

# Final decision

Multinet Gas Networks  
Gas distribution access arrangement  
1 July 2023 to 30 June 2028

Attachment 4 – Regulatory depreciation

June 2023

© Commonwealth of Australia 2023

This work is copyright. In addition to any use permitted under the *Copyright Act 1968* all material contained within this work is provided under a Creative Commons Attributions 3.0 Australia licence with the exception of:

- the Commonwealth Coat of Arms
- the ACCC and AER logos
- any illustration diagram, photograph or graphic over which the Australian Competition and Consumer Commission does not hold copyright but which may be part of or contained within this publication.

The details of the relevant licence conditions are available on the Creative Commons website as is the full legal code for the CC BY 3.0 AU licence.

Inquiries about this publication should be addressed to:

Australian Energy Regulator  
GPO Box 3131  
Canberra ACT 2601  
Tel: 1300 585 165

AER reference: 212594

#### **Amendment record**

<b>Version</b>	<b>Date</b>	<b>Pages</b>
1	2 June 2023	13

## Contents

<b>4</b>	<b>Regulatory depreciation</b> .....	<b>4</b>
4.1	Final decision.....	4
4.2	MGN’s revised proposal.....	5
4.3	Assessment approach .....	6
4.4	Reasons for final decision.....	6
	<b>Glossary</b> .....	<b>13</b>

## 4 Regulatory depreciation

Depreciation is a method used in our determination to allocate the cost of an asset over its useful life. It is the amount provided so capital investors recover their investment over the economic life of the asset (otherwise referred to as ‘return of capital’). When determining the total revenue for Multinet Gas Networks (MGN), we include an amount for the depreciation of the projected capital base.<sup>1</sup> Under the building block framework, regulatory depreciation consists of the net total of the straight-line depreciation less the indexation of the capital base.

This attachment outlines our final decision on MGN’s annual regulatory depreciation amount for the 2023–28 access arrangement period (2023–28 period). Our consideration of specific matters that affect the estimate of regulatory depreciation is also outlined in this attachment. These include:

- the standard asset lives for depreciating new assets associated with forecast capital expenditure (capex)
- year-by-year tracking approach to depreciating assets in the capital base
- proposed accelerated depreciation relating to uncertainty around the future of gas networks
- proposed accelerated depreciation relating to replacement of low-pressure mains and services.

### 4.1 Final decision

We determine a regulatory depreciation amount of \$225.4 million (\$ nominal) for MGN for the 2023–28 period. This represents a reduction of \$5.1 million (2.2%) from MGN’s revised proposed regulatory depreciation amount of \$230.5 million (\$ nominal). Our final decision reflects the following key amendments:

- we do not accept MGN’s revised proposed accelerated depreciation<sup>2</sup> of \$86 million (\$2022–23), and instead we approve a lower amount of \$53 million
- we adopt a lower expected inflation rate for the 2023–28 period, which reduces the adjustment for indexation of the capital base that is offset against straight-line depreciation in determining regulatory depreciation.

Table 4.1 sets out our final decision on MGN’s regulatory depreciation amount over the 2023–28 period.

---

<sup>1</sup> NGR, r. 76(b).

<sup>2</sup> This accelerated depreciation relates to future of gas uncertainty and the risk of network stranding.

**Table 4.1 AER’s final decision on MGN’s forecast depreciation for the 2023–28 period (\$ million, nominal)**

	2023–24	2024–25	2025–26	2026–27	2027–28	Total
Straight-line depreciation	81.9	83.8	93.0	99.8	104.6	463.1
<i>Less: Indexation of capital base</i>	41.3	44.3	48.0	50.7	53.5	237.8
<b>Regulatory depreciation</b>	<b>40.6</b>	<b>39.5</b>	<b>45.0</b>	<b>49.1</b>	<b>51.1</b>	<b>225.4</b>

Source: AER analysis.

The regulatory depreciation amount is the net total of the straight-line depreciation less the inflation indexation of the capital base.

