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1. Shortened forms

| 1. Shortened form
 | 1. Extended form
 |
| --- | --- |
| 1. AARR
 | 1. aggregate annual revenue requirement
 |
| 1. AEMC
 | 1. Australian Energy Market Commission
 |
| 1. AEMO
 | 1. Australian Energy Market Operator
 |
| 1. AER
 | 1. Australian Energy Regulator
 |
| 1. ASRR
 | 1. aggregate service revenue requirement
 |
| 1. augex
 | 1. augmentation expenditure
 |
| 1. capex
 | 1. capital expenditure
 |
| 1. CCP
 | 1. Consumer Challenge Panel
 |
| 1. CESS
 | 1. capital expenditure sharing scheme
 |
| 1. CPI
 | 1. consumer price index
 |
| 1. CPI-X
 | 1. consumer price index minus X
 |
| 1. DRP
 | 1. debt risk premium
 |
| 1. DMIA
 | 1. demand management innovation allowance
 |
| 1. DMIS
 | 1. demand management incentive scheme
 |
| 1. distributor
 | 1. distribution network service provider
 |
| 1. DUoS
 | 1. distribution use of system
 |
| 1. EBSS
 | 1. efficiency benefit sharing scheme
 |
| 1. ERP
 | 1. equity risk premium
 |
| 1. expenditure assessment guideline
 | 1. expenditure forecast assessment guideline for electricity distribution
 |
| 1. F&A
 | 1. framework and approach
 |
| 1. MRP
 | 1. market risk premium
 |
| 1. NEL
 | 1. national electricity law
 |
| 1. NEM
 | 1. national electricity market
 |
| 1. NEO
 | 1. national electricity objective
 |
| 1. NER
 | 1. national electricity rules
 |
| 1. NSP
 | 1. network service provider
 |
| 1. opex
 | 1. operating expenditure
 |
| 1. PPI
 | 1. partial performance indicators
 |
| 1. PTRM
 | 1. post-tax revenue model
 |
| 1. RAB
 | 1. regulatory asset base
 |
| 1. RBA
 | 1. Reserve Bank of Australia
 |
| 1. repex
 | 1. replacement expenditure
 |
| 1. RFM
 | 1. roll forward model
 |
| 1. RIN
 | 1. regulatory information notice
 |
| 1. RPP
 | 1. revenue pricing principles
 |
| 1. SAIDI
 | 1. system average interruption duration index
 |
| 1. SAIFI
 | 1. system average interruption frequency index
 |
| 1. SLCAPM
 | 1. Sharpe-Lintner capital asset pricing model
 |
| 1. STPIS
 | 1. service target performance incentive scheme
 |
| 1. WACC
 | 1. weighted average cost of capital
 |

# Pricing methodology

1. A pricing methodology forms part of our regulatory determination.[[1]](#footnote-1) Its role is to answer the question ‘who should pay how much'[[2]](#footnote-2) in order for a network business to recover its costs relating to transmission services. To do this, a pricing methodology must provide a 'formula, process or approach'[[3]](#footnote-3) that when applied:
* allocates the aggregate annual revenue requirement to the categories of prescribed transmission services that a network business provides and to the connection points of network users[[4]](#footnote-4)
* determines the structure of prices that a network business may charge for each category of prescribed transmission services.[[5]](#footnote-5)
1. Ausgrid must submit a pricing methodology to us for approval because its network comprises of distribution and dual function assets, which are subject to the pricing arrangements for transmission standard control services.[[6]](#footnote-6)
2. This attachment sets out the determination on Ausgrid's proposed pricing methodology for the 2015–19 regulatory control period.

## Draft decision

We do not approve Ausgrid's proposed pricing methodology for the 2015–19 regulatory control period. Some sections of their proposal include aspects of TransGrid's proposed 2015–18 regulatory control period pricing methodology. Our draft decision does not accept TransGrid's pricing methodology. It follows that we do not accept Ausgrid's methodology for the same reasons. We expect the latter will engage with TransGrid about the changes both service providers should make before submitting a revised pricing methodology to us.

