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1. Shortened forms

| 1. Shortened form
 | 1. Extended form
 |
| --- | --- |
| 1. AARR
 | 1. aggregate annual revenue requirement
 |
| 1. AEMC
 | 1. Australian Energy Market Commission
 |
| 1. AEMO
 | 1. Australian Energy Market Operator
 |
| 1. AER
 | 1. Australian Energy Regulator
 |
| 1. ASRR
 | 1. aggregate service revenue requirement
 |
| 1. augex
 | 1. augmentation expenditure
 |
| 1. capex
 | 1. capital expenditure
 |
| 1. CCP
 | 1. Consumer Challenge Panel
 |
| 1. CESS
 | 1. capital expenditure sharing scheme
 |
| 1. CPI
 | 1. consumer price index
 |
| 1. DRP
 | 1. debt risk premium
 |
| 1. EBSS
 | 1. efficiency benefit sharing scheme
 |
| 1. ERP
 | 1. equity risk premium
 |
| 1. MAR
 | 1. maximum allowed revenue
 |
| 1. MRP
 | 1. market risk premium
 |
| 1. NEL
 | 1. national electricity law
 |
| 1. NEM
 | 1. national electricity market
 |
| 1. NEO
 | 1. national electricity objective
 |
| 1. NER
 | 1. national electricity rules
 |
| 1. NSP
 | 1. network service provider
 |
| 1. NTSC
 | 1. negotiated transmission service criteria
 |
| 1. opex
 | 1. operating expenditure
 |
| 1. PPI
 | 1. partial performance indicators
 |
| 1. PTRM
 | 1. post-tax revenue model
 |
| 1. RAB
 | 1. regulatory asset base
 |
| 1. RBA
 | 1. Reserve Bank of Australia
 |
| 1. repex
 | 1. replacement expenditure
 |
| 1. RFM
 | 1. roll forward model
 |
| 1. RIN
 | 1. regulatory information notice
 |
| 1. RPP
 | 1. revenue pricing principles
 |
| 1. SLCAPM
 | 1. Sharpe-Lintner capital asset pricing model
 |
| 1. STPIS
 | 1. service target performance incentive scheme
 |
| 1. TNSP
 | 1. transmission network service provider
 |
| 1. TUoS
 | 1. transmission use of system
 |
| 1. WACC
 | 1. weighted average cost of capital
 |

# Corporate income tax

1. The AER is required to make a decision on the estimated cost of corporate income tax for Directlink's 2015–20 regulatory control period.[[1]](#footnote-1) Under the post-tax framework, a corporate income tax allowance is calculated as part of the building block assessment using our post-tax revenue model (PTRM).
2. This attachment sets out our draft decision on Directlink's proposed corporate income tax allowance. It also presents our assessment of the proposed opening tax asset base (TAB), and the standard and remaining tax asset lives used to estimate tax depreciation for the purpose of calculating tax expenses.

## Draft decision

1. We do not accept Directlink's proposed estimated cost of corporate income tax allowance of $4.4 million ($ nominal) over the 2015–20 regulatory control period. Our draft decision on the estimated cost of corporate income tax is $2.7 million ($ nominal) for Directlink over the 2015–20 regulatory control period, a reduction of $1.7 (or 38.6 per cent) from its proposal. This reduction is mainly driven by our determination on Directlink's proposed value of imputation credits (gamma) as discussed in attachment 4. Our determinations on other building block components including forecast capex (attachment 6) and forecast opex (attachment 7) affect revenue, which also impact the tax calculation.
2. Based on the approach to modelling the cash flows in the PTRM, we have derived an effective tax rate of 27.7 per cent for Directlink. Table 8‑1 sets out our draft decision on the estimated cost of corporate income tax allowance for Directlink.

