delivers the outcomes required, for the most prudent capital expenditure to meet the required outcomes.

#### 5.2 NPV analysis

As defined in the AER Consultation Paper – ICT Assessment Approach, the AER is refining its approach to ICT assessment, requiring a NPV where ICT expenditure is driven by the need to meet a regulatory obligation.

Table 5-2, below shows the NPV results and Option 2 having the more favourable NPV .

	Costs (NPV)	Benefit (NPV)	Net benefit (NPV)
Option 1	\$9.39	\$22.22	\$12.83
Option 2 *	\$40.88	\$72.76	\$31.88
Option 3	\$57.65	\$72.76	\$15.11

#### Table 5-2 NPV analysis (\$FY21m)

[C-I-C]

**Cyber Security** 

#### 5.3 Sensitivity Analysis of Benefits

When developing the NPV, we have considered variable assumptions to ensure that we have contemplated alternative scenarios relative to the benefits defined. This allowed us to establish a level of confidence in quantifying the benefits described.

Three levels of sensitivity were considered, they are:

- A *conservative* level, where some of the variables in the benefit calculations were halved from the values used in the NPV stated in this brief.
- A moderate level, which became the basis for the calculated NPV in this program brief
- A *bullish* level, where some of the variables in the benefit calculations were doubled from the values used in the NPV stated in this brief.

For example, the NPV sensitivity range for Option 2 is listed below.

- Conservative level NPV
- -\$3.23
- Moderate level NPV
- \$31.88 (recommended) \$102.41
- Bullish level NPV
- ISSUE V1.0 UNCONTROLLED WHEN PRINTED

#### 5.4 Recommended option

[C-I-C]

# Domains **Key Initiatives** Risks [C-I-C] [C-I-C]

#### Table 5-3 Confirmation of scope of recommended option

ISSUE V1.0 UNCONTROLLED WHEN PRINTED

Domain	S	Risks	Key Initiatives
[C-I-C]	[C-I-C]	[C-I-C]	
[C-I-C]	[C-I-C]	[C-I-C]	[C-I-C]
[C-I-C]	[C-I-C]	[C-I-C]	[C-I-C]
[C-I-C]	[C-I-C]	[C-I-C]	[C-I-C]
[C-I-C]	[C-I-C]	[C-I-C]	[C-I-C]

Domains		Risks	Key Initiatives
[C-I-C]	[C-I-C]	[C-I-C]	[C-I-C]
[C-I-C]	[C-I-C]	[C-I-C]	[C-I-C]

# 6 Attachment – Risks level matrix

The figure below shows the risk level matrix to which we have assessed each of the risks within the options. Risks of highest concern are rated red, whereas those of lowest concern are rated blue.

		Consequence				
		1	2	3	4	5
L	Almost Certain	с	с	В	A	A
k e	Likely	D	с	в	В	A
l i h	Possible	E	D	с	в	A
o o d	Unlikely	E	D	D	с	В
	Rare	E	E	D	с	с

Consequence Rating		
5	Catastrophic	
4	Major	
3	Moderate	
2	Minor	
1	Insignificant	

Overall Risk Rating		
	Extreme	
В	High	
С	Medium	
D	Low	
Е	Very Low	

# 7 AES-CSF domains and practices

Domains		AES-CSF Practices
RM	Risk Management	<ul><li>Establish Cybersecurity Risk Management Strategy</li><li>Manage Cybersecurity Risk</li></ul>
ACM	Asset, Change, and Configuration Management	<ul> <li>Manage Asset Inventory</li> <li>Manage Asset Configuration</li> <li>Manage Changes to Assets</li> </ul>
IAM	Identity and Access Management	<ul><li>Establish and Maintain Identities</li><li>Control Access</li></ul>
TVM	Threat and Vulnerability Management	<ul><li>Identify and Respond to Threats</li><li>Reduce Cybersecurity Vulnerabilities</li></ul>
SA	Situational Awareness	<ul> <li>Perform Logging</li> <li>Perform Monitoring</li> <li>Establish and Maintain a Common Operating Picture</li> </ul>
ISC	Information Sharing and Communications	Share Cybersecurity Information
IR	Event and Incident Response, Continuity of Operations	<ul> <li>Detect Cybersecurity Events</li> <li>Escalate Cybersecurity Events and Declare Incidents</li> <li>Respond to Incidents and Escalated Cybersecurity Events</li> <li>Plan for Continuity</li> </ul>
EDM	Supply Chain and External Dependencies Management	<ul><li>Identify Dependencies</li><li>Manage Dependency Risk</li></ul>
WM	Workforce Management	<ul> <li>Assign Cybersecurity Responsibilities</li> <li>Control the Workforce Life Cycle</li> <li>Develop Cybersecurity Workforce</li> <li>Increase Cybersecurity Awareness</li> </ul>
СРМ	Cybersecurity Program Management	<ul> <li>Establish Cybersecurity Program Strategy</li> <li>Sponsor Cybersecurity Program</li> <li>Establish and Maintain Cybersecurity Architecture</li> <li>Perform Secure Software Development</li> </ul>
APM	Australian Privacy Management	<ul> <li>Focuses on matters that intersect with or provide cyber security maturity.</li> <li>Leverage the Australian Privacy Principles and the office of the Australian Information Commissioner. Privacy related elements of the international standard.</li> </ul>