

Smart Meter Data and LV Monitor

Business Case

17 January 2024





CONTENTS

1	Sum	nmary		3				
2	Bac	Background						
	2.1	Asset	Population	4				
		2.1.1	Service Lines	4				
		2.1.2	Distribution Transformers	5				
		2.1.3	Smart Meters and LV Monitors	6				
3	lder	tified N	eed	7				
	3.1	I Discussions with customers						
	3.2	Counte	erfactual analysis	8				
		3.2.1	Summary	8				
		3.2.2	Costs	8				
		3.2.3	Risks	8				
4	Opti	ons Ana	alysis	12				
	4.1	Option	1 – Overhead Service Lines 6-hour data capture	12				
		4.1.1	Assumptions	12				
		4.1.2	Costs	13				
		4.1.3	Benefits	13				
	4.2	Option	2 – OH Services and 25% of Underground Services	14				
		4.2.1	Assumptions	14				
		4.2.2	Costs	15				
		4.2.3	Benefits	16				
	4.3	Option	3- OH Services only with a mixture of near real-time and 6-hour data capture	17				
		4.3.1	Assumptions	17				
		4.3.2	Costs	18				
		4.3.3	Benefits	18				
	4.4	Option	4 – All services, with capture of live data for 25%	19				
		4.4.1	Assumptions	19				
		4.4.2	Costs	20				
		4.4.3	Benefits	20				
	4.5	Econo	mic Analysis	22				
		4.5.1	Cost summary 2025-30	22				
		4.5.2	NPV analysis	22				



5	Recommendation	23
Append	lices	24
	Appendix 1: Alignment with the National Electricity Rules	24
	Appendix 2: Reconciliation Table	25

List of Tables

Table 1 – Data Analytics Platform	6
Table 2 Benefits overview for Option 1	. 13
Table 3 Benefits overview for Option 2	. 16
Table 4 Benefits overview for Option 3	. 18
Table 5 Benefits overview for Option 4	. 21
Table 6 – Cost summary 2025-30 2022-23 \$m	. 22
Table 7 – NPV analysis \$m	. 22
Table 8 Options Analysis Scorecard	. 23
Table 9 Recommended Option's Alignment with the National Electricity Rules	. 24
Table 10 Reconciliation	. 25

List of Figures

Figure 1 – Service Line Age Profile	. 4
Figure 2 – Distribution Transformer Age Profile	. 5
Figure 3 – Service Line Failure and Defect Weibull Distribution	. 9
Figure 4 – Present Value of a Two-Year Deferral of a service line replacement	. 9
Figure 5 – Distribution Transformer Weibull Distribution	10
Figure 6 – Benefits Cashflows for Option 1	14
Figure 7 – Options 2 Benefits Cashflow	16
Figure 8 – Option 3 Benefits Cashflow	19
Figure 9 – Option 4 Benefits Cashflow	21



1 SUMMARY

Title	Smart Meter Data Acquisition and LV Monitor Installation							
DNSP	Energex							
Expenditure category	Replacement ICT	⊠ Aug	mentation	Conne Fleet	ctions [☐ Tools and ⊠ Opex Step 	Equipment Change	
Identified need (select all applicable)	 □ Legislation □ Regulatory compliance ⊠ Reliability ⊠ CECV ⊠ Safety □ Environment ⊠ Financial ⊠ Other 							
	This case add the need to p cost of replac provides us w The benefits	dresses se rovide a sa ing networ vith the opp that will flo	veral need afe network k assets T portunity to w from this	s - the nee and the n he rollout o obtain por include:	ed to impro eed to red of smart m wer quality	ve outage uce where eters acros data for o	response tir possible, th ss our netwo ur LV netwo	nes, e orks rks.
	 Relia quick 	bility – imp ly for servi	roved relia ce line and	bility from I distributic	identifying on transfori	and respo mer failure	onding more s.	
	CEC enve	V – better v opes for e	visibility all xport.	ows us to :	set less co	nservative	operating	
	 Safet LV set 	y – obtaini ervice lines	ng data wi	ll allow us	to determii	ne broken i	neutrals on	our
	 Financial – monitoring our LV service population will allow us to time our replacements more effectively, reducing replacement costs. 							
Summary of preferred option	Option 2 is th and 6-hour de and obtain ne	e preferred elivered da ear real-tim	d option. Th ta from Sm e data for t	nis option a nart Meters 25% of ou	acquires a s for our O r undergro	mixture of verhead se und service	near real-tir ervice popula e population	ne ation
Expenditure	\$m, direct 2022- 23	2025-26	2026-27	2027-28	2028-29	2029-30	2025-30	
	Opex	2.0	2.4	2.8	3.1	3.5	13.7	
	Capex	2.3	2.3	2.4	2.4	2.4	12.0	
	Totex	4.3	4.7	5.2	5.5	5.9	25.7	
Benefits This investment has been assessed over a 15-year horizon, with t this period estimated at \$378.1m in NPV terms.				the benefits	over			
Consumer engagement	This investment was discussed with our Reset Reference Group, and the business case has been shared with them prior to the submission of the Regulatory Proposal.							



