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1. Shortened forms

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Shortened form | Extended form |
| AEMC | Australian Energy Market Commission |
| AEMO | Australian Energy Market Operator |
| AER | Australian Energy Regulator |
| augex | augmentation expenditure |
| capex | capital expenditure |
| CCP | Consumer Challenge Panel |
| CESS | capital expenditure sharing scheme |
| CPI | consumer price index |
| DRP | debt risk premium |
| DMIA | demand management innovation allowance |
| DMIS | demand management incentive scheme |
| distributor | distribution network service provider |
| DUoS | distribution use of system |
| EBSS | efficiency benefit sharing scheme |
| ERP | equity risk premium |
| Expenditure Assessment Guideline | Expenditure Forecast Assessment Guideline for electricity distribution |
| F&A | framework and approach |
| MRP | market risk premium |
| NEL | national electricity law |
| NEM | national electricity market |
| NEO | national electricity objective |
| NER | national electricity rules |
| NSP | network service provider |
| opex | operating expenditure |
| PPI | partial performance indicators |
| PTRM | post-tax revenue model |
| RAB | regulatory asset base |
| RBA | Reserve Bank of Australia |
| repex | replacement expenditure |
| RFM | roll forward model |
| RIN | regulatory information notice |
| RPP | revenue and pricing principles |
| SAIDI | system average interruption duration index |
| SAIFI | system average interruption frequency index |
| SLCAPM | Sharpe-Lintner capital asset pricing model |
| STPIS | service target performance incentive scheme |
| WACC | weighted average cost of capital |
| WARL | weighted average remaining life |

# Regulatory depreciation

Depreciation is the allowance provided so capital investors recover their investment over the economic life of the asset (return of capital). In deciding whether to approve the depreciation schedules submitted by Energex, we make determinations on the indexation of the regulatory asset base (RAB) and depreciation building blocks for Energex's 2015–20 regulatory control period.[[1]](#footnote-1) The regulatory depreciation allowance is the net total of the straight-line depreciation (negative) and the indexation (positive) of the RAB.

This attachment sets out our final decision on Energex's regulatory depreciation allowance. It also presents our final decision on the revised proposed depreciation schedules, including the revised proposed standard asset lives and remaining asset lives to be used for forecasting the depreciation allowance.

## Final decision

We do not accept Energex's revised proposed regulatory depreciation allowance of $479.9 million ($ nominal) for the 2015–20 regulatory control period.[[2]](#footnote-2) Instead, we determine a regulatory depreciation allowance of $476.1 million ($ nominal). This amount represents a decrease of $3.8 million (or 0.8 per cent) on Energex's revised proposed amount.

Our final decision on Energex's regulatory depreciation allowance reflects our determinations on other components of Energex's revised proposal which affect the forecast regulatory depreciation allowance—for example, the opening RAB at 1 July 2015 (attachment 2) and forecast capex (attachment 6).[[3]](#footnote-3)

Table 5.1 sets out our final decision on the annual regulatory depreciation allowance for Energex's 2015–20 regulatory control period.

Table 5.1 AER's final decision on Energex's depreciation allowance for the 2015–20 regulatory control period ($ million, nominal)

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | 2015–16 | 2016–17 | 2017–18 | 2018–19 | 2019–20 | Total |
| Straight-line depreciation | 351.2 | 376.5 | 402.0 | 420.4 | 446.2 | 1996.4 |
| Less: inflation indexation on opening RAB | 279.3 | 292.0 | 304.7 | 316.3 | 328.0 | 1520.3 |
| **Regulatory depreciation** | **71.9** | **84.6** | **97.3** | **104.1** | **118.2** | **476.1** |

Source: AER analysis.

