

# Draft Decision

**Energex Electricity**

**Distribution Determination**

**2025 to 2030**

**(1 July 2025 to 30 June 2030)**

**Attachment 1**

**Annual revenue requirement**

**September 2024**

© Commonwealth of Australia 2024

This work is copyright. In addition to any use permitted under the *Copyright Act 1968* all material contained within this work is provided under a Creative Commons Attributions 4.0 Australia licence with the exception of:

- the Commonwealth Coat of Arms
- the ACCC and AER logos
- any illustration diagram, photograph or graphic over which the Australian Competition and Consumer Commission does not hold copyright but which may be part of or contained within this publication.

The details of the relevant licence conditions are available on the Creative Commons website as is the full legal code for the CC BY 4.0 AU licence.

### **Important notice**

The information in this publication is for general guidance only. It does not constitute legal or other professional advice. You should seek legal advice or other professional advice in relation to your particular circumstances.

The AER has made every reasonable effort to provide current and accurate information, but it does not warrant or make any guarantees about the accuracy, currency or completeness of information in this publication.

Parties who wish to re-publish or otherwise use the information in this publication should check the information for currency and accuracy prior to publication.

Inquiries about this publication should be addressed to:

Australian Energy Regulator  
 GPO Box 3131  
 Canberra ACT 2601  
 Email: [aerinquiry@aer.gov.au](mailto:aerinquiry@aer.gov.au)  
 Tel: 1300 585 165

AER reference: AER213703

### **Amendment record**

Version	Date	Pages
1	23 September 2024	16

# Contents

<b>1</b>	<b>Annual revenue requirement</b> .....	<b>1</b>
1.1	Draft decision.....	1
1.2	Energex's proposal .....	2
1.3	Assessment approach .....	3
1.4	Reasons for draft decision .....	6
	<b>Shortened forms</b> .....	<b>16</b>

# 1 Annual revenue requirement

This attachment sets out our draft decision on Energex’s annual revenue requirement (ARR) and expected revenues for the provision of standard control services (SCS) over the 2025–30 regulatory control period. Specifically, it sets out our draft decision on:<sup>1</sup>

- the ARRs (unsmoothed), which are the sum of annual building block costs
- the total revenue requirement, which is the sum of the ARRs
- the annual expected revenues (smoothed)
- the X factors.

This attachment discusses our draft decision on the above for the main SCS, with metering SCS being discussed in Attachment 20.

We determine Energex’s ARR using a building block approach. We determine the X factors by smoothing the ARR over the 2025–30 period. The X factor is used in the CPI–X methodology to determine the annual expected revenue (smoothed).

## 1.1 Draft decision

We determine a total ARR of \$8,694.3 million (\$ nominal, unsmoothed) for Energex over the 2025–30 period for the main SCS. This amount reflects our draft decision on the various building block costs and represents a reduction of \$184.0 million (2.1%) to Energex’s proposed total ARR of \$8,878.3 million. This reduction is largely driven by the lower return on capital and regulatory depreciation building blocks determined in this draft decision, which have been partially offset by a higher cost of corporate income tax building block.

We determine the annual expected revenue (smoothed) and X factor for each regulatory year for the 2025–30 period by smoothing the ARR. For the 2025–30 period, our draft decision is to approve total expected revenues of \$8,703.6 million (\$ nominal, smoothed) for Energex.

At the time of making this draft decision, we have used placeholder values for certain components such as the rate of return and expected inflation. We will make further updates for these values as part of our final decision. It is for this reason that we expect the total expected revenues approved in our final decision to be different to this draft decision.

Table 1.1 sets our draft decision on the building block costs, the ARR, annual expected revenue and X factor for Energex over the 2025–30 period.

**Table 1.1 AER's draft decision on Energex’s ARR, annual expected revenue and X factor for the 2025–30 period (\$ million, nominal)**

	2025–26	2026–27	2027–28	2028–29	2029–30	Total
Return on capital	940.3	967.0	998.8	1,034.9	1,071.6	5,012.5

<sup>1</sup> NER, cl. 6.3.2(a)(1), 6.5.9(a) and 6.5.9(b)(1)–(2).

