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1. Note

This attachment forms part of the AER's draft decision on Essential Energy's 2015–19 distribution determination. It should be read with other parts of the draft decision.
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1. Shortened forms

| 1. Shortened form | 1. Extended form |
| --- | --- |
| 1. AARR | 1. aggregate annual revenue requirement |
| 1. AEMC | 1. Australian Energy Market Commission |
| 1. AEMO | 1. Australian Energy Market Operator |
| 1. AER | 1. Australian Energy Regulator |
| 1. ASRR | 1. aggregate service revenue requirement |
| 1. augex | 1. augmentation expenditure |
| 1. capex | 1. capital expenditure |
| 1. CCP | 1. Consumer Challenge Panel |
| 1. CESS | 1. capital expenditure sharing scheme |
| 1. CPI | 1. consumer price index |
| 1. CPI-X | 1. consumer price index minus X |
| 1. DRP | 1. debt risk premium |
| 1. DMIA | 1. demand management innovation allowance |
| 1. DMIS | 1. demand management incentive scheme |
| 1. distributor | 1. distribution network service provider |
| 1. DUoS | 1. distribution use of system |
| 1. EBSS | 1. efficiency benefit sharing scheme |
| 1. ERP | 1. equity risk premium |
| 1. expenditure assessment guideline | 1. expenditure forecast assessment guideline for electricity distribution |
| 1. F&A | 1. framework and approach |
| 1. MRP | 1. market risk premium |
| 1. NEL | 1. national electricity law |
| 1. NEM | 1. national electricity market |
| 1. NEO | 1. national electricity objective |
| 1. NER | 1. national electricity rules |
| 1. NSP | 1. network service provider |
| 1. opex | 1. operating expenditure |
| 1. PPI | 1. partial performance indicators |
| 1. PTRM | 1. post-tax revenue model |
| 1. RAB | 1. regulatory asset base |
| 1. RBA | 1. Reserve Bank of Australia |
| 1. repex | 1. replacement expenditure |
| 1. RFM | 1. roll forward model |
| 1. RIN | 1. regulatory information notice |
| 1. RPP | 1. revenue pricing principles |
| 1. SAIDI | 1. system average interruption duration index |
| 1. SAIFI | 1. system average interruption frequency index |
| 1. SLCAPM | 1. Sharpe-Lintner capital asset pricing model |
| 1. STPIS | 1. service target performance incentive scheme |
| 1. WACC | 1. weighted average cost of capital |

# Corporate income tax

1. We are required to make a decision on the estimated cost of corporate income tax for Essential Energy's 2014–19 period.[[1]](#footnote-1) Under the post-tax framework, a corporate income tax allowance is calculated as part of the building block assessment using our post-tax revenue model (PTRM). This amount enables Essential Energy to recover the costs associated with the estimated corporate income tax payable during the 2014–19 period.
2. This attachment presents our assessment of Essential Energy's proposed corporate income tax allowance for the 2014–19 period. It also presents our assessment of its proposed opening tax asset base (TAB), and the standard and remaining tax asset lives used to estimate tax depreciation for the purpose of calculating tax expenses.

## Draft decision

1. We do not accept the estimated cost of corporate income tax of $382.7 million ($ nominal) proposed by Essential Energy. Our draft decision on the estimated cost of corporate income tax is $217.9 million ($ nominal) for Essential Energy over the 2014–19 period, a reduction of $164.7 million (or 43.1 per cent) from its proposal.
2. The reduction reflects our amendments to some of Essential Energy's proposed inputs for forecasting the cost of corporate income tax such as the opening TAB (section 8.4.1), and the standard and remaining tax asset lives (section 8.4.2 and 8.4.3 respectively). It also reflects our draft decision on the value of imputation credits—gamma—(attachment 4). Changes to building block costs affect revenues, which also impact the tax calculation. The changes affecting revenues are discussed in attachment 1.
3. Table 8‑1 sets out our draft decision on the estimated cost of corporate income tax allowance for Essential Energy. Based on the approach to modelling the cash flows in the PTRM, we have derived an effective tax rate of 24.5 per cent for Essential Energy.