MGN’s straight-line depreciation is impacted by our decisions on accelerated depreciation (sections 4.4.2 and 4.4.3), its opening capital base as at 1 July 2023 (Attachment 2), forecast capex (Attachment 5) and standard asset lives (section 4.4.3). Our final decision straight-line depreciation for MGN is \$42.1 million (\$ nominal) lower than MGN’s revised proposal. This is largely driven by our decision to reduce the amount of accelerated depreciation.

The indexation of the capital base is impacted by our decision on MGN’s accelerated depreciation, its opening capital base (Attachment 2), forecast capex (Attachment 5) and the expected inflation rate (Attachment 3).<sup>3</sup> Our final decision indexation on MGN’s projected capital base is \$37.0 million lower than proposed by MGN. This is largely because of the lower expected inflation rate of 2.92% per annum for the 2023–28 period compared to 3.37% per annum in MGN’s revised proposal.

The reduction to straight-line depreciation (due to lower accelerated depreciation) has therefore more than offset the reduction in indexation of the capital base, resulting in a lower regulatory depreciation amount compared to the revised proposal.

## 4.2 MGN’s revised proposal

MGN proposed a revised total forecast regulatory depreciation amount of \$230.5 million (\$ nominal) for the 2023–28 period, as set out in Table 4.2.

**Table 4.2 MGN’s revised proposal regulatory depreciation amount for the 2023–28 period (\$ million, nominal)**

	2023–24	2024–25	2025–26	2026–27	2027–28	Total
Straight-line depreciation	89.0	91.5	101.4	108.9	114.5	505.3
<i>Less: indexation of capital base</i>	47.8	51.1	55.4	58.6	61.8	274.8

<sup>3</sup> Capex enters the capital base net of forecast disposals (and capital contributions where relevant). It includes equity raising costs (where relevant) and the half-year WACC to account for the timing assumptions in the AER’s PTRM. Our final decision on the capital base (Attachment 2) also reflects our updates to the WACC for the 2023–28 period.

<b>Regulatory depreciation</b>	<b>41.2</b>	<b>40.3</b>	<b>45.9</b>	<b>50.3</b>	<b>52.7</b>	<b>230.5</b>
--------------------------------	-------------	-------------	-------------	-------------	-------------	--------------

Source: MGN, *Revised proposal PTRM*, January 2023.

To calculate the depreciation amount, MGN used a similar approach to its initial proposal. It adopted the draft decision positions in relation to depreciation, with the exception that it maintained its proposed accelerated depreciation<sup>4</sup> of \$86 million, consistent with its September 2022 addendum.

### 4.3 Assessment approach

We have followed our assessment approach for regulatory depreciation from our draft decision. Attachment 4 (section 4.3) of our draft decision details that approach.<sup>5</sup>

### 4.4 Reasons for final decision

We accept MGN's proposed straight-line depreciation method for calculating the regulatory depreciation amount as set out in the post-tax revenue model (PTRM) and the year-by-year tracking approach to implement this method, subject to amending some inputs in the depreciation module. However, we have reduced MGN's revised proposed forecast regulatory depreciation to \$225.4 million (\$ nominal) for the 2023–28 period. This is \$5.1 million (2.2%) lower compared to MGN's revised proposal and is mainly due to our reduction to accelerated depreciation applied in this final decision. The reduction is partially offset by the lower expected inflation rate we have applied (Attachment 3).

Our assessment of MGN's continuation of the year-by-year tracking depreciation approach, accelerated depreciation, and its proposed standard asset lives are discussed in turn in the following subsections.

#### 4.4.1 Year-by-year tracking approach

For this final decision, we accept MGN's continuation of the year-by-year tracking approach in its revised proposal for calculating the depreciation schedule for its existing assets. This approach is consistent with MGN's initial proposal, our draft decision and the 2018–22 access arrangement period. As discussed in our draft decision, we consider this approach meets the requirements of the NGR.<sup>6</sup>

MGN has used our amended template depreciation tracking module to implement year-by-year tracking consistent with its initial proposal and our draft decision.<sup>7</sup>

<sup>4</sup> This accelerated depreciation relates to future of gas uncertainty and the risk of network stranding.

