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1. Note

This attachment forms part of the AER's draft decision on Endeavour Energy's 2015–19 distribution determination. It should be read with other parts of the draft decision.
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1. Shortened forms

| 1. Shortened form | 1. Extended form |
| --- | --- |
| 1. AARR | 1. aggregate annual revenue requirement |
| 1. AEMC | 1. Australian Energy Market Commission |
| 1. AEMO | 1. Australian Energy Market Operator |
| 1. AER | 1. Australian Energy Regulator |
| 1. ASRR | 1. aggregate service revenue requirement |
| 1. augex | 1. augmentation expenditure |
| 1. capex | 1. capital expenditure |
| 1. CCP | 1. Consumer Challenge Panel |
| 1. CESS | 1. capital expenditure sharing scheme |
| 1. CPI | 1. consumer price index |
| 1. CPI-X | 1. consumer price index minus X |
| 1. DRP | 1. debt risk premium |
| 1. DMIA | 1. demand management innovation allowance |
| 1. DMIS | 1. demand management incentive scheme |
| 1. distributor | 1. distribution network service provider |
| 1. DUoS | 1. distribution use of system |
| 1. EBSS | 1. efficiency benefit sharing scheme |
| 1. ERP | 1. equity risk premium |
| 1. expenditure assessment guideline | 1. expenditure forecast assessment guideline for electricity distribution |
| 1. F&A | 1. framework and approach |
| 1. MRP | 1. market risk premium |
| 1. NEL | 1. national electricity law |
| 1. NEM | 1. national electricity market |
| 1. NEO | 1. national electricity objective |
| 1. NER | 1. national electricity rules |
| 1. NSP | 1. network service provider |
| 1. opex | 1. operating expenditure |
| 1. PPI | 1. partial performance indicators |
| 1. PTRM | 1. post-tax revenue model |
| 1. RAB | 1. regulatory asset base |
| 1. RBA | 1. Reserve Bank of Australia |
| 1. repex | 1. replacement expenditure |
| 1. RFM | 1. roll forward model |
| 1. RIN | 1. regulatory information notice |
| 1. RPP | 1. revenue pricing principles |
| 1. SAIDI | 1. system average interruption duration index |
| 1. SAIFI | 1. system average interruption frequency index |
| 1. SLCAPM | 1. Sharpe-Lintner capital asset pricing model |
| 1. STPIS | 1. service target performance incentive scheme |
| 1. WACC | 1. weighted average cost of capital |

# Regulatory depreciation

1. Depreciation is the allowance provided so that capital investors recover their investment over the economic life of the asset (return of capital). We are required to decide on whether or not to approve the depreciation schedules submitted by Endeavour Energy.[[1]](#footnote-1) In doing so, we make determinations on the indexation of the regulatory asset base (RAB) and depreciation building blocks for Endeavour Energy's 2014–19 period.[[2]](#footnote-2) The regulatory depreciation allowance is the net total of the straight-line depreciation (negative) and the indexation of the RAB (positive).

This attachment sets out our draft decision on Endeavour Energy's regulatory depreciation allowance. It also presents our draft decision on the proposed depreciation schedules, including an assessment of the proposed standard and remaining asset lives to be used for forecasting the depreciation allowance.

## Draft decision

We do not accept Endeavour Energy's proposed regulatory depreciation allowance of $399.6 million ($ nominal) for the 2014–19 period. Instead, we determine a regulatory depreciation allowance of $400.2 million ($ nominal) for Endeavour Energy. This represents an increase of $0.7 million (or 0.2 per cent) from that proposed. In coming to this decision, we:

* Accept Endeavour Energy's proposed asset classes, straight-line method, and the majority of standard asset lives used to calculate the regulatory depreciation allowance. We consider that Endeavour Energy's proposed asset classes and standard asset lives are generally consistent with those approved at the 2009–14 distribution determination and reflect the nature and economic lives of the assets.[[3]](#footnote-3)
* Accept Endeavour Energy's proposed weighted average method to calculate the remaining asset lives as at 1 July 2014. However, we have updated these remaining asset lives to reflect our adjustments to the RAB in the roll forward model (RFM), as discussed in attachment 2. We have also adjusted the proposed remaining asset lives for the 'Customer metering and load control' asset classes.
* Made determinations on other components of Endeavour Energy's proposal which also affect the forecast regulatory depreciation allowance—for example, the forecast capital expenditure (capex) (attachment 6) and the opening RAB value (attachment 2).[[4]](#footnote-4)

Table 5.1 sets out our draft decision on the annual regulatory depreciation allowance for Endeavour Energy's 2014–19 regulatory control period.