## Energex's revised proposal

Energex's revised proposal for the 2015–20 regulatory control period forecasts a total regulatory depreciation allowance of $479.9 million ($ nominal). Energex's methodology for determining its regulatory depreciation allowance is unchanged from its initial proposal. We accepted the approach in our preliminary decision. To calculate the depreciation allowance, Energex's revised proposal used:[[4]](#footnote-4)

* the straight-line depreciation method employed in our post-tax revenue model (PTRM)
* the preliminary decision closing RAB value at 30 June 2015 derived from our roll forward model (RFM)
* the preliminary decision remaining asset lives at 1 July 2015 for depreciating existing assets in the closing RAB as at 30 June 2015
* its revised proposed forecast capex for the 2015–20 regulatory control period
* the standard asset lives accepted in the preliminary decision for depreciating new assets associated with forecast capex for the 2015–20 regulatory control period.

Further, Energex noted that there will be circumstances where it would be appropriate for a service provider to propose front loaded (accelerated) depreciation for particular asset classes in the face of increasing uncertainty surrounding future network design.[[5]](#footnote-5)

Table 5.2 sets out Energex's revised proposed depreciation allowance for the 2015–20 regulatory control period.

Table 5.2 Energex's revised proposed depreciation allowance for the 2015–20 regulatory control period ($ million, nominal)

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | 2015–16 | 2016–17 | 2017–18 | 2018–19 | 2019–20 | Total |
| Straight-line depreciation | 354.4 | 380.1 | 407.4 | 427.7 | 451.1 | 2020.8 |
| Less: inflation indexation on opening RAB | 283.3 | 296.1 | 309.0 | 320.6 | 331.7 | 1540.8 |
| **Regulatory depreciation** | **71.1** | **83.9** | **98.4** | **107.1** | **119.4** | **479.9** |

Source: Energex, Revised regulatory proposal, July 2015, Attachment 2.

## AER’s assessment approach

We have not changed our assessment approach for the regulatory depreciation allowance from our preliminary decision. Section 5.3 of our preliminary decision details that approach.[[6]](#footnote-6)

## Reasons for final decision

We determine a regulatory depreciation allowance of $476.1 million ($ nominal) for Energex for the 2015–20 regulatory control period. In determining this allowance we accept Energex's revised proposed standard asset lives. However, we reduced Energex's revised proposed regulatory depreciation allowance by $3.8 million (or 0.8 per cent). This amendment reflects our:

* updates to the remaining asset lives (section 5.4.2)
* determinations regarding other components of Energex’s revised proposal—for example, the opening RAB at 1 July 2015 (attachment 2) and forecast capex (attachment 6)[[7]](#footnote-7)—affecting the forecast regulatory depreciation allowance.

The Energy Users Association of Australia submitted that asset lives should reflect the actual lives of the respective classes of assets that have been managed through good industry practice. It did not support the signalling by Energex in its revised proposal for front loading depreciation of certain asset classes.[[8]](#footnote-8) We note that Energex has not proposed accelerated depreciation of assets for the 2015–20 regulatory control period.

### Standard asset lives

Consistent with our preliminary decision, we accept Energex's proposed standard asset lives for its existing asset classes. This is because they are consistent with our approved standard asset lives for the 2010–15 regulatory control period.[[9]](#footnote-9)

In the preliminary decision, we also accepted Energex’s proposed standard asset life for the new 'Load control & network metering devices' asset class. This asset class replaces the previous 'Metering' asset class. We reviewed Energex's supporting material and accepted that 15 years is an appropriate standard asset life for the new 'Load control & network metering' assets due to the electronic nature of the assets.[[10]](#footnote-10)

We received one submission from the CCP stating that the standard asset lives for Energex differed from the actual lives, and from the standard asset lives for equivalent assets used by other distributors.[[11]](#footnote-11) It submitted that these variations have major implications for depreciation and allowed distributors to choose asset lives that optimise their returns for each revenue reset.