<sup>5</sup> AER, *Draft Decision, Multinet Gas Services Networks, Access Arrangement 2023–2028, Attachment 4, Regulatory depreciation*, June 2022, pp. 4–10.

<sup>6</sup> AER, *Draft decision, Multinet Gas Networks Access Arrangement 2023–28, Attachment 4 – Regulatory Depreciation, December 2022*, p. 11.

<sup>7</sup> As discussed in the draft decision, we required the Victorian distributors to use this amended version of our template in their proposals since it allows for the half-year 2023 extension period. AER, *Draft decision, Multinet Gas Networks Access Arrangement 2023–28, Attachment 4 – Regulatory Depreciation, December 2022*, p. 5.

In our draft decision, we made some amendments to MGN's proposed depreciation tracking module.<sup>8</sup> MGN's revised proposal adopted all of our draft decision changes except that it updated the final year asset adjustments to be consistent with the roll forward model (RFM) to reflect its revised proposed accelerated depreciation.

To reflect our decision on accelerated depreciation as discussed in section 4.4.2, we have amended the final year asset adjustments for the 'Transmission and distribution' and 'Future of gas' asset classes.

#### **4.4.2 Accelerated depreciation for future of gas and risk of network stranding**

For this final decision, we do not accept MGN's revised proposed accelerated depreciation of \$86 million (\$2022–23) associated with future of gas uncertainty and the risk of network stranding. We instead determine a reduced amount of \$53 million. Our reasons for this decision are discussed below.

##### **4.4.2.1 Case for accelerated depreciation**

For this final decision, we confirm our draft decision position that there is a case for accelerated depreciation relating to the uncertain future for gas networks in Victoria. In accepting some accelerated depreciation for MGN, we recognise that the publication of the *Gas Substitution Roadmap* indicates that the Victorian Government is committed to the net zero emissions target by 2050.<sup>9</sup> This will likely mean a limited role for natural gas beyond this date. The 2023 *Gas Statement of Opportunities* (GSOO) shows a material decline in gas volumes over the next 20 years under the most likely scenario. There is also considerable uncertainty around likely medium to long term forecast volumes of customer abolishments. Further, the future role for hydrogen and other renewable gases is also uncertain at this time.

We consider the case for accelerated depreciation is also supported by the long term 'future of gas' modelling that MGN provided with its initial proposal and September 2022 addendum. While MGN did not provide updated future of gas models with the revised proposal, its proposed \$86 million (\$2022–23) of accelerated depreciation is consistent with the amount it modelled in the September 2022 addendum. As noted in the draft decision, this modelling shows that where stranding occurs, accelerated depreciation both in the 2023–28 period and subsequent periods generally extends the life of the network because the associated higher revenue and tariffs in the shorter term are not enough to strand the asset and this is followed by lower tariffs due to the reduction to the capital base.

Consistent with our draft decision we have considered the balance between accepting some accelerated depreciation and also price stability. This is also consistent with our 2021 information paper, *Regulating gas pipelines under uncertainty*, which stated:<sup>10</sup>

'... regulated depreciation or risk compensation cannot be adjusted without constraint to guarantee cost recovery for the regulated businesses. [The AER]

<sup>8</sup> AER, *Draft decision, Multinet Gas Networks Access Arrangement 2023–28, Attachment 4 – Regulatory Depreciation*, December 2022, p. 11.

<sup>9</sup> Victorian State Government, *Gas Substitution Roadmap*, July 2022.

<sup>10</sup> AER, *Information paper on regulating gas pipelines under uncertainty*, 15 November 2021, p. 29

must have regard to consumers' interest in having affordable and stable or reasonably predictable gas access prices to encourage their use of the gas infrastructure. Having said that, it is fair to note that regulated businesses also have an interest to maintain price affordability to avoid further decline in gas customer numbers.'

#### **4.4.2.2 Targeting a 'base' real price path of 1.5% per annum**

For the final decision, we have adjusted our draft decision approach to accelerated depreciation, by first excluding capital expenditure sharing scheme (CESS) and operating expenditure (opex) efficiency carryover mechanism (ECM) amounts from revenue when setting a base real price path constraint for determining the amount of accelerated depreciation. This 'base' real price path constraint is 1.5% per annum and applies to all 3 Victorian gas distributors.