Table 5.1 AER's draft decision on Endeavour Energy's depreciation allowance for the 2014–19 period ($ million, nominal)

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | 2014–15 | 2015–16 | 2016–17 | 2017–18 | 2018–19 | Total |
| Straight-line depreciation | 203.4 | 218.7 | 233.0 | 240.3 | 248.5 | 1143.9 |
| Less: inflation indexation on opening RAB | 140.0 | 145.7 | 149.7 | 152.6 | 155.7 | 743.7 |
| Regulatory depreciation | 63.4 | 73.0 | 83.3 | 87.8 | 92.8 | 400.2 |

Source: AER analysis.

## Endeavour Energy's proposal

1. For the 2014–19 period, Endeavour Energy proposed a total forecast regulatory depreciation allowance of $399.6 million ($ nominal). To calculate the depreciation allowance, Endeavour Energy proposed to use:

* the straight-line depreciation method employed in the AER's post-tax revenue model (PTRM)
* the closing RAB value as at 30 June 2014 derived from the AER's RFM, adjusted for the removal of metering assets
* proposed forecast capex for the 2014–19 period
* weighted average remaining asset lives of assets in existence as at 30 June 2014 derived from the RFM. The exception to this being the 'Customer metering and load control' asset class where the remaining asset life was reduced by 3.5 years
* standard asset lives for depreciating new assets associated with forecast capex for the 2014–19 period consistent with those approved at the 2009–14 distribution determination. The exception to this being the 'Equity raising costs' asset class which was calculated as the weighted average standard asset life of assets in the opening RAB.

1. Table 5.2 sets out Endeavour Energy's proposed depreciation allowance for the 2014–19 period.

Table 5.2 Endeavour Energy's proposed depreciation allowance for the 2014–19 period ($ million, nominal)

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | 2014–15 | 2015–16 | 2016–17 | 2017–18 | 2018–19 | Total |
| Straight-line depreciation | 202.5 | 221.9 | 240.5 | 251.9 | 264.1 | 1180.9 |
| Less: inflation indexation on opening RAB | 139.8 | 149.6 | 157.3 | 163.8 | 170.8 | 781.3 |
| Regulatory depreciation | 62.6 | 72.3 | 83.1 | 88.1 | 93.3 | 399.6 |

Source: Endeavour Energy, Regulatory proposal, 30 May 2014, Attachment 4.02.

## AER's assessment approach

1. We are required to determine the regulatory depreciation allowance as a part of a service provider's annual revenue requirement.[[5]](#footnote-5) Our calculation of a service provider’s regulatory depreciation building block is made in the PTRM and depends on several components. The calculation of depreciation in each year is governed by the value of assets included in the RAB at the beginning of the regulatory year and the depreciation schedules.[[6]](#footnote-6)
2. Our standard approach to calculating depreciation is to employ the straight-line method as set out in the PTRM. We consider that the straight-line method of depreciation satisfies the NER requirements in clause 6.5.5(b). It provides an expenditure profile that reflects the nature of the assets over their economic life.[[7]](#footnote-7) Regulatory practice has been to assign a standard asset life to each category of assets that represents the economic or technical life of the asset or asset class. We must consider whether the proposed depreciation schedules conform to the following requirements:

* the schedules depreciate using a profile that reflects the nature of the assets or category of assets over the economic life of that asset or category of assets[[8]](#footnote-8)
* the sum of the real value of the depreciation that is attributable to any asset of category of assets must be equivalent to the value at which that asset of category of assets was first included in the RAB for the relevant distribution system.[[9]](#footnote-9)

If a service provider‘s building block proposal does not comply with the above requirements, then we must determine the depreciation schedules for the purposes of calculating the depreciation for each regulatory year.[[10]](#footnote-10)

The regulatory depreciation allowance is an output of the PTRM. We therefore have assessed the service provider's proposed regulatory depreciation allowance by analysing the proposed inputs to the PTRM for calculating the regulatory depreciation allowance. These inputs include:

* the opening RAB as at 1 July 2014
* the forecast net capex in the 2014–19 period
* the forecast inflation rate for the above period
* the standard asset life for each asset class—used for calculating the depreciation of new assets associated with forecast net capex in the above period
* the remaining asset life for each asset class—used for calculating the depreciation of existing assets associated with the opening RAB as at 1 July 2014.