Table 8‑ AER's draft decision on Essential Energy's cost of corporate income tax allowance for the 2014–19 period ($ million, nominal)

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | 2014–15 | 2015–16 | 2016–17 | 2017–18 | 2018–19 | Total |
| Tax payable | 66.9 | 63.6 | 78.4 | 77.8 | 76.6 | 363.2 |
| Less: value of imputation credits | 26.8 | 25.4 | 31.4 | 31.1 | 30.6 | 145.3 |
| Corporate income tax allowance | 40.1 | 38.1 | 47.1 | 46.7 | 46.0 | 217.9 |

Source: AER analysis.

## Essential Energy's proposal

1. Essential Energy proposed a forecast cost of corporate income tax of $382.7 million ($ nominal) using the AER's PTRM and the following inputs:[[2]](#footnote-2)

* an opening TAB as at 1 July 2014 of $5328 million ($ nominal)
* an expected statutory income tax rate of 30 per cent per year
* a value for gamma of 0.25.
* the weighted average remaining tax asset lives of assets in existence as at 30 June 2014 derived from the AER's RFM
* the same standard tax asset lives for depreciating new assets for the 2014–19 period as approved for the 2009–14 regulatory control period.

1. Table 8‑2 sets out Essential Energy's proposed corporate income tax allowance for the 2014–19 period.

Table 8‑ Essential Energy's proposed corporate income tax allowance ($ million, nominal)

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | 2014–15 | 2015–16 | 2016–17 | 2017–18 | 2018–19 | Total |
| Tax payable | 92.3 | 90.6 | 108.2 | 109.3 | 109.9 | 510.2 |
| Less: value of imputation credits | 23.1 | 22.7 | 27.0 | 27.3 | 27.5 | 127.6 |
| Corporate income tax allowance | 69.2 | 68.0 | 81.1 | 82.0 | 82.4 | 382.7 |

Source: Essential Energy, Regulatory proposal, May 2014, Attachment 4.1.

## AER's assessment approach

Under clause 6.5.3 of the National Electricity Rules (NER), we must make an estimate of taxable income for each regulatory year. Our estimate must be for the taxable income a benchmark efficient entity would earn for providing standard control services if it operated Essential Energy's business. The estimate is required to be determined in accordance with the PTRM. Our approach for calculating a service provider's tax allowance is set out in our PTRM and involves the following steps:

* 1. We estimate the annual taxable income that would be earned by a benchmark efficient entity operating the service provider's business. A service provider's taxable income is calculated by subtracting from the approved forecast revenues the benchmark estimates of tax expenses. Using the PTRM, we model the service provider's benchmark tax expenses, including interest tax expense and tax depreciation, over the regulatory control period. The interest tax expense is estimated using the benchmark 60 per cent gearing used for the rate of return calculation. Tax depreciation is calculated using a separate TAB, and standard and remaining tax asset lives for taxation purposes. All tax expenses (including expenses such as opex) are offset against the service provider's forecast revenue to estimate the taxable income.
  2. The statutory income tax rate is then applied to the estimated annual taxable income to arrive at a notional amount of tax payable.
  3. We apply a discount to that notional amount of tax payable to account for the utilisation of imputation credits (gamma) by investors.
  4. The tax payable net of assumed utilised imputation credits represents the corporate income tax allowance and is included as a separate building block in determining the service provider's annual revenue requirement.

The corporate income tax allowance is an output of our PTRM. We therefore assess the service provider's proposed tax allowance by analysing the proposed inputs to the PTRM for calculating that allowance. These inputs include:

* The opening TAB as at the commencement of the 2014–19 period: We consider that the roll forward of the opening TAB should be based on the approved opening TAB as at commencement of the 2009–14 regulatory control period and the service provider's actual capex incurred during the 2009–14 regulatory control period.[[3]](#footnote-3)
* The remaining tax asset life for each asset class at the commencement of the 2014–19 period: Our preferred method to determine the remaining tax asset lives is the weighted average method. We consider the weighted average method provides a better reflection of the mix of asset lives within an asset class. We will assess the outcomes of other approaches against the outcomes of this preferred method.
* The standard tax asset life for each asset class: We assess the service provider's proposed standard tax asset lives, where necessary, against those prescribed by the Commissioner for taxation in tax ruling 2014/4 and the approved standard tax asset lives in the service provider's distribution determination for the 2009–14 regulatory control period.
* The income tax rate: The statutory income tax rate is 30 per cent per year.
* The value of gamma: The gamma input for Essential Energy is 0.40. Refer to attachment 4 for detailed discussion on this matter.