## Ausgrid's proposal

1. Ausgrid submitted its proposed pricing methodology for the 2015–19 regulatory control period in May. The proposal is the same as its pricing methodology we previously approved for the 2009–14 regulatory control period, with the exception of minor editorial changes.
2. Ausgrid noted that certain requirements under the pricing principles in the NER and pricing methodology guidelines were picked up TransGrid in the pricing methodology it submitted to us.
3. These requirements are:
* any adjustments required to be made to the locational component of the annual service revenue requirement (ASRR) as required in the NER
* any adjustments required to be made to the pre–adjusted non–locational component of the ASRR as required in the NER.
* allocation of the locational component of prescribed TUoS services to transmission connection points
* establishing the structure and price for prescribed transmission services.[[7]](#footnote-7)

## AER's assessment approach

1. We must approve a proposed pricing methodology if satisfied that it:
* gives effect to, and complies with, the pricing principles for prescribed transmission services
* complies with the information requirements of the pricing methodology guidelines.[[8]](#footnote-8)

## Reasons for draft decision

1. We do not accept Ausgrid's proposed pricing methodology. Although it's largely the same as what we approved five years ago, aspects of Ausgrid's proposal adopt the arrangements TransGrid put forward in its proposed pricing methodology for the 2015–18 period. These include arrangements we have not accepted in our draft decision for TransGrid. It follows we should not accept Ausgrid's proposal either.
2. Notwithstanding this, we agree that it is appropriate for Ausgrid to adopt aspects of TransGrid's pricing methodology. Where there are multiple transmission businesses in a region, those businesses must appoint a co-ordinating network service provider.[[9]](#footnote-9) In Ausgrid's case, it has appointed TransGrid. In accordance with the NER, TransGrid is therefore responsible for allocating all relevant aggregate annual revenue requirement (AARR) in its region (New South Wales).[[10]](#footnote-10) This includes Ausgrid's AARR. In such circumstances, Ausgrid's proposal to adopt the requirements from TransGrid's proposal set in 1.2 above is appropriate.
3. It is important to clarify how Ausgrid and TransGrid's pricing methodologies will interact. We note that the lengths of the two businesses' regulatory control periods are different. Ausgrid's is from 1 July 2015 to 30 June 2019. TransGrid's regulatory control period has the same commencement date but ends on 30 June 2018.
4. In this context, we confirm that the aspects of TransGrid's 2015–18 pricing methodology which Ausgrid adopts will apply to Ausgrid for its entire 2015–19 regulatory control period. To avoid any doubt, if TransGrid submits a modified pricing methodology for its next regulatory control period (2018–19 and beyond), those modification will not apply to Ausgrid in 2018–19. This is consistent with the NER requirement that the same pricing methodology applies to a business for the duration of its regulatory control period.[[11]](#footnote-11)

### Assessment against the pricing principles

1. We consider Ausgrid's proposed pricing methodology accords with the requirements of the NER pricing principles. The pricing principles are intended to provide scope for transmission businesses to develop pricing arrangements that address the circumstances in which they operate their network.[[12]](#footnote-12)

Calculation and allocation of the aggregate annual revenue requirement

1. We assessed Ausgrid's method for calculating and allocating its aggregate annual revenue requirement, and consider that it meets the NER requirements.
2. The aggregate annual revenue requirement is the 'maximum allowed revenue' adjusted:
* in accordance with clause 6A.3.2 of the NER, for a number of factors such as cost pass throughs, service target performance incentive scheme outcomes and contingent projects
* by subtracting the operating and maintenance costs expected to be incurred in the provision of prescribed common transmission services

Table 19-1 summarises our review of how Ausgrid' proposed pricing methodology calculates and allocates the business's aggregate annual revenue requirement.

Table 19-1 Ausgrid' proposed calculation and allocation of the AARR against the NER requirements

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| NER requirements | Assessment |
| Requirement for the AARR to be calculated as defined in the NER—clause 6A.22.1 | Section 3.1 of Ausgrid's proposed pricing methodology complies with this requirement. |
| Requirement for the AARR to be allocated to each category of prescribed transmission services in accordance with attributable cost share for each such category of service—clause 6A.23.2(a) | Section 3.3 of Ausgrid's proposed pricing methodology complies with this requirement. |
| Requirement for every portion of the AARR to be allocated and for the same portion of AARR not to be allocated more than once—clause 6A.23.2(c) | Section 3.2 and Appendix A of Ausgrid's proposed pricing methodology complies with this requirement. |
| Subject to clause 11.6.11 of the NER, requirement for adjusting attributable cost share and priority ordering approach to asset costs that would otherwise be attributed to the provision of more than one category of prescribed transmission services—clause 6A.23.2(d) | Section 3.2 and Appendix A of Ausgrid's proposed pricing methodology complies with this requirement. |

Source: Ausgrid, Proposed transmission pricing methodology (Attachment 9.03), May 2014.