1. Our draft decision on a service provider's regulatory depreciation allowance reflects our determinations on the forecast capex, forecast inflation and opening RAB as at 1 July 2014 building block components (the first three inputs in the above list). Our determinations on these components of the service provider's proposal are discussed in attachments 6, 3 and 2 respectively.
2. In this attachment, we assessed Endeavour Energy's proposed standard asset lives against:

* the approved standard asset lives in the distribution determination for the 2009–14 regulatory control period
* the standard asset lives of comparable asset classes approved in our recent distribution determinations for other service providers.

We employ our standard approach for depreciating a service provider's existing assets in the PTRM by using the remaining asset lives at the start of a regulatory control period. Our preferred method to establish a remaining asset life for each asset class is the weighted average method. This method rolls forward the remaining asset life for an asset class from the beginning of the 2009–14 regulatory control period. We consider this method better reflects the mix of assets within that asset class, when they were acquired over that period (or if they were existing assets), and the remaining value of those assets (used as a weight) at the end of the period. We will assess the outcomes of other approaches against the outcomes of this preferred method.

### Interrelationships

1. The regulatory depreciation allowance is a building block component of the annual revenue requirement.[[11]](#footnote-11) Higher (or quicker) depreciation leads to higher revenues over the regulatory control period. It also causes the RAB to reduce more quickly (assuming no further capex). This reduces the return on capital allowance, although this impact is usually secondary to the increased depreciation allowance.
2. Ultimately, however, a service provider can only recover the capex it has incurred on assets once. The depreciation allowance therefore reflects how quickly the RAB is being recovered and is based on the remaining and standard asset lives used in the depreciation calculation.
3. The depreciation allowance depends on the level of the opening RAB and the forecast capex. Any increase in these factors also increases the depreciation allowance.
4. To prevent double counting of inflation through the WACC and RAB, the regulatory depreciation allowance also has an offsetting reduction for indexation of the RAB.[[12]](#footnote-12) Factors that affect forecast inflation and/or the size of the RAB will therefore affect the size of this indexation adjustment.
5. Figure 2.1 (in attachment 2) shows the relative size of the inflation and straight-line depreciation and their impact on the RAB. A ten per cent increase in the straight-line depreciation causes revenues to increase by about 2.3 per cent.

## Reasons for draft decision

1. We accept Endeavour Energy's proposed straight-line depreciation method for calculating the regulatory depreciation allowance as set out in the PTRM. We also accept the majority of the standard asset lives proposed, and the weighted average method to calculate the remaining asset lives as at 1 July 2014. However, we increased Endeavour Energy's proposed forecast regulatory depreciation allowance by $0.7 million (or 0.2 per cent) to $400.2 million. Our amendment is mainly driven by our determination on other components of Endeavour Energy's regulatory proposal—for example, the forecast capex (attachment 6) and the opening RAB as at 1 July 2014 (attachment 2—affecting the forecast regulatory depreciation allowance.