	2025–26	2026–27	2027–28	2028–29	2029–30	Total
Regulatory depreciation <sup>a</sup>	198.4	227.6	254.5	279.9	285.8	1,246.2
Operating expenditure <sup>b</sup>	464.2	480.5	497.0	514.4	532.5	2,488.7
Revenue adjustments <sup>c</sup>	-83.8	-47.3	-39.8	-10.6	-15.0	-196.5
Cost of corporate income tax	19.8	22.4	26.7	36.0	38.6	143.4
Annual revenue requirement (unsmoothed)	1,539.0	1,650.2	1,737.2	1,854.5	1,913.5	8,694.3
<b>Annual expected revenue (smoothed)</b>	<b>1,525.5</b>	<b>1,626.2</b>	<b>1,733.6</b>	<b>1,848.1</b>	<b>1,970.2</b>	<b>8,703.6</b>
X factor <sup>d</sup>	n/a <sup>e</sup>	-3.65%	-3.65%	-3.65%	-3.65%	n/a

Source: AER analysis.

- (a) Regulatory depreciation is straight-line depreciation net of the inflation indexation on the opening regulatory asset base (RAB).
- (b) Includes debt raising costs.
- (c) Includes revenue adjustments from the efficiency benefit sharing scheme (EBSS), the capital expenditure sharing scheme (CESS) and the demand management innovation allowance mechanism (DMIAM).
- (d) The X factors will be revised to reflect the annual return on debt update. Under the CPI-X framework, the X factor measures the real rate of change in annual expected revenue from one year to the next. A negative X factor represents a real increase in revenue. Conversely, a positive X factor represents a real decrease in revenue.
- (e) Energex is not required to apply an X factor for 2025–26 because we set the 2025–26 expected revenue in this decision. The expected revenue for 2025–26 is around 3.9% higher than the approved total annual revenue for 2024–25 in real terms, or 6.9% higher in nominal terms.

Our draft decision also allows Energex to recover \$377.2 million (\$ nominal, smoothed) from its customers for the 2025–30 period for legacy metering services. Our assessment of metering revenue is not included in the total revenue set out in this attachment and is discussed in Attachment 20 of this draft decision.

## 1.2 Energex's proposal

Energex proposed a total expected revenue (smoothed) of \$8,897.8 million (\$ nominal) for the 2025–30 period. Table 1.2 shows Energex proposed building block costs, the ARR, expected revenue and X factor for each year of the 2025–30 period.

**Table 1.2** Energex’s proposed ARR, annual expected revenue and X factor for the 2025–30 period (\$ million, nominal)

	2025–26	2026–27	2027–28	2028–29	2029–30	Total
Return on capital	942.0	980.5	1,024.7	1,072.3	1,120.7	5,140.1
Regulatory depreciation <sup>a</sup>	209.6	240.3	268.8	294.5	300.9	1,314.0
Operating expenditure <sup>b</sup>	464.0	480.1	496.3	513.4	531.3	2,485.0
Revenue adjustments <sup>c</sup>	-79.2	-42.5	-34.9	-5.6	-9.3	-171.6
Cost of corporate income tax	15.4	16.7	20.4	28.5	29.8	110.8
Annual revenue requirement (unsmoothed)	1,551.8	1,675.0	1,775.2	1,903.1	1,973.4	8,878.3
<b>Annual expected revenue (smoothed)</b>	<b>1,510.8</b>	<b>1,634.6</b>	<b>1,768.6</b>	<b>1,913.5</b>	<b>2,070.3</b>	<b>8,897.8</b>
X factor	n/a <sup>d</sup>	-5.25%	-5.25%	-5.25%	-5.25%	-5.25%

Source: Energex, *8.03–Model SCS AER PTRM*, February 2024.

- (a) Regulatory depreciation is straight-line depreciation net of the inflation indexation on the opening RAB.  
(b) Includes debt raising costs.  
(c) Includes revenue adjustments from EBSS, CESS and DMIAM.  
(d) Energex is not required to apply an X factor for 2025–26 because we set the 2025–26 expected revenue in this decision.