We note that the asset lives referenced by the CCP are from disaggregated categories used to model replacement capital expenditure (repex), rather than the higher-level categories used when calculating the regulatory depreciation allowance.[[12]](#footnote-12) Although individual distributors may have higher or lower standard asset lives for specific repex asset categories, there is less variation in the standard asset lives of the aggregated categories.[[13]](#footnote-13) We consider that the standard asset lives approved for Energex to calculate the regulatory depreciation allowance are comparable to the equivalent categories used in other regulatory determinations.[[14]](#footnote-14)

Table 5.3 sets out our final decision on Energex's standard asset lives for the 2015–20 regulatory control period. We are satisfied the standard asset lives reflect the nature of the assets over the economic lives of the asset classes.[[15]](#footnote-15)

### Remaining asset lives

Consistent with our preliminary decision, we accept Energex's proposed weighted average method to calculate remaining asset lives at 1 July 2015.

In the preliminary decision, we accepted Energy's proposed weighted average method for calculating the remaining asset lives at 1 July 2015. The proposed method is consistent with our preferred approach. We noted that the remaining asset lives would be recalculated for the final decision because of updates to Energex’s 2014–15 capex in the RAB roll forward. The 2014–15 capex values are inputs used to calculate the weighted average remaining asset lives.[[16]](#footnote-16) Based on the revisions for 2014–15 capex in the RAB roll forward as discussed in attachment 2, we have updated Energex's remaining asset lives at 1 July 2015 for this final decision.

In the preliminary decision, we also accepted Energex’s reallocation of the residual value of the old 'Metering' asset class to be replaced by a new 'Load control & network metering devices' asset class. However, we revised the remaining asset life for past assets allocated to this asset class. Similarly, we revised the remaining asset life of the ‘Low voltage services’ asset class to account for the effect of the proposed shifting of assets to the old ‘Metering’ asset class.[[17]](#footnote-17) Energex’s revised proposal PTRM adopted our preliminary decision approach to determining the remaining asset lives for these two asset classes.[[18]](#footnote-18)

Table 5.3 sets out our final decision on Energex's remaining asset lives for the   
2015–20 regulatory control period.

Table 5.3 AER's final decision on Energex's standard and remaining asset lives at 1 July 2015 (years)

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Asset class | Standard asset life | Remaining asset life at 1 July 2015 |
| OH Sub-transmission lines | 50.5 | 37.5 |
| UG Sub-transmission cables | 45.0 | 32.8 |
| OH Distribution lines | 45.0 | 32.0 |
| UG Distribution cables | 60.0 | 46.9 |
| Distribution equipment | 35.0 | 29.3 |
| Substation bays | 45.0 | 30.1 |
| Substation establishment | 57.6 | 35.1 |
| Distribution substation switchgear | 45.0 | 38.9 |
| Zone transformers | 50.0 | 40.7 |
| Distribution transformers | 40.6 | 28.6 |
| Low voltage services | 35.0 | 28.6 |
| Load control & network metering devices | 15.0 | 12.4 |
| Communications - pilot wires | 29.3 | 23.4 |
| Street lighting | 20.0 | 5.8 |
| Systems buildings | 60.0 | 56.6 |
| Systems easements | n/a | n/a |
| System land | n/a | n/a |
| Communications | 7.0 | 1.0a |
| Control centre - SCADA | 12.0 | 4.6 |
| IT systems | 5.0 | 2.8 |
| Office equipment & furniture | 7.0 | 2.9 |
| Motor vehicles | 9.0 | 6.0 |
| Plant & equipment | 6.8 | 5.1 |
| Research & development | 5.0 | 1.0a |
| Buildings | 40.0 | 34.1 |
| Easements | n/a | n/a |
| Land | n/a | n/a |
| Equity raising costs | 46.1 | 42.1 |

Source: AER analysis.

n/a: not applicable.

(a) Under the weighted average method, the remaining asset life is calculated as ‘n/a’. However, the RAB roll forward produces a small residual value (negative) at 30 June 2015 and so assigning a remaining asset life of 1 year to fully depreciate (by way of writing off) the residual value is appropriate in this case. Applying ‘n/a’ means the residual value remains in the RAB and does not depreciate.
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