### Interrelationships

1. The cost of corporate income tax building block feeds directly into the annual revenue requirement (ARR). This cost of corporate income tax allowance is determined by four factors:

* pre-tax revenues
* tax expenses (including tax depreciation)
* the corporate tax rate
* gamma—the expected proportion of company tax that is returned to investors through the utilisation of imputation credits—which offsets against the corporate income tax allowance. This is discussed further at attachment 4.

1. Of these four factors, the corporate tax rate is set externally by the Government. The higher the tax rate the higher the required tax allowance.
2. The pre-tax revenues depend on all the building block components. Any factor that affects revenue will therefore affect pre-tax revenues. Higher pre-tax revenues can increase the tax allowance.[[4]](#footnote-4) Depending on the source of the revenue increase, the tax increase may be equal to or less than proportional to the company tax rate.[[5]](#footnote-5)
3. The tax expenses depend on various building block components and their size. Some components give rise to tax expenses, such as opex, interest payments and tax depreciation of assets. However, others do not, such as increases in return on equity. Higher tax expenses offset revenues as deductions in the tax calculation and therefore reduce the tax allowance (all things being equal). Tax expenses include:

* Interest on debt – Interest is a tax offset. The size of which depends on the ratio of debt to equity and therefore the proportion of the RAB funded through debt. It also depends on the allowed return on debt and the size of the RAB.
* General expenses – In the main these expenses will match the opex allowance.
* Tax depreciation – A separate TAB is maintained for the service provider reflecting tax rules. This TAB is affected by many of the same factors as the RAB, such as capex, although unlike the RAB value it is maintained at its historical cost with no indexation. The TAB is also affected by the depreciation rate and asset lives assigned for tax depreciation purposes.

A ten per cent increase in the corporate income tax allowance causes revenues to increase by about 0.6 per cent. The proposed gamma of 0.25, compared to the AER's draft decision of 0.4, would increase the corporate income tax allowance by 24 per cent and total revenues by about 1.4 per cent.

## Reasons for draft decision

1. We do not accept Essential Energy's proposed estimated cost of corporate income tax. We have instead determined a cost of corporate income tax allowance of $217.9 million ($ nominal). This represents a reduction of $164.7 million (or 43.1 per cent) from Essential Energy's proposal.
2. This is because we adjusted the following proposed inputs to the PTRM for tax purposes:

* the opening TAB value at the commencement of the 2014–19 period (section 8.4.1)
* the standard and remaining tax asset lives (sections 8.4.2 and 8.4.3 respectively)
* the value of gamma (attachment 4)
* other building block components including forecast opex (attachment 7) and forecast capex (attachment 6) that impact revenues, and therefore also impact the forecast corporate income tax allowance.[[6]](#footnote-6)

### Opening tax asset base

1. We accept Essential Energy's proposed method to establish the opening TAB as at 1 July 2014 as it is based on the approach set out in our RFM. However, we do not accept Essential Energy's proposed opening TAB value as at 1 July 2014 of $5328 million ($ nominal). Instead we determine an opening TAB value as at 1 July 2014 of $5264 million ($ nominal). This represents a reduction of $64.4 million ($ nominal) or 1.2 per cent. This reduction is due to the adjustments made to the actual capex values in Essential Energy's proposed RFM as discussed in attachment 2.[[7]](#footnote-7)
2. Table 8‑3 sets out our draft decision on the roll forward of Essential Energy's TAB values over the 2009–14 regulatory control period.