## 1.3 Assessment approach

In this section, we describe the building block approach used to determine the ARR and expected revenue for Energex for each year of the 2025–30 period.<sup>2</sup>

### 1.3.1 The building block approach

The ARR is calculated using the post-tax revenue model (PTRM).<sup>3</sup> For the applicable control mechanism (Attachment 14) applying to SCS, the revenue to be earned by the distributor (expected revenues) for the regulatory control period must be equal to the net present value (NPV) of the total revenue requirement.<sup>4</sup> The total revenue requirement is the sum of the ARRs for the regulatory control period. In turn, the ARR must be determined using a building block approach.<sup>5</sup> Therefore, we adopt a building block approach when making our decision on Energex’s total ARR and expected revenue for each regulatory year of the regulatory control period. Under this approach, we determine the value of the building block costs that make up the ARR for each regulatory year. The ARR for each year is the sum of the building block costs. These building block costs are set out in section 1.3.2.

<sup>2</sup> NER, cl. 6.3.2(a)(1), 6.5.9(b)(2).

<sup>3</sup> NER, cl. 6.4.2.

<sup>4</sup> NER, cl. 6.5.9(b)(3)(i).

<sup>5</sup> NER, cl. 6.4.3.

We developed the PTRM, which brings together the various building block costs and calculates the ARR for each year of the regulatory control period.<sup>6</sup> The PTRM also calculates the X factors required under the CPI–X methodology<sup>7</sup> which is used to escalate the expected revenue for each year (other than the first year) of the regulatory control period.<sup>8</sup> Using the X factors and ARR, the annual expected revenue (smoothed) is forecast for each year of the regulatory control period. Energex’s proposal must be prepared using our PTRM.<sup>9</sup>

The ARR can be lumpy over the regulatory control period. To minimise price shocks, revenues are smoothed within a regulatory control period while maintaining the principle of cost recovery under the building block approach. Smoothing requires diverting some of the cost recovery to adjacent years within the regulatory control period so that the NPV of the annual expected revenue (smoothed revenues) is equal to the NPV of the ARR (unsmoothed revenues). That is, a smoothed profile of the expected revenue is determined for the regulatory control period under the CPI–X methodology.

The expected revenue for the first year is generally set equal to the ARR for the first year of the regulatory control period. At times, it may be more appropriate to set the expected revenue for the first year to align with the revenue from the last year of the previous regulatory control period to avoid any large revenue variation between periods (or  $P_0$ ).<sup>10</sup>

In this determination for Energex, we first calculate the ARR for each year of the 2025–30 period. To do this we consider the various costs facing Energex and the trade-offs and interactions between these costs, service quality and across years. This reflects our holistic assessment of Energex’s proposal.

We understand the trade-offs that occur between building block costs and test the sensitivity of these costs to their various driver elements. These trade-offs are discussed in the interrelationships section of the various attachments to this draft decision and are reflected in the calculations made in the PTRM.<sup>11</sup> Such understanding allows us to exercise judgement in determining the final inputs into the PTRM and the ARR that result from this modelling.

Having determined the total revenue requirement for the 2025–30 period, we smooth the ARR for each regulatory year across that period. This step reduces revenue variations between years, and calculates the expected revenue and X factor for each year.<sup>12</sup> The X

---

<sup>6</sup> NER, cl. 6.4.2.

<sup>7</sup> NER, cl. 6.2.6(a).

<sup>8</sup> NER, cl. 6.5.9.

<sup>9</sup> NER, cl. 6.3.1(c).

<sup>10</sup> The expected revenue for year 1 of the next regulatory control period may include adjustments for the performance incentive that applied during the previous regulatory control period, and under or over recovery adjustments from previous regulatory years.

<sup>11</sup> There are trade-offs that are not modelled in the PTRM but are reflected in the inputs to the PTRM. For example, service quality is not explicitly modelled in the PTRM, but the trade-offs between service quality and price are reflected in the forecast capital expenditure and operating expenditure inputs to the model. Other trade-offs are obvious from the calculations in the PTRM. For example, while it may be expected that a lower RAB would also lower revenues, the PTRM shows that this will not occur if the reduction in the RAB is due solely to an increase in the depreciation rate. In such circumstances, revenues increase as the increased depreciation more than offsets the reduction in the return on capital caused by the lower RAB.