2 BACKGROUND

Acquiring smart meter data have a large range of network and customer benefits, including increased understanding of our network from data to make informed network planning and investment decisions, better ability to enable DER integration, and an ability to better detect electricity theft. In terms of improvement in managing our physical assets, the benefits are largely attributable to an improvement in safety and reliability of our overhead service line and distribution transformer populations. The following sections provide an outline of these asset populations, their condition, and their asset performance.

2.1 Asset Population

2.1.1 Service Lines

Energex overhead services provide a connection for electricity between the Energex overhead low voltage (LV) mains line and designated points of connection owned by individual customers. These overhead services are considered low-cost assets and are typically managed based on population, using regular inspections and systematic performance reviews to identify and address any issues or concerns. Energex currently manages approximately 600,900 services as detailed in Figure 1.



Figure 1 – Service Line Age Profile



2.1.2 Distribution Transformers

Energex's Distribution Transformer asset class population consists of Ground and Kiosk Mounted Transformers, Pole Mounted Transformers, Distribution Regulators, SWER Isolation Transformers, Pole-Mounted Reactors, Substation Earthing Transformers and Substation Service Transformers.

The Distribution Transformer asset class provide capabilities to complete a variety of functions including voltage conversion, voltage regulation, reactive load management and earthing. Transformers, regulators, and reactors are essential components of electrical networks as they allow for the use of cost-effective infrastructure to achieve efficient transportation of electricity across large distances. An age profile of all distribution transformer assets is shown in Figure 2. This age profile distribution reflects that we have 839 assets are over 50 years, and 92 assets are over 70 years across the asset class.



Figure 2 – Distribution Transformer Age Profile



2.1.3 Smart Meters and LV Monitors

Energex currently has an extremely limited data acquisition capability on our LV networks, and virtually no network visibility beyond the distribution transformer. This lack of network data has historically been due to a lack of technology to be able to detect the sorts of issues that would provide benefit to customers. With both the Australian Energy Market Commission and the Queensland Energy and Jobs Plan committing to a high penetration of smart meters, there is now the capability available to monitor our LV network through acquiring metering data.

Metering at the customer premises is the responsibility of the retailer. As such, our access to smart meter data will be through agreement and purchase from the metering providers. In addition to the roll-out of smart meters, communications and monitoring equipment advances in recent years mean we are now able to have the technology to install devices on our LV network to provide the same data as a smart meter.

We have had early discussions with Metering Providers to determine the costs and delivery parameters of data that is acquired from smart meters. In our discussions, we have determined the likely costs for acquiring the data:

- Daily delivery of data \$0 / meter / annum
- 6-hour delivery of data \$0 / meter / annum



3 IDENTIFIED NEED

The identified need is to improve our visibility of our LV networks.

The opportunities that this data acquisition offer our customers comes from us being able to proactively manage our network, with the Reliability, Safety Export and Financial benefit streams from an effective visibility strategy flowing to customers. The opportunities for this investment include:

- LV service in-service faults: LV visibility for a single service cable allows us to respond to faults on our LV service cables more quickly, which reduces the safety and reliability issues that arise from faulty service lines.
- **Distribution Transformer in-service faults:** Widespread LV visibility coverage (where we don't already have a transformer monitor) allows us to respond to faults on our distribution transformers given we can more easily and quickly identify an outage to an area. This will reduce the reliability issues following a distribution transformer outage.
- **DER integration:** Live data on our network will enable us to better manage our dynamic operating envelopes, decreasing the level of curtailment across our network and better targeting investment in increasing capacity.
- Service line replacement deferral: by having a more active monitoring of our service lines, we will be able to defer the proactive replacement of the service line we are monitoring.
- **Grid planning improvements:** increased availability of power quality data on our network allows us to understand loads and export requirements for these networks, informing better demand and energy forecasts at the LV level, in turn reducing the future labour requirements in our forecasting and planning teams.
- Electricity theft: power quality data down to the household level allows us to identify where customers have bypassed the meter and therefore paying a reduced network charge.