### Depreciation approach

1. Endeavour Energy's proposed regulatory depreciation was based on the AER’s approach in the PTRM as required under the NER, and adopted our preferred weighted average approach to determining the remaining asset lives for the majority of asset classes. However, it also stated that the PTRM approach and the weighted average remaining lives (WARL) approach under compensated them in terms of depreciation. We disagree with both these statements.[[13]](#footnote-13)
2. In relation to the PTRM approach, Endeavour Energy submitted that having the indexation of the RAB subtracted from the straight-line depreciation undercompensates it in depreciation.[[14]](#footnote-14) This is not correct. The PTRM approach uses both a nominal WACC and an indexed RAB and is consistent with the requirements of the NER.[[15]](#footnote-15) Because inflation is applied to both these components, the service provider would be compensated for inflation twice unless an offsetting adjustment is made for the indexation of the RAB. This revaluation gain could be subtracted as a separate building block, in which case the depreciation allowance would appear larger. In terms of total revenue, however, there is no difference if this indexation is subtracted as a separate building block or subtracted from the depreciation building block as is done in the PTRM. The PTRM approach has been used in all of our electricity and gas decisions to date, including the previous determination for Endeavour Energy.
3. In relation to remaining asset lives, Endeavour Energy stated the WARL approach leads to asset lives that are too long. It suggested that accounting lives may be more appropriate.[[16]](#footnote-16) Beside administrative simplicity, there is no reason regulatory asset lives should necessarily match accounting asset lives or tax assets lives.[[17]](#footnote-17) Regulatory depreciation takes the objectives of the regulatory regime into account. These objectives have a strong underlying economic rationale. From an economic perspective, there could be situations were accelerated depreciation is desirable and other situations where back loading of depreciation is most economic. A regulator may therefore have to make decisions that are at odds with accounting conventions developed for corporate reporting or other purposes. To minimise administration costs, a regulator will apply existing accounting approaches where they are likely to produce outcomes consistent with the regulatory regime’s objectives.
4. In Endeavour Energy’s proposal, it stated its accounting average remaining asset life is on average 33.5 years, while the WARL is 30.4 years on average.[[18]](#footnote-18) To adopt the accounting based remaining asset life would result in Endeavour Energy receiving a lower depreciation allowance. It is therefore inconsistent for Endeavour Energy to submit it has received a lower depreciation allowance through the application of the WARL method. Given the similarity of claims across the NSW distribution service providers, it appears this line of argument in Endeavour Energy's proposal was formulated without considered analysis of the implication of this position to its situation. It also shows that WARLs are not necessarily longer than accounting remaining asset lives.
5. In its submission to the NSW service provider's regulatory proposals, AGL stated that the RAB depreciation should outweigh any new capex incurred during the 2014–19 period.[[19]](#footnote-19) This would ensure a declining RAB over the period, which AGL stated would be consistent with the conditions of the NSW energy market, in particular declining demand. We consider this to be an issue related to the forecast capex allowance rather than a problem with the depreciation approach. Forecast capex is discussed in attachment 6.

### Standard asset lives

1. We accept the majority of Endeavour Energy's proposed standard asset lives for its existing asset classes, because they are consistent with our approved standard asset lives for the 2009–14 regulatory control period. We are satisfied these proposed standard asset lives reflect the nature of the assets over the economic lives of the asset classes.[[20]](#footnote-20)
2. We accept Endeavour Energy's approach to setting the standard asset life for 'Equity raising costs' to the weighted average standard asset life of existing assets in the opening RAB. However, we have identified an error in the formula used in this calculation. We have updated this value to correct this error and account for changes in the opening RAB as discussed in attachment 2.
3. Table 5.3 sets out our draft decision on Endeavour Energy's standard asset lives for the 2014–19 period.

### Remaining asset lives

1. We accept Endeavour Energy's proposed weighted average method to calculate the remaining asset lives as at 1 July 2014. The proposed method is consistent with our preferred approach. However, we do not accept Endeavour Energy's proposed weighted average remaining life of 19.6 years for the 'Customer metering and load control' asset class. We are satisfied that in principle an adjustment to the remaining asset life for this asset class may be required to account for the removal of assets from standard control services to alternative control services. However, we do not accept Endeavour Energy's approach to calculating the proposed adjustment to the remaining asset life. This is because Endeavour Energy's approach does not result in a depreciation schedule that reflects the nature of assets in that class over their economic life.[[21]](#footnote-21) Our draft decision is to apply a remaining life of 23.1 years for this asset class. This is consistent with the approach of the other NSW distribution service providers to apply the remaining asset life set out in the RFM for this asset class.[[22]](#footnote-22)
2. In accepting the weighted average method, we have updated Endeavour Energy's remaining asset lives to reflect our adjustments to the actual net capex in the RAB roll forward in the RFM, as discussed in attachment 2.[[23]](#footnote-23) This is because the actual net capex values are inputs for calculating the weighted average remaining asset lives in the RFM.
3. We note the Energy Markets Reform Forum's (EMRF) submission raised concern with the possible early retirement of assets due to the assumed asset lives. The EMRF submitted that because of reduced loading, that of the assets can operate effectively past when they are said to be fully depreciated and due to be replaced.[[24]](#footnote-24) We note that Endeavour Energy employs asset condition based regime for its asset replacement and we employ repex modelling as part of our assessment of the proposed capex replacement. This is discussed further in attachment 6.
4. Table 5.3 sets out our draft decision on Endeavour Energy's remaining asset lives for the 2014–19 period.