<sup>12</sup> NER, cl. 6.5.9(a).

factors equalise (in net present value terms) the total expected revenues to be earned by Energex with the total revenue requirement for the 2025–30 period.<sup>13</sup> The X factor profile must also minimise, as far as reasonably possible, the variance between the expected revenue and ARR for the last regulatory year of the period.<sup>14</sup> By minimising this divergence, it helps to manage the prospect of a significant revenue change (and consequently prices) between the last year of the 2025–30 period, and first year of the following 2030–35 period. We consider a divergence of up to 3% between the expected revenue and ARR for the last year of the regulatory control period is reasonable, if this can promote smoother price changes across the regulatory control periods.

The building block costs (and the elements that drive those costs) used to determine the unsmoothed ARR are set out in section 1.3.2.

### 1.3.2 Building block costs

The efficient costs to be recovered by a distributor can be thought of as being made up of various building block costs. Our draft decision assesses each of the building block costs and the elements that drive these costs. The building block costs are approved reflecting trade-offs and interactions between the cost elements, service quality and across years.

Table 1.3 shows the building block costs that form the ARR for each year and where discussion on the elements that drive these costs can be found within this draft decision.

**Table 1.3 Building block costs**

Building block costs	Attachments where elements are discussed
Return on capital	Regulatory asset base (Attachment 2) Rate of return (Attachment 3) Capital expenditure (Attachment 5)
Regulatory depreciation (return of capital)	Regulatory asset base (Attachment 2) Regulatory depreciation (Attachment 4) Capital expenditure (Attachment 5)
Operating expenditure	Operating expenditure (Attachment 6)
Estimated cost of corporate income tax	Corporate income tax (Attachment 7)
Other revenue adjustments	
Adjustments for shared assets	Annual revenue requirement (Attachment 1)
Operating efficiency benefits/penalties	Efficiency benefit sharing scheme (Attachment 8)

<sup>13</sup> NER, cl. 6.5.9(b)(3)(i). The X factors represent the real revenue path over the 2025–30 period under the CPI-X framework.

<sup>14</sup> NER, cl. 6.5.9(b)(2).



Building block costs	Attachments where elements are discussed
Capital efficiency benefits/penalties	Capital expenditure sharing scheme (Attachment 9)
Demand management innovation allowance	Demand management incentive scheme and Demand management innovation allowance mechanism (Attachment 11)

## 1.4 Reasons for draft decision


We determine a total ARR of \$8,694.3 million (\$ nominal, unsmoothed) for Energex over the 2025–30 period. This is a reduction of \$184.0 million (2.1%) to Energex’s proposed total ARR of \$8,878.3 million for this period. This reflects the impact of our draft decision on the various building block costs.

The changes we made to Energex’s proposed building blocks include (in nominal terms):

- a reduction in the return on capital of \$127.6 million (2.5%) (Attachments 2, 3 and 5). This is driven primarily by a lower opening RAB, forecast capital expenditure (capex) and rate of return in our draft decision compared to Energex’s proposal.
- a reduction in the regulatory depreciation of \$67.9 million (5.2%) (Attachments 2, 4 and 5). This is driven primarily by a lower forecast capex in our draft decision compared to Energex’s proposal, which reduces the straight-line depreciation.
- an increase in the operating expenditure (opex) forecast of \$3.7 million (0.1%) (Attachment 6). This is due to the higher expected inflation rate applied in this draft decision compared to Energex’s proposal. Our draft decision has accepted Energex’s proposed total opex in real 2024–25 dollar terms (Attachment 6).
- an increase in the cost of corporate income tax of \$32.7 million (29.5%) (Attachment 7). This is driven primarily a lower tax depreciation amount determined in this draft decision compared to Energex’s proposal.
- a reduction in the revenue adjustments of \$25.0 million (Attachments 8, 9 and 11). This is driven primarily by a penalty for CESS true-up for 2019–20 determined in this draft decision.

Figure 1.1 shows the building block components from our determination that make up the ARR for Energex, and the corresponding components from its proposal.

**Figure 1.1 AER's draft decision and Energex's proposed ARR (\$ million, nominal)**



Source: AER analysis; Energex, *8.03–Model–SCS PTRM*, February 2024.