Table 8‑1 AER's draft decision on Directlink's cost of corporate income tax allowance for the 2015–20 regulatory control period ($ million, nominal)

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | 2015–16 | 2016–17 | 2017–18 | 2018–19 | 2019–20 | Total |
| Tax payable | 0.8 | 0.8 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 1.0 | 4.5 |
| Less: value of imputation credits | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 1.8 |
| Net corporate income tax allowance | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.6 | 0.6 | 2.7 |

Source: AER analysis.

## Directlink's proposal

1. Directlink estimated its corporate income tax allowance using the AER's PTRM and the following inputs:[[2]](#footnote-2)
* an opening TAB as at 1 July 2015 of $97.6 million ($ nominal)
* an expected statutory income tax rate of 30 per cent per year
* a value for gamma of 0.25
* the proposed standard and remaining tax asset lives for calculating its tax depreciation as contained in its proposed PTRM. Directlink proposed to align the remaining tax asset life of the ‘Transmission lines’ asset class (36 years) with that of the ‘Substations’ asset class (26 years). It also proposed to use the remaining tax asset life of 26 years to depreciate any new capex proposed for the 2015–20 regulatory control period.
1. Table 8‑2 sets out Directlink's proposed corporate income tax allowance for the 2015–20 regulatory control period.

Table 8‑2 Directlink's proposed corporate income tax allowance for the 2015–20 regulatory control period ($ million, nominal)

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | 2015–16 | 2016–17 | 2017–18 | 2018–19 | 2019–20 | Total |
| Tax payable | 1.0 | 1.1 | 1.2 | 1.2 | 1.3 | 5.8 |
| Less: value of imputation credits | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 1.5 |
| Net corporate income tax allowance | 0.8 | 0.8 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 1.0 | 4.4 |

Source: Directlink, Regulatory proposal, p. 42; Directlink, Proposed PTRM, May 2014.

## AER's assessment approach

1. Under clause 6A.6.4 of the NER, we must make an estimate of taxable income for each regulatory year. Our estimate must be for the taxable income a benchmark efficient entity would earn for providing prescribed transmission services if it operated Directlink's business. The estimate is required to be determined in accordance with the PTRM. Our approach for calculating a TNSP's cost of corporate income tax is set out in our PTRM[[3]](#footnote-3) and involves the following steps:
* First, we estimate the annual taxable income that would be earned by a benchmark efficient entity operating the TNSP's business.[[4]](#footnote-4) A TNSP's taxable income is calculated by netting the approved forecast revenues by benchmark estimates of tax expenses. Using the PTRM, we model the TNSP's benchmark tax expenses, including interest tax expense and tax depreciation, over the regulatory control period. The interest tax expense is estimated using the benchmark 60 per cent gearing. Tax depreciation is calculated using a separate value for the TAB, and standard and remaining tax asset lives for taxation purposes. All tax expenses (including other expenses such as opex) are offset against the TNSP's forecast revenue to estimate the taxable income.
* The statutory income tax rate is then applied to the estimated annual taxable income to arrive at a notional amount of tax payable.
* We then apply a discount to that notional amount of tax payable to account for the assumed utilisation of imputation credits (gamma).
* The final estimate of tax payable net of assumed utilised imputation credits is then included as a separate building block in determining the TNSP’s annual building block revenue requirement.
1. The corporate income tax allowance is an output of our PTRM. We therefore assess the TNSP's proposed corporate income tax allowance by analysing the proposed inputs to the PTRM for calculating the tax allowance. These inputs include:
* The opening TAB as at the commencement of the TNSP's next regulatory control period: We consider that the roll forward of the opening TAB should be based on the approved opening TAB as at commencement of the TNSP's current regulatory control period and the TNSP's actual capex incurred during its current regulatory control period.
* The standard tax asset life for each asset class: We assess the TNSP's proposed standard tax asset lives, where necessary, against those prescribed by the Commissioner for taxation in tax ruling 2014/4 and the approved standard tax asset lives in the TNSP's transmission determination for its current regulatory control period.
* The remaining tax asset life for each asset class at the commencement of the TNSP's next regulatory control period: Our roll forward model (RFM) determines the remaining tax asset lives using the weighted average method.[[5]](#footnote-5) We consider the weighted average method provides a better reflection of the mix of assets within an asset class.
* The income tax rate: The statutory income tax rate is 30 per cent per year.
* The value of gamma: The gamma input that we have decided to apply for Directlink is 0.4. Refer to attachment 4 for detailed discussion on this matter.