In the draft decision, as a placeholder:

- we set a limit on accelerated depreciation such that a 0% per annum real price change was achieved over the 2023–28 period
- we noted that the 0% per annum value could change for the final decision.

We consider that our amended approach for the final decision addresses a concern submitted by AusNet Gas Services (AusNet) in its revised proposal that our draft decision approach did not appropriately consider incentive schemes. AusNet submitted that under our draft decision approach, any 2023–28 (CESS and ECM) revenue adjustment rewards (or penalties) for 2018–22 expenditure were effectively negated by a corresponding reduction (or increase) to accelerated depreciation.

To address this, our final decision approach excludes these revenue adjustments when determining the allowed accelerated depreciation. We consider this adjustment is appropriate as it preserves the intended objectives of the CESS and ECM schemes applying to expenditures in the 2018–22 period. Under these incentive schemes, networks are rewarded for efficient expenditure and penalised for inefficient expenditure. Our amended approach for accelerated depreciation is therefore to:

- Set a base real price path constraint of 1.5% per annum for all 3 Victorian gas distributors which excludes the impact of the 2023–28 revenue adjustments for CESS and ECM. The accelerated depreciation allowance is calculated using this base price path constraint.
- Add back in the 2023–28 revenue adjustments relating to the CESS and ECM to adjust the building block revenue allowance and resmooth for the resulting real price path (which is therefore different from the base price path constraint).

For MGN, this approach results in accelerated depreciation of \$53 million (\$2022–23).

##### **4.4.2.2.1 Increased price path constraint relative to the draft decision**

Our final decision base price path constraint of 1.5% per annum is higher than the 0% per annum real price path constraint we set in the draft decision. It reflects the respective updates since the draft decision including for opex (abolishments), forecast demand, weighted average cost of capital (WACC) and expected inflation. It also reflects that in the



engagement subsequent to the draft decision, some consumers and stakeholders expressed a willingness to pay a higher price now if it would mitigate the risk of price increases in the long-term. The Energy Users' Association of Australia also submitted that modest real increases to network charges from accelerated depreciation would be appropriate.

In their submissions, stakeholders were mostly supportive of the draft decision price path constraint approach and they acknowledged the impact of uncertainty of future demand in assessing accelerated depreciation. Several raised concerns about increasing the draft decision price path target of 0% per annum in the face of sustained rising cost of living stresses.

MGN also submitted various concerns about both the reasoning and the implementation of our draft decision approach as discussed in section 4.4.2.2.2.

Having carefully assessed the material before us, we consider our final decision approach achieves a balance between what consumers pay now to mitigate future price increases, and the risk of greater price increases in the future if mitigation is delayed. While we acknowledge stakeholders' concerns around affordability, we consider that on balance it is appropriate to increase the price path constraint above the 0% per annum set in the draft decision to reflect updated inputs since the draft decision including for opex (abolishments), forecast demand, WACC and expected inflation. Setting the base real price path constraint at 1.5% per annum allows for an appropriate amount of accelerated depreciation for each distributor.

#### **4.4.2.2.2 MGN's submission on our draft decision approach**

MGN's revised proposal acknowledged the importance of price stability but considered our draft decision price path constraint approach did not best balance the needs of current and future customers. It commissioned Incenta Economic Consulting (Incenta) to provide opinion on the degree to which MGN's long-term future of gas modelling scenarios promote consumer surplus and allocative efficiency. We consider the findings in Incenta's report support the case for accelerated depreciation.<sup>11</sup>

MGN submitted that long term price stability can be achieved without the need for the 'very tight' 0% per annum real price path constraint from our draft decision. It also submitted that for the accelerated depreciation and long-term future of gas modelling in its initial proposal (July 2022) and addendum (September 2022), the focus was to remove future price shocks by preventing a collapse in demand.<sup>12</sup> Further, MGN stated that if we were to apply a 0% per annum constraint over the longer term, there would not be sufficient flexibility to accommodate changes and resulting price impacts could precipitate stranding.