Note: Revenue adjustments include EBSS, CESS and DMIAM amounts. Opex includes debt raising costs.


### 1.4.1 X factor and annual expected revenue

For this draft decision, we determine an X factor for Energex of  $-3.65\%$  per annum for the four years of the regulatory control period from 2026–27 to 2029–30.<sup>15</sup> The NPV of the ARRs is \$7,258.6 million (\$ nominal) as at 1 July 2025. Based on this NPV and applying the CPI–X framework, we determine that the expected revenue (smoothed) for Energex is \$1,525.5 million in 2025–26 increasing to \$1,970.2 million in 2029–30 (\$ nominal). The resulting total expected revenue is \$8,703.6 million for the 2025–30 period.

Figure 1.2 shows our draft decision on Energex's annual expected revenue (smoothed revenue) and the ARR (unsmoothed revenue) for the 2025–30 period.

<sup>15</sup> Energex is not required to apply an X factor for 2025–26 because we set the 2025–26 expected revenue in this decision.

**Figure 1.2 AER’s draft decision on Energex’s revenue for the 2025–30 period (\$ million, nominal)**



Source: AER analysis; Energex, *8.03–Model–SCS PTRM*, February 2024.

To determine the profile of expected revenue for Energex over the 2025–30 period, we have set the expected revenue for the first regulatory year at \$1,525.5 million (\$ nominal). This is \$13.5 million lower than the ARR for that first year. We then apply an expected inflation rate of 2.85% per annum and a profile of X factors to determine the expected revenue in subsequent years.<sup>16</sup> We consider that our profile of X factors results in an expected revenue in the last year of the regulatory control period that is as close as reasonably possible to the ARR for that year.<sup>17</sup> We will review this smoothing profile for the final decision.

In addition, we note that the Queensland Government’s Solar Bonus Scheme is due to expire on 1 July 2028.<sup>18</sup> In our previous decisions, we have accounted for such known impacts which are material (and sits outside of our building block determination) in our total revenue smoothing approach to provide an overall smoother revenue outcome. In our draft decision for SA Power Networks, we have adjusted the revenue smoothing profile to account for the impact of the cessation of the South Australian Government’s Solar Feed-in Tariff Scheme in the 2025–30 period. This is consistent with the approach proposed by SA Power Networks.<sup>19</sup>

<sup>16</sup> NER, cl. 6.5.9(a).

<sup>17</sup> NER, cl. 6.5.9(b)(2). We consider a divergence of up to 3% between the expected revenue and ARR for the last year of the regulatory control period is appropriate, if this can promote smoother price changes for users across the regulatory control period. In the present circumstances, based on the X factors we have determined for Energex, this divergence is around 3.0%.

<sup>18</sup> Queensland Government, *Solar Bonus Scheme 44c feed-in tariff*, 12 March 2024.

<sup>19</sup> AER, *Draft decision–SA Power Networks electricity distribution determination 2025 to 2030, Attachment 1–Annual revenue requirement*, September 2024, p. 9.

Energex did not account for such impact in its initial proposal on revenue smoothing submitted in January 2024. However, it may propose a similar adjustment to its revenue smoothing profile in its revised proposal.

Our draft decision results in an average increase of 6.7% per annum (\$ nominal) in the expected revenue over the 2025–30 period.<sup>20</sup> This consists of initial increase of 6.9% per annum in 2025–26, followed by average annual increases of 6.6% during the remainder four years of the 2025–30 period.<sup>21</sup>

Our draft decision also results in an increase of \$2,570.4 million (42.0%) in nominal dollar terms to Energex’s total ARR relative to that in the 2020–25 period.<sup>22</sup> This is because:

- Approximately 53% of the increase is due to factors potentially outside the control of Energex. This includes higher actual inflation rates for the 2025–30 period, which increase the indexation component of the RAB. It is also driven by higher interest rates for the 2025–30 period, which lead to a higher forecast rate of return (Attachment 3). Together, these changes in market variables result in a much higher return on capital building block compared to the current period.
- The other 47% of the increase is driven by a higher regulatory depreciation (Attachment 4), a higher forecast capex (Attachment 5) and a higher opex (Attachment 6) determined in this draft decision for the 2025–30 period than that approved in the 2020–25 determination.