### Interrelationships

1. The cost of corporate income tax building block feeds directly into the annual building block revenue requirement. This tax allowance is determined by four factors:
* pre-tax revenues
* tax expenses (including tax depreciation)
* the corporate tax rate
* gamma—the expected proportion of company tax that is returned to investors through the utilisation of imputation credits—which offsets against the corporate income tax allowance. This is discussed further at attachment 4.
1. Of these four factors, the corporate tax rate is set externally by the Government. The higher the tax rate the higher the required tax allowance.
2. The pre-tax revenues depend on all the building block components. Any factor that affects revenue will therefore affect pre-tax revenues. Higher pre-tax revenues can increase the tax allowance.[[6]](#footnote-6) Depending on the source of the revenue increase, the tax increase may be equal to or less than proportional to the company tax rate.[[7]](#footnote-7)
3. The tax expenses depend on various building block components and their size. Some components give rise to tax expenses, such as opex, interest payments and tax depreciation of assets. However, others do not, such as increases in return on equity. Higher tax expenses offset revenues as deductions in the tax calculation and therefore reduce the tax allowance (all things being equal). Tax expenses include:
* Interest on debt – Interest is a tax offset. The size of which depends on the ratio of debt to equity and therefore the proportion of the RAB funded through debt. It also depends on the allowed return on debt and the size of the RAB.
* General expenses – In the main these expenses will match the opex allowance.
* Tax depreciation – A separate TAB is maintained for the TNSPs reflecting tax rules. This TAB is affected by many of the same factors as the RAB, such as capex, although unlike the RAB value it is maintained at its historical cost with no indexation. The TAB is also affected by the depreciation rate and asset lives assigned for tax depreciation purposes.
1. A ten per cent increase in the corporate income tax allowance would cause revenues to increase by about 0.4 per cent. The proposed gamma of 0.25, compared to the AER's decision of 0.4, would increase the corporate income tax allowance by 25 per cent and total revenues by about 1 per cent.

## Reasons for draft decision

1. We do not accept Directlink's proposed estimated cost of corporate income tax allowance. This is because we adjusted several of its proposed inputs to the PTRM for tax purposes, which relate to our determinations on other building block components. These include the value for gamma (attachment 4), forecast opex (attachment 7) and forecast capex (attachment 6) that impact the estimated corporate income tax allowance.
2. We determine an estimated cost of corporate income tax of $2.7 million ($ nominal) for Directlink, which represents a reduction of $1.7 million (or 38.6 per cent) from its proposal.

### Opening tax asset base at 1 July 2015

1. We accept Directlink's proposed opening TAB as at 1 July 2015 of $97.5 million ($ nominal). Directlink has applied the approach set out in our roll forward model (RFM) to establish its proposed opening TAB. Also, as discussed in attachment 2, we accept the actual capex values in Directlink's proposed RFM.[[8]](#footnote-8)
2. Table 8‑3 sets out our draft decision on the roll forward of Directlink's TAB values.

Table 8‑3 AER's draft decision on Directlink's TAB roll forward for the 2015–20 regulatory control period ($ million, nominal)

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | 2005–06 | 2006–07 | 2007–08 | 2008–09 | 2009–10 | 2010–11 | 2011–12 | 2012–13 | 2013–14b | 2014–15b |
| Opening TAB | 116.7 | 115.7 | 113.4 | 110.3 | 107.2 | 104.2 | 103.0 | 101.4 | 99.2 | 97.7 |
| Capital expenditurea | 2.0 | 0.8 | – | – | – | 2.0 | 1.5 | 0.9 | 1.7 | 3.0 |
| Tax depreciation | –3.0 | –3.1 | –3.1 | –3.1 | –3.1 | –3.1 | –3.1 | –3.2 | –3.2 | –3.2 |
| Closing TAB | 115.7 | 113.4 | 110.3 | 107.2 | 104.2 | 103.0 | 101.4 | 99.2 | 97.7 | 97.5 |

Source: AER analysis.