Table 8‑ AER's draft decision on Essential Energy's TAB roll forward ($ million, nominal)

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | 2009–10 | 2010–11 | 2011–12 | 2012–13 | 2013–14b |
| Opening TAB | 2719.3 | 3304.6 | 3884.2 | 4482.4 | 4961.6 |
| Capital expenditurea | 722.0 | 744.5 | 790.6 | 696.3 | 633.9 |
| Less: tax depreciation | 136.7 | 164.9 | 192.5 | 217.1 | 223.2 |
| Closing TAB | 3304.6 | 3884.2 | 4482.4 | 4961.6 | 5372.3 |
| Meters moved to alternative control services |  |  |  |  | –108.4 |
| Opening TAB as at 1 July 2014 |  |  |  |  | 5263.9 |

Source: AER analysis.

(a) Net of disposals.

(b) Based on estimated capex.

1. For the reasons discussed in attachment 2, we also accept Essential Energy's approach to reallocating the value of its 'RAB adjustment' and 'Deferred depreciation' asset classes at 1 July 2014 across its existing system assets. We have also removed the 'Emergency spares (major plant, excludes inventory)' asset class from the PTRM and reallocated its remaining value reallocated to the 'Furniture, fittings, plant and equipment' asset class. This reallocation has no effect on the total TAB value as at 1 July 2014 but removes these unused legacy asset classes from the PTRM.

### Standard tax asset lives

1. We accept the majority of Essential Energy's proposed standard tax asset lives because they are:

* broadly consistent with the values prescribed by the Commissioner for taxation in tax ruling 2014/4[[8]](#footnote-8)
* the same as those approved standard tax asset lives for the 2009–14 regulatory control period.

1. We are satisfied that the proposed standard tax asset lives remain appropriate for applying over the 2014–19 period.
2. However, we have changed the standard tax asset life for the 'Equity raising costs' asset class to 5 years from Essential Energy's proposed 44.7 years for tax depreciation purposes. This is because the Australian Taxation Office (ATO) requires equity raising costs to be amortised over a five-year period on a straight-line basis.[[9]](#footnote-9) In recent determinations, we adopted a standard tax asset life of 5 years for amortising equity raising costs for tax depreciation purposes.[[10]](#footnote-10) Therefore, for this draft decision we will apply the standard tax asset life of 5 years for tax depreciation purposes. We consider this standard tax asset life provides a better estimate of the tax depreciation amount for a benchmark efficient service provider as required by the NER.[[11]](#footnote-11)
3. Table 8‑4 sets out our draft decision on the standard tax asset lives for Essential Energy.

### Remaining tax asset lives

1. We accept Essential Energy's proposed weighted average method to calculate the remaining tax asset lives as at 1 July 2014. The proposed method is consistent with our preferred approach as set out in the RFM.
2. In accepting the weighted average method, we have updated the proposed remaining tax asset lives to reflect our adjustments to Essential Energy's actual capex in its proposed RFM, as discussed in attachment 2.[[12]](#footnote-12) This is because the actual capex values are inputs for calculating the weighted average remaining tax asset lives in the RFM.
3. Table 8‑4 sets out our draft decision on remaining tax asset lives for Essential Energy.

Table 8‑ AER's draft decision on Essential Energy's standard and remaining tax asset lives (years)

| Asset class | Standard tax asset life | Remaining tax asset life as at 1 July 2014 |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Sub-transmission lines and cables | 47.5 | 37.2 |
| Distribution lines and cables | 45.0 | 32.5 |
| Substations | 40.0 | 29.8 |
| Transformers | 40.0 | 33.0 |
| Low voltage lines and cables | 45.0 | 34.7 |
| Customer metering and load control | 25.0 | 16.3 |
| Communications | 10.0 | 7.5 |
| Land | n/a | n/a |
| Easements | n/a | n/a |
| IT systems | 4.0 | 1.7 |
| Furniture, fittings, plant and equipment | 6.7 | 3.6 |
| Motor vehicles | 15.0 | 9.8 |
| Buildings | 100.0 | 87.6 |
| Land | n/a | n/a |
| Other non-system assets | 43.8 | 37.7 |
| Equity raising costs | 5.0 | 40.7 |

Source: AER analysis.

n/a: not applicable.

1. NER, cl. 6.4.3(a)(4). [↑](#footnote-ref-1)
2. Essential Energy, Regulatory proposal, May 2014, Attachment 4.1. [↑](#footnote-ref-2)
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