For the reasons discussed in section 4.4.2.2.1, our final decision is to apply a higher base real price path constraint than the draft decision constraint of 0% per annum. Further, as discussed in section 4.4.2.1, we acknowledge that MGN's long term future of gas modelling supports the case for the need for accelerated depreciation in the 2023–28 period. We also

---

<sup>11</sup> MGN, *Attachment 6.8 Incenta – Assessing the appropriate degree of depreciation advancement*, January 2023, pp. 1–2.

<sup>12</sup> MGN, *Revised proposal Attachment 6.7 Future of Gas Response to AER Draft Decision*, January 2023, p. 8.

note that in applying a 1.5% per annum constraint for this final decision we are not making a decision on the approach for subsequent access arrangement periods.

#### 4.4.2.3 Stakeholder submissions

There was a mixed range of views expressed by stakeholders on the issue of accelerated depreciation, which included submissions from Origin Energy (Origin), Energy Users' Association of Australia (EUAA), Brotherhood of St. Laurence (BSL), the AER's Consumer Challenge Panel (CCP28), AGL, Red/Lumo Energy, and a combined submission from BSL, St Vincent de Paul and Victorian Council of Social Service (VCOSS).

Retailers Origin, AGL and Red/Lumo Energy were supportive of the draft decision's 0% per annum real price path approach considering the uncertainty of demand in the future.<sup>13</sup>

Origin acknowledged stranding risk arising from the Victorian Gas Substitution Roadmap, and the difficulty for the networks and the AER in balancing the interests of networks and customers. Due to demand uncertainty, Origin submitted that accelerated depreciation is a matter of judgement.<sup>14</sup>

Origin and BSL stated that increases to gas tariffs in the short term would exacerbate the cost-of-living pressures for many customers and increase the incentive for customers to disconnect from the gas network, leaving those who remain with higher costs.<sup>15</sup>

The EUAA was more supportive of the networks' revised proposals and submitted that the proposed accelerated depreciation for AusNet and MGN will have a 'small influence' on the overall bill price compared to the impact of movements in wholesale prices.<sup>16</sup>

BSL remained concerned about the impact of the draft decision, as a whole, on energy costs for the 2023–28 period and beyond. It submitted that the risk of asset stranding means that our draft decision to accept accelerated depreciation is contradictory with the amount of forecast capex and opex that was accepted. It noted that since accelerated depreciation is still 'open' at the final decision stage, capex and opex should also similarly still be considered. It further submitted that accelerated depreciation should not be increased from the draft decision. Similar views were expressed in BSL's joint submission with St Vincent de

---

<sup>13</sup> Origin, *Submission to Victorian gas access arrangement draft decision and revised proposals*, 23 February 2023, p. 1. AGL, *Victorian Gas Distribution Access Arrangements 2023 - 2028: Draft Decisions and Revised Proposals*, 24 February 2023, pp. 1–2. Red Energy and Lumo Energy, *Revised proposals - Victorian Gas distributors Access Arrangement 2023-2028*, 23 February 2023, pp. 2–3.

<sup>14</sup> Origin, *Submission to Victorian gas access arrangement draft decision and revised proposals*, 23 February 2023, pp. 1.

<sup>15</sup> Origin, *Submission to Victorian gas access arrangement draft decision and revised proposals*, 23 February 2023, p. 1. Brotherhood of St Laurence, *2023-2028 Victorian gas distribution access arrangement, Draft decision and revised proposals*, February 2023, pp. 7, 8 & 11.

<sup>16</sup> The EUAA submitted this in the context that for AusNet and MGN, the revised proposed accelerated depreciation amounts were higher than those in our draft decision. For AGN the revised proposed accelerated depreciation is equal to that in our draft decision. The Energy Users' Association of Australia, *Submission - Victorian Gas Access Arrangement Proposal 2023-2028*, February 2023, pp. 2–3.