Figure 1.3 compares our draft decision building blocks for Energex’s 2025–30 period with its proposal for the same period, and the approved unsmoothed revenue for the 2020–25 period.


---

<sup>20</sup> In real 2024–25 dollar terms, our approved expected revenue for Energex results in an average increase of 3.7% per annum over the 2025–30 period.

<sup>21</sup> In real 2024–25 dollar terms, this consists of initial increase of 3.9% per annum in 2025–26, followed by annual average increases of 3.7% during the remainder of the 2025–30 period.

<sup>22</sup> In real 2024–25 dollar terms, our draft decision results in an increase of \$1,073.6 million (15.6%) to Energex’s total ARR relative to that in the 2020–25 period.

**Figure 1.3 Total revenue by building block components (\$ million, 2024–25)**



Source: AER analysis.

### 1.4.2 Shared assets

Distributors, such as Energex, may use assets to provide both the SCS we regulate and unregulated services, for example by the stringing of telecommunications cables on the electricity network poles for the provision of telecommunication services. These assets are called ‘shared assets’.<sup>23</sup> If the revenue from shared assets is material, 10% of the unregulated revenues that a distributor earns from shared assets will be used to reduce the distributor's revenue for SCS.<sup>24</sup>

The shared asset principles establish that use of shared assets should be material before cost reductions are applied.<sup>25</sup> The National Electricity Rules (NER) do not define materiality in this context. Our approach to what constitutes a material use of shared assets is that unregulated use of shared assets in a specific regulatory year is material when a distributor's annual average unregulated revenue from shared assets is expected to be greater than 1% of its expected revenue for that regulatory year.<sup>26</sup>

<sup>23</sup> NER, cl. 6.4.4.

<sup>24</sup> AER, *Shared asset guideline*, November 2013, Appendix A, p. 15.

<sup>25</sup> NER, cl. 6.4.4(c)(3).

<sup>26</sup> AER, *Shared asset guideline*, November 2013, pp. 8–9.

Energex submitted that its total revenue requirement is not subject to a shared asset adjustment because its forecast annual unregulated revenue from shared assets does not exceed the AER's materiality threshold.<sup>27</sup>

We consider Energex's forecast unregulated revenues from shared assets for the 2025–30 period to be reasonable as they are comparable with its historical unregulated revenues from shared assets.<sup>28</sup> Energex's forecast unregulated revenues must be compared to the regulated revenues we determine, rather than those proposed by Energex. Based on the lower expected revenues in our draft decision, we determined that the materiality threshold is still not met in any year the 2025–30 period. As such, our draft decision does not apply any shared asset revenue adjustment.<sup>29</sup>

### 1.4.3 Indicative average distribution price impact

Our draft decision on Energex's expected revenues ultimately affects the prices consumers pay for electricity. There are several steps required in translating our revenue decision into indicative distribution price impacts.

We regulate Energex's SCS under a revenue cap form of control. This means our draft decision on Energex's expected revenues does not directly translate to price impacts. This is because Energex's revenue is fixed under the revenue cap form of control, so changes in the consumption of electricity will affect the prices ultimately charged to consumers.

We are not required to establish the distribution prices for Energex as part of this determination. However, we will assess Energex's annual pricing proposals before the commencement of each regulatory year within the 2025–30 period. In each assessment we will administer the pricing requirements set in this distribution determination.

For this draft decision, we have estimated some indicative average distribution price impacts flowing from our determination on the expected revenues for Energex over the 2025–30 period. In this section, our estimates only relate to SCS (that is, the core electricity distribution charges),<sup>30</sup> not alternative control services (such as public lighting). These indicative price impacts assume that actual energy consumption across the 2025–30 period matches Energex's forecast energy consumption, which we have adopted for this draft decision. We also have not factored in any changes arising from incentive scheme amounts, cost pass throughs or unders/overs reconciliation that usually occur in the annual pricing process to come up with the total allowed revenue.

Figure 1.4 shows Energex's indicative distribution price path over the period from 2020–21 to 2029–30 in real 2024–25 dollar terms based on the expected revenues established in our draft decision compared to Energex's proposed revenue requirement. The indicative price

---

<sup>27</sup> Energex, *Energex–2025–30 regulatory proposal*, January 2024, p. 165.