(a) As commissioned, net of disposals.

(b) Based on estimated capex.

### Remaining tax asset lives

1. We accept Directlink's proposal to align the remaining tax asset life of the ‘Transmission line’ asset class with that of the ‘Substations’ asset class. As discussed in attachment 5, unlike other TNSPs, Directlink's transmission line assets will have no useful life when the substation assets reach the end of their useful life. Therefore, we consider this proposed alignment is appropriate for tax depreciation purposes. Table 3‑4 sets out our draft decision on Directlink's remaining tax asset lives as at 1 July 2015.

### Standard tax asset lives

1. We accept Directlink's proposed standard tax asset lives for tax depreciation purposes of new capex over the 2015–20 regulatory control period. As discussed in attachment 5, we consider the proposed forecast capex are for stay in business and ancillary equipment purposes and should have the same remaining tax life as the substation assets. Therefore, we agree that it is reasonable to change the standard tax asset life of the ‘Transmission line’ asset class and 'Substations' asset class to 26 years. We are satisfied that the proposed standard tax asset lives are likely to provide an estimate of the tax depreciation amount for a benchmark efficient TNSP as required by the NER.[[9]](#footnote-9)
2. Table 8‑4 sets out our draft decision on Directlink's standard tax asset lives for the 2015–20 regulatory control period.

Table 8‑4 AER's draft decision on Directlink's standard and remaining tax asset lives as at 1 July 2015 (years)

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Asset class  | Standard tax asset life | Remaining tax asset life as at 1 July 2015  |
| Substations | 26.2 | 26.2 |
| Transmission lines | 26.2 | 26.2 |
| Easements | n/a | n/a |

Source: AER analysis.

n/a: not applicable.

1. NER, cl. 6A.5.4(a)(4). [↑](#footnote-ref-1)
2. Directlink, Revenue proposal, pp.40–42; Directlink, Proposed PTRM, May 2014. [↑](#footnote-ref-2)
3. The PTRM must set specify the manner in which the estimated cost of corporate income tax is to be calculated: NER, cl. 6A.5.3(b)(4). [↑](#footnote-ref-3)
4. NER, cl. 6A.6.4. [↑](#footnote-ref-4)
5. The weighted average method involves weighting the remaining life of each capital stream within an asset class (that is, the opening tax capital value and the capital expenditures for each year) by the closing tax capital value of that capital stream as a proportion of the total closing tax capital value of the asset class as a whole. The resulting individual values for each capital stream are then added together to obtain the overall weighted average remaining life of the asset class. [↑](#footnote-ref-5)
6. In fact, there is an iterative relationship between tax and revenues. That is, revenues lead to tax, being applied, which increases revenues and leads to slightly more tax and so on. The PTRM is therefore set up to run an iterative process until the revenue and tax allowances become stable. [↑](#footnote-ref-6)
7. For example, although increased opex adds to revenue requirement, these expenses are also offset against the revenues as deductions in determining tax, so there is no net impact in this case. A higher return on equity, in contrast, gives rise to no offsetting tax expenses and therefore increases the tax allowance in proportion to the company tax rate. [↑](#footnote-ref-7)
8. At the time of this draft decision, the roll forward of Directlink's TAB includes estimated capex values for
2013–14 and 2014–15. We will update the 2013–14 estimated capex values with the actual values for the final decision. We may also update the 2014–15 capex values with revised estimates at the time of the final decision. However, we will update for 2014–15 actual capex at the next reset. [↑](#footnote-ref-8)
9. NER, cl. 6A.6.4. [↑](#footnote-ref-9)