Paul and VCOSS. Discussion of our final decision capex and opex is contained in Attachments 5 and 6.<sup>17</sup>

#### 4.4.2.4 Consultation by the distributors

The Victorian gas distributors have undertaken extensive consumer engagement including on the topic of accelerated depreciation for the future of gas. This has included customer workshops, stakeholder roundtables, retailer reference groups and deep dives. While some stakeholders still hold concerns on accelerated depreciation, we consider aspects of the distributors' process are consistent with some of the expectations listed in our *Regulating gas pipelines under uncertainty* information paper including to “actively and meaningfully engage with their customers on the range of available options” and “that good consultation will involve a range of scenarios being put to consumers with respect to demand forecasts, expenditure and any stranding mitigation measures, together with the price impacts of those scenarios”.<sup>18</sup>

In our draft decision, we noted that the Victorian gas distributors should further engage with their customers on the issue of accelerated depreciation, and where relevant discuss updates to their respective proposed accelerated depreciation amounts for other parameters such as WACC and inflation. Since then, Australian Gas Infrastructure Group (AGIG) undertook four online customer workshops in January 2023 to seek input from 91 customers of both AGN and MGN, focussing on accelerated depreciation and gas connection abolishment issues.

CCP28 noted the quick response of the networks to engage with customers and stakeholders between the draft decision and revised proposal. However, it raised concerns about the robustness of the conclusions of the engagement regarding the impact of accelerated depreciation on consumer bills. It also submitted that other stakeholders were not consulted on this and that many stakeholders do not support any accelerated depreciation for the 2023–28 period.<sup>19</sup>

#### 4.4.3 Accelerated depreciation for low-pressure mains and services

For this final decision, we confirm our draft decision position to accept MGN's proposal for accelerated depreciation relating to earlier replacement of its low-pressure mains and services.

In the draft decision, we accepted MGN's proposed accelerated depreciation for its low-pressure mains and services, but we made some amendments to its implementation.<sup>20</sup>

MGN's revised proposal adopted our draft decision approach.

---

<sup>17</sup> Brotherhood of St Laurence, *2023-2028 Victorian gas distribution access arrangement, Draft decision and revised proposals*, February 2023, pp. 6–22. Victorian Community Organisations, Joint submission to 2023-28 Victorian Distribution Access arrangements, February 2023, p. 2.

<sup>18</sup> AER, *Information paper on regulating gas pipelines under uncertainty*, 15 November 2021, p. 47.

<sup>19</sup> Consumer Challenge Panel 28 (CCP28), *Advice to AER on AGN, AusNet and MGN Revised Proposals*, 24 February 2023, p. 11.

<sup>20</sup> AER, *Draft decision, Multinet Gas Networks Access Arrangement 2023–28, Attachment 4 – Regulatory Depreciation*, December 2022, pp. 17–18.

#### 4.4.4 Standard asset lives

For the final decision, we accept the standard asset lives in MGN's revised proposal as they are consistent with those approved for the draft decision.

Table 4.3 sets out our final decision on MGN's standard asset lives for the 2023–28 period. We are satisfied the asset lives approved in this final decision will result in a depreciation schedule that reflects the depreciation criteria of the NGR.<sup>21</sup>

**Table 4.3 AER's final decision on MGN's standard asset lives for the 2023–28 period**

Asset class	Standard asset life
Transmission and distribution	50
Services	50
Cathodic protection	50
Supply regs/valve stations	50
Meters to 2017	15
Meters from 2018 (new)	15
Land	n/a
IT	5
SCADA	15
Other	10
Buildings	50
Future of gas	n/a
LP mains - residual - 2030-31	n/a
LP services - residual - 2030-31	n/a
Equity raising costs	41.8

Source: AER analysis.

n/a not applicable. We have not assigned a standard asset life to some asset classes because the assets allocated to them are not subject to depreciation or they have no forecast capex.

<sup>21</sup> NGR, r. 89.

# Glossary

Term	Definition
AER	Australian Energy Regulator
AGIG	Australian Gas Infrastructure Group
AGN	Australian Gas Networks (Victoria and Albury)
AusNet	AusNet Gas Services
Capex	capital expenditure
MGN	Multinet Gas Networks
NGL	National Gas Law
NGO	National Gas Objective
NGR	National Gas Rules
Opex	operating expenditure
PTRM	post-tax revenue model
RFM	roll forward model
WACC	weighted average cost of capital

---