<sup>28</sup> AER analysis; Energex, *RIN.01-Forecast Data*, January 2024.

<sup>29</sup> We will reassess the materiality of the forecast shared asset unregulated revenues for our final decision.

<sup>30</sup> Energex has reclassified its legacy metering services from alternative control services (ACS) to SCS in its revised proposal based on the AEMC's review of the regulatory framework for metering services in August 2023 and our guidance on metering issued in November 2023. Energex, *2025-30 Regulatory Proposal*, February 2024, pp. 180–181; Our final decision on metering services is discussed in Attachment 20.

path is estimated using the approved expected revenue and dividing by forecast energy consumption for each year of the 2020–25 period.

**Figure 1.4 Indicative distribution price path for Energex (\$/MWh, 2024–25)**



Source: AER analysis.

We estimate that our draft decision on Energex’s annual expected revenue will result in an increase to average distribution charges by about 3.3% per annum over the 2025–30 period in real 2024–25 dollar terms.<sup>31</sup> This compares to the real average increase of approximately 4.8% per annum proposed by Energex over the 2025–30 period.<sup>32</sup> These high-level estimates reflect the aggregate change across the entire network and do not reflect the particular tariff components for specific end users.

Table 1.4 displays in nominal terms the comparison of the revenue and price impacts of Energex’s proposal and our draft decision.

<sup>31</sup> In nominal terms, we estimate average distribution charges to increase by 6.2% per annum. This amount reflects an expected inflation rate of 2.85% per annum as determined in this draft decision.

<sup>32</sup> In nominal terms Energex’s proposal would increase distribution charges by 7.8% per annum. This amount reflects an expected inflation rate of 2.80% per annum as proposed by Energex in its proposal.

**Table 1.4 Comparison of revenue and price impact of Energex’s proposal and the AER’s draft decision (\$ nominal)**

	2024–25	2025–26	2026–27	2027–28	2028–29	2029–30
<b>AER draft decision</b>						
Revenue (\$m, nominal)	1,427.5	1,525.5	1,626.2	1,733.6	1,848.1	1,970.2
Price path (\$/MWh) <sup>a</sup>	66.58	71.05	75.62	80.17	85.25	90.04
Revenue (change %)	–	6.9%	6.6%	6.6%	6.6%	6.6%
Price path (change %)	–	6.7%	6.4%	6.0%	6.3%	5.6%
<b>Energex proposal</b>						
Revenue (\$m, nominal)	1396.4	1510.8	1634.6	1768.6	1913.5	2070.3
Price path (\$/MWh) <sup>a</sup>	65.13	70.37	76.01	81.79	88.27	94.62
Revenue (change %)	–	8.2%	8.2%	8.2%	8.2%	8.2%
Price path (change %)	–	8.0%	8.0%	7.6%	7.9%	7.2%

Source: AER analysis; Energex, *8.03–Model SCS AER PTRM*, February 2024.

(a) The price path is in nominal terms and is constructed by dividing nominal expected revenue for SCS by forecast energy consumption for each year of the period.

#### 1.4.4 Expected impact of draft decision on electricity bills

The annual electricity bill for customers in Energex’s network reflects the combined cost of all the electricity supply chain components—wholesale energy generation, transmission, distribution, metering, and retail costs. This draft decision primarily relates to the distribution charges for Energex’s SCS, which represent on average approximately 27.1% of residential customers’ annual electricity bills and 26.5% of small business customers’ annual electricity bills in Energex’s network area.<sup>33</sup>

We estimate the expected bill impact by varying the distributions charges in accordance with our draft decision in this attachment, while holding all other components—including the metering component—constant.<sup>34</sup> This approach isolates the effect of our draft decision on the core distribution charges only for Energex. However, this does not imply that other components will remain unchanged across the period.<sup>35</sup>

Based on this approach, we expect that our draft decision on the distribution component will increase the average annual residential electricity bill in 2029–30 by about \$197 (\$ nominal)

<sup>33</sup> AER analysis; Energex, *2024-25 annual SCS pricing model*, 28 March 2024; AER, *Revised final determination – Default Market Offer Prices 2024–2025*, June 2024, p. 6.

<sup>34</sup> We also have not factored in any changes arising from incentive scheme amounts, cost pass throughs or unders/overs reconciliation that usually occur in the annual pricing process to come up with the total allowed revenue.

<sup>35</sup> It also assumes that actual energy consumption will equal the forecast adopted in our draft decision. Since Energex operates under a revenue cap, changes in energy consumption will also affect annual electricity bills across the 2025–30 period.



or 9.6% from the 2024–25 total bill level. By comparison, had we accepted Energex’s proposal, the expected change in the distribution component would increase the average annual residential electricity bill in 2029–30 by about \$254 (\$ nominal) or 12.3% from the 2024–25 total bill level.

Similarly, we expect that our draft decision will result in the distribution component of the average annual electricity bill for a small business customer in 2029–30 to increase by about \$397 (\$ nominal) or 9.3% from the 2024–25 total bill level. By comparison, had we accepted Energex’s proposal, the expected change in the distribution component would increase the average annual small business electricity bill in 2029–30 by about \$511 (\$ nominal) or 12.0% from the 2024–25 total bill level.

Our estimated bill impact is based on the typical annual electricity usage of 4,600 kWh and 10,000 kWh for residential and small business customers in Energex’s network, respectively.<sup>36</sup> Therefore, customers with different usage will experience different changes in their bills. We also note that there are other factors, such as metering, wholesale and retail costs, which affect electricity bills.

Table 1.5 shows the estimated impact of our draft decision and Energex’s proposal on the average annual electricity bills for residential and small business customers in its network over the 2025–30 period.

**Table 1.5 Estimated impact of Energex’s proposal and AER’s draft decision on annual electricity bills for the 2025–30 period (\$ nominal)**

	2024–25	2025–26	2026–27	2027–28	2028–29	2029–30
<b>AER draft decision</b>						
Residential annual electricity bill	2,066 <sup>a</sup>	2,103	2,142	2,180	2,223	2,263
Annual change <sup>b</sup>	–	38 (1.8%)	38 (1.8%)	38 (1.8%)	43 (2.0%)	40 (1.8%)
Small business annual electricity bill	4,261 <sup>a</sup>	4,336	4,414	4,491	4,577	4,658
Annual change <sup>b</sup>	–	76 (1.8%)	77 (1.8%)	77 (1.7%)	86 (1.9%)	81 (1.8%)
<b>Energex proposal</b>						
Residential annual electricity bill	2,066 <sup>a</sup>	2,111	2,159	2,209	2,265	2,319
Annual change <sup>b</sup>	–	45 (2.2%)	49 (2.3%)	50 (2.3%)	56 (2.5%)	55 (2.4%)
Small business annual electricity bill	4,261 <sup>a</sup>	4,351	4,449	4,549	4,661	4,771
Annual change <sup>b</sup>	–	91 (2.1%)	98 (2.2%)	100 (2.3%)	112 (2.5%)	110 (2.4%)

<sup>36</sup> AER, *Revised final determination – Default Market Offer Prices 2024–2025*, June 2024, p. 6.

Source: AER analysis; Energex, *2024-25 annual SCS pricing model*, 28 March 2024; AER, *Revised final determination – Default Market Offer Prices 2024–2025*, June 2024, p. 6.

- (a) AER, *Revised final determination – Default Market Offer Prices 2024–2025*, June 2024, p. 6.
- (b) Annual change amounts and percentages are indicative. They are derived by varying the distribution component of the 2024–25 bill amounts in proportion to yearly expected revenue divided by forecast energy as provided by Energex. Actual bill impacts will vary depending on electricity consumption and tariff class.

## Shortened forms

Term	Definition
AER	Australian Energy Regulator
ARR	annual revenue requirement
capex	capital expenditure
CESS	capital expenditure sharing scheme
CPI	consumer price index
DMIAM	demand management innovation allowance mechanism
EBSS	efficiency benefit sharing scheme
NER	National Electricity Rules
NPV	net present value
opex	operating expenditure
PTRM	post-tax revenue model
RAB	regulatory asset base
RFM	roll forward model
SCS	standard control services

---