3.1 Discussions with customers

We discussed our approach to Smart Meter Data Acquisition with our Reset Reference Group to guide the way we considered the benefits that flowed to customers from this investment. Their feedback was clearly that we should invest based on the highest cost benefit option, without bias to technology or timing of costs. To this end, we have undertaken a cost benefit analysis and sensitivity analysis to determine which of the options we have considered maximises the benefits to our customers and the community.



3.2 Counterfactual analysis

3.2.1 Summary

The counterfactual for this business case is based on not acquiring any smart meter data or establishing any more LV monitoring devices. In understanding the risks and calculating the benefits attributable to a more active monitoring capability of our network, we have split some of our risk costs into a "per service" framework by age, which means that we have calculated the existing risk level for a single service cable or distribution transformer of each age from 1 to 60. This simplifies the understanding of the benefits of a single monitoring device where the benefit will be attributable to a single asset.

We have then modelled those benefits that can be attributed to monitoring capability at scale, such as distribution transformer reliability and DER integration at more of a system level, with the benefits of this capability being shared across our network, rather than only by the single service line asset.

3.2.2 Costs

The counterfactual case has no costs associated with it given there is no investment in data acquisition.

3.2.3 **Risks**

Service line Safety and Reliability risk costs

To simplify the analysis, we have provided an assessment of the risk cost of the counterfactual has been determined as the NPV of the 15-year horizon across the range of the age of our service cables. That is, we have calculated the present value of the risk cost in the safety and reliability for a single service aged 1 to 60. The following assumptions have been utilised in developing the per service line risk costs:

- **Probability of Failure (PoF):** as part of our Service Lines Replacement business case, we calculated a Weibull distribution to represent the PoF for a service line. This incorporates both service failures and service defects. The parameters of this are beta of 2.5 and gamma (characteristic life) of 34. Figure 3 shows our actual failure data and the associated modelled Weibull distribution.
- Likelihood of Consequence (LoC) Reliability: 100% of defects and failures result in a network outage. The assumptions around these outages are:
 - o 4-hour outage for a service failure
 - o 1-hour outage for a service defect
 - o 1kW average consumption for a service line
 - o VCR rage of \$48.18 / kWh
- LoC Safety: utilising historic data and industry experience we have determined the LoC following a failure or defect for service lines to be:
 - o 0.02% of service failures result in a fatality.
 - o 0.0003% of service defects result in a fatality.





Figure 3 – Service Line Failure and Defect Weibull Distribution

As can be seen from Figure 3, our Weibull distribution tends to underestimate the PoF for a service line below 30 years but provides a very accurate representation of failure above this age. We have utilised this curve in assessing the counterfactual risk associated with our service cable failures.

Service line replacement deferral risk cost

Active monitoring of LV services will allow us to have a lower volume proactive replacement program for our service lines assets. To simplify the analysis for this business case, rather than factoring in our entire replacement program as a cost and then factoring in these reduced costs as a benefit shows the present value of a two-year deferral of a service line replacement over time. This factors in a replacement value of \$1000 / service and a cost of capital of 3.5% demonstrates that over the next 10 years, a two-year deferral of a service cable replacement represents between \$40 - \$70 in risk cost.







Distribution Transformer Reliability risk costs

Broader visibility on our LV network also gives us the capability to respond to an outage of a distribution transformer faster. As such, below is the modelling of the total reliability risk associated with our distribution transformers. We haven't modelled the safety risk associated with transformers given that smart meter data and LV monitors don't provide a safety benefit related to distribution transformers. shows the level of risk cost on our service lines by age.

- **Probability of Failure (PoF):** as part of our Distribution Transformer Replacement business case, we calculated a Weibull distribution to represent the PoF for a distribution transformer. The parameters of this are beta of 2.2 and gamma (characteristic life) of 20. Figure 5 shows our actual failure data and the associated modelled Weibull distribution.
- Likelihood of Consequence (LoC) Reliability: 100% of failures result in a network outage. It is important to note that for networks with no or limited visibility, we require customers to notify us of asset failures resulting in outages. AS such, the timeframe for restoration is dependent on the level of information that customers can provide, and the volume of customer calls so that we can determine where the fault in the network is. The assumptions around these outages are:
 - o 3-hour outage for a transformer failure.
 - o 200kW average consumption for a distribution transformer
 - VCR rage of \$48.18 / kWh





As can be seen from Figure 5, our Weibull distribution tends to underestimate the PoF for a service line below 30 years but provides a very accurate representation of failure above this age. We have utilised this curve in assessing the counterfactual risk associated with our transformer failures.