Table 5. AER's draft decision on Endeavour Energy's standard and remaining asset lives as at 1 July 2014 (years)

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Asset class | Standard asset life | Remaining asset life as at 1 July 2014 |
| Sub-transmission lines and cables | 47.4 | 31.7 |
| Distribution lines and cables | 50.6 | 38.3 |
| Substations | 40.0 | 28.2 |
| Transformers | 44.3 | 24.6 |
| Low voltage lines and cables | 52.4 | 27.0 |
| Customer metering and load control | 25.0 | 23.1 |
| Communication | 8.4 | 6.9 |
| Land | n/a | n/a |
| Easements | n/a | n/a |
| Equity raising costs | 42.4 | 37.0 |
| Emergency spares (major plant, excludes inventory) | 23.6 | 12.9 |
| Information & communication technology | 5.0 | 3.5 |
| Furniture, fittings, plant and equipment | 13.0 | 8.1 |
| Motor vehicles | 8.0 | 5.7 |
| Buildings | 50.0 | 44.8 |

Source: AER analysis.

n/a: not applicable.

1. NER, cl 6.12.1(8). [↑](#footnote-ref-1)
2. NER, cll 6.43(a)(1) and (3). [↑](#footnote-ref-2)
3. NER, cl 6.5.5(b)(1). [↑](#footnote-ref-3)
4. NER, cl 6.5.5(a)(1). [↑](#footnote-ref-4)
5. NER, cll 6.4.3(a)(1) and (b)(3). [↑](#footnote-ref-5)
6. NER, cl 6.5.5(a). [↑](#footnote-ref-6)
7. NER, cl 6.5.5(b)(1). [↑](#footnote-ref-7)
8. NER, cl 6.5.5(b)(1). [↑](#footnote-ref-8)
9. NER, cl 6.5.5(b)(2). [↑](#footnote-ref-9)
10. NER, cl 6.5.5(a)(ii). [↑](#footnote-ref-10)
11. In the PTRM, the distinction is made between straight-line depreciation and regulatory depreciation. The difference being that regulatory depreciation is the straight-line depreciation minus the indexation adjustment. [↑](#footnote-ref-11)
12. If the asset lives are extremely long, such that the straight-line depreciation rate is lower than the inflation rate, then negative regulatory depreciation can emerge. The indexation adjustment is greater than the straight-line depreciation in such circumstances. [↑](#footnote-ref-12)
13. Endeavour Energy, Regulatory proposal, 30 May 2014, pp. 34–35. [↑](#footnote-ref-13)
14. Endeavour Energy, Regulatory proposal, 30 May 2014, pp. 34–35. [↑](#footnote-ref-14)
15. NER, cls 6.4.3(b)(1)(ii) and (b)(2); 6.5.2(d)(2). [↑](#footnote-ref-15)
16. Endeavour Energy, Regulatory proposal, 30 May 2014, p. 34. [↑](#footnote-ref-16)
17. Even accounting asset lives don’t necessary match tax asset lives. [↑](#footnote-ref-17)
18. Endeavour Energy, Regulatory proposal, 30 May 2014, p. 34. [↑](#footnote-ref-18)
19. AGL, Submission to the AER – NSW Electricity Distribution Networks Regulatory Proposals 2014–19, 8 August 2014, p. 10. [↑](#footnote-ref-19)
20. NER, cl 6.5.5(b)(1). [↑](#footnote-ref-20)
21. NER, cl 6.5.5(b)(1). [↑](#footnote-ref-21)
22. Endeavour Energy agreed to this approach in discussions following its response to Information request AER ENDEAVOUR 015, 20 August 2014. [↑](#footnote-ref-22)
23. At the time of this draft decision, the roll forward of Endeavour Energy's RAB includes estimated capex values for 2013–14. We will update the 2013–14 estimated capex values with the actual values for the final decision. The 2013–14 capex values are used to calculate the weighted average remaining asset lives in the RFM. Therefore, for the final decision we will recalculate Endeavour Energy's remaining asset lives as at 1 July 2014 using the method approved in this draft decision. [↑](#footnote-ref-23)
24. Energy Markets Reform Forum, Submission to the AER – NSW Electricity Distribution Networks Regulatory Proposals 2014–19, July 2014, pp. 41–43. [↑](#footnote-ref-24)