Allocation of the ASRR to transmission network connection points

1. We assessed Ausgrid's proposed pricing methodology for allocating the ASRR, and consider it meets the NER requirements. The exception to this is the requirement to allocate the annual service revenue requirement (ASRR) to prescribed TUoS services. Table 19-2 summarises our assessment.

Table 19-2 Ausgrid's proposed allocation of the ASRR against the NER requirements

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| 1. NER requirements
 | 1. AER assessment
 |
| 1. Requirement for whole ASRR for prescribed entry services to be allocated to transmission network connection points in accordance with the attributable connection point cost share for prescribed entry services that are provided by the TNSP at that connection point—clause 6A.23.3(a)
 | 1. Section 3.4.1 of Ausgrid's proposed pricing methodology complies with this requirement.
 |
| 1. Requirement for the whole ASRR prescribed exit services to be allocated to transmission network connection points in accordance with the attributable connection point cost share for prescribed exit services that are provided by the TNSP at that connection point—clause 6A.23.3(b)
 | 1. Section 3.4.2 of Ausgrid's proposed pricing methodology complies with this requirement.
 |
| 1. Requirement for the allocation of the ASRR for:
2. prescribed TUOS services
3. locational components
4. pre-adjusted non-locational components
5. —clause 6A.23.3(c)
 | 1. The allocation of the locational component of prescribed TUoS services will be carried out by TransGrid on the behalf of Ausgrid.
2. Our draft decision is to not approve this aspect of TransGrid's proposed pricing methodology, so it follows that we do not accept this part of Ausgrid's proposal too.
 |
| 1. Requirement for adjusting attributable cost share and priority ordering approach to asset costs that would otherwise be attributed to the provision of more than one category of prescribed transmission services—clause 6A.23.2(d)
 | 1. Section 3.4.4 and Appendix A of Ausgrid's proposed pricing methodology complies with this requirement.
 |
| 1. Requirement for the recovery of the ASRR for prescribed common transmission services and the operating and maintenance costs incurred in the provision of those services to be recovered through prices charged to transmission customers and network service and network service provider transmission connection points set in accordance with price structure principles set out in clause 6A.23.4—clause 6A.23.3(f)
 | 1. Section 3.6 of Ausgrid's proposed pricing methodology complies with this requirement.
 |

Source: Ausgrid, Proposed transmission pricing methodology (Attachment 9.03), May 2014.

**Development of price structure**

1. A pricing methodology must develop a price structure which complies with the NER.[[13]](#footnote-13) However, Ausgrid did not set out how it will develop a price structure in its proposal. It stated that TransGrid is responsible for undertaking this for 'common, general, and locational charges at each of Ausgrid's transmission connection points'.[[14]](#footnote-14)
2. We are satisfied that it is appropriate for TransGrid, as the co–coordinating network service provider in NSW, to develop the price structure for Ausgrid prescribed transmission services. However, because we did not approve TransGrid's proposed pricing structure in its entirety, we cannot accept this aspect of Ausgrid's either. We expect that Ausgrid will engage with TransGrid about the changes it makes before submitting a revised pricing methodology.
3. In particular, we did not accept TransGrid's proposed postage stamp structure for non–locational TUoS services. We considered the proposal offers potential benefits but concluded that a particular element of the pricing structure—a side constraint—did not comply with the requirement to charge non–locational TUoS services on a 'postage stamp basis'.[[15]](#footnote-15) Our draft decision for TransGrid sets out our reasons in more detail.

### Information requirements

1. The AER is satisfied that the proposed pricing methodology complies with the information requirements of the pricing methodology guidelines. Key features of the proposal include:
* acknowledging that Ausgrid is the only transmission business in its region (New South Wales)
* calculating the locational component of prescribed TUOS services costs using a cost reflective network pricing methodology
* basing the locational prescribed TUOS services price on an agreed nominated demand and the average half hourly demand
* basing the postage stamp pricing structure for the non-locational component of prescribed TUOS services and prescribed common transmission services on contract agreed maximum demand or historical energy
* using the priority ordering approach under clause 6A.23.3(d) of the NER to implement priority ordering
* describing how asset costs that may be attributable to both prescribed entry services and prescribed exit services will be allocated at a connection point
* describing billing arrangements as in clause 6A.27 of the NER
* describing prudential requirements as in clause 6A.28 of the NER
* including hypothetical examples
* describing how Ausgrid intends to monitor and develop records of its compliance with its approved pricing methodology.
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