DER Integration risk costs

The benefits of access to live data have been calculated, with a detailed explanation contained in our DER Integration Strategy. In simple terms, better data for our LV network visibility would allow us to better set dynamic operating envelopes and increase the export that customers can utilise on our network, as well as ensuring our investments in increasing hosting capacity for DER are more efficient than would otherwise be the case. As part of the Strategy, we calculated that the difference between a DoE with access to sufficient live data and a more basic DoE has a present value of \$20m in benefits. For this business case, the risk costs associated with the counterfactual is \$5m.

Grid planning and forecasting functionality

Our current limited visibility on LV networks makes forecasting minimum and maximum demands and energy use on our networks difficult. As a result, our planning and forecasting teams require much more effort to correctly forecast this demand and coming up with the associated projects and programs to respond to any identified needs. As our network becomes more complex and load flows in both directions at different times of the day, network data will become more valuable, and increasing the data capture across our network will result in a lower level of effort than would have otherwise been the case. Although we aren't forecasting that data capture would reduce our current planning and forecasting effort, we are forecasting that there will be a reduction in the level of effort required in the planning and forecasting areas than otherwise would have been the case.

Electricity theft

With limited data on our LV networks, electricity theft is difficult for us to detect. Greater visibility of the customer connection arrangement and power quality data at their premises will enable us to determine where this may be occurring. The current rate of theft is difficult for us to determine. However, we have estimated that full visibility would be able to prevent theft from 40 customers / year.



4 OPTIONS ANALYSIS

4.1 Option 1 – Overhead Service Lines 6-hour data capture

This option focuses on acquiring data about overhead service lines to capture the safety and reliability benefits, as well as a deferral of service lines replacement. This option will also result in an improvement in our distribution transformer failure response. This option results in data being captured for around 40% of our overhead service lines.

4.1.1 Assumptions

The following assumptions have been factored into the analysis:

- Data acquisition timeframe this option assumes 6-hour data is captured from smart meters.
- Ratio of data capture this option assumes that we capture the smart meter data that is available for our overhead network and complement this with LV monitoring for those areas where we are unable to source smart meter data.
- Service line safety improvement using 6-hour data will prevent 70% of the safety incidents on our LV service lines. This likely under-represents the success of this program in identifying incidents on our network.
- Service line reliability improvement using 6-hour data will prevent around 10% of the customer outages related to service line failures through being able to respond earlier to faults. This is low due to the lag in data reaching our network operations centre from 6-hour data.
- Distribution transformer reliability improvement -
 - We have around 27,000 transformers that are pole-mounted that will have their reliability improved should they fail in service. This is equal to all pole-mounted transformers above 60kVA in size.
 - Using 6-hour data will prevent around 1% of the customer outages related to transformer failures. This translates to a 30-minute saving in outage for 10% of the cases where the data collection corresponds to the time the fault occurs.
 - We have utilised the existing age profile of our distribution transformer population in combination with the Weibull distribution to determine the benefits attributable.
- DER Integration no benefits are factored into this analysis for DER integration as the approach only considers 6-hour data, which does not provide a material uplift in capacity for export.
- Service line replacement deferral we have factored in a present value benefit of \$20 for a deferral in replacement because of having more active monitoring available.
- **Grid planning uplift** we have forecast that because of an improved level of data capture, we will save around 80 hours of effort in planning the network at \$112 / hour.
- Electricity Theft we have estimated a reduction of theft from 20 customers / year, and assumed an annual use of 4,000kWh at a prevailing rate of \$0.3 / kWh
- Investment horizon this has been assumed to be 15 years to compare options involving more LV monitors, which have an asset life expectation of 15 years.



4.1.2 Costs

The cost of this option once the final uptake is at scale is:

<u>Opex</u>

- Smart meter data acquisition \$0m / year
- Data analytics for LV monitors of \$10 / year, which begins to be accrued after 5 years (not accrued in the 2025-2030 regulatory period)

<u>Capex</u>

• Establishing 3,500 LV monitors at \$850 / monitor to cover those service lines that we expect won't be covered through the smart meter roll-out.

4.1.3 Benefits

A summary of the benefits attributable to our LV monitoring capability are listed in Table 2.

Benefit Type	Benefit Description	Value
Service line safety and reliability	Improvement in fault and defect detection to improve safety and reliability of our network.	\$24.5m / year
Distribution transformer reliability	Improvement in network reliability for customers due to greater visibility throughout our network.	\$0.4m/year
Service line replacement deferral	Ability to defer the replacement of a service line by two years.	\$3.0m / year
DER Integration	Ability to orchestrate DER more accurately on our network	No benefit
Grid Planning uplift	Ability to better plan the network through access to LV data.	\$9k / year
Theft	Ability to detect when a customer has by-passed the meter	\$12k / year

Table 2 Benefits overview for Option 1

The yearly benefits cashflow is shown in Figure 6.





Figure 6 – Benefits Cashflows for Option 1

4.2 Option 2 – OH Services and 25% of Underground Services

This option acquires a mixture of data for overhead and underground service lines to capture the safety and reliability benefits, as well as a deferral of service lines replacement. This option will also result in an improvement in our distribution transformer failure response. To capture the benefits associated with DER integration, this option captures 25% of our overhead network and 25% of our underground network as live data, from either smart meters or LV monitors to enable highly efficient operating envelopes to be produced. This option results in data being captured for around 60% of our LV network.

4.2.1 Assumptions

- Data acquisition timeframe this option assumes live data is utilised for 25% of our OH service lines, 25% of our UG service cables, with the data for the remaining OH services being captured in 6-hour windows.
- Ratio of data capture this option assumes that we capture the smart meter that is available for our overhead network and complement this with LV monitoring for those areas where we are unable to source smart meter data.
- Service line safety improvement using live data will prevent 90% of the safety incidents on our LV service lines. For those with 6-hour data capture, 70% of our incidents will be captured. This likely under-represents the success of this program in identifying incidents on our network.



- Service line reliability improvement using live data will reduce 100% of our reliability incidents by 1 hour, while those with 6-hour data will prevent around 10% of the customer outages related to service line failures through being able to respond earlier to faults. This is low due to the lag in data reaching our network operations centre from 6-hour data.
- Distribution transformer reliability improvement -
 - We have around 27,000 transformers that are pole-mounted and 7,000 that are ground mounted that are above 60kVA in size. These are the transformers that will have their reliability improved should they fail in service. This is equal to all polemounted transformers above 60kVA in size.
 - Using a near real-time data capture will prevent around 15% of the customer outages related to transformer failures on all the 34,000 transformers identified above. This translates to a 30-minute saving on all outages where live data has been captured. This assumes a mixture of live data across all transformers in our network.
 - We have utilised the age profile of our distribution transformer population to determine the benefits attributable.
- **DER Integration** the benefits of the uplift described in our DER Integration Strategy from the basic DoE to the highly accurate DoE have been attributed to this business case. The resultant improvement is entirely attributable to obtaining the critical mass of 25% of live data.
- Service line and cable replacement deferral we have factored in a present value benefit of \$20 for a deferral in replacement because of having more active monitoring available.
- **Grid planning uplift** we have forecast that because of an improved level of data capture, we will save around 160 hours of effort in planning the network at \$112 / hour.
- Electricity Theft we have estimated a reduction of theft from s40 customers / year, and assumed an annual use of 4,000kWh at a prevailing rate of \$0.3 / kWh
- Investment horizon this has been assumed to be 15 years to compare options involving more LV monitors, which have an asset life expectation of 15 years.

4.2.2 Costs

The cost of this program is:

<u>Opex</u>

- 6-hourly smart meter data acquisition \$0m / year
- Data analytics for LV monitors of \$10 / year, which begins to be accrued after 5 years (not accrued in the 2025-2030 regulatory period).

<u>Capex</u>

• Establishing 3,500 LV monitors at \$770 / monitor to cover those service lines that we expect won't be covered through the smart meter roll-out.



4.2.3 Benefits

A summary of the benefits attributable to our LV monitoring capability are list below. These are summarised to yearly figures as the final uptake rate in 2030 as we achieve close to full penetration of smart meters.

Benefit Type	Benefit Description	Value
Service line safety and reliability – 6-hour data	Improvement in fault and defect detection to improve safety and reliability of our network.	\$18.3m / year
Service line safety and reliability – live data	Improvement in fault and defect detection to improve safety and reliability of our network.	\$14.8m / year
Distribution transformer reliability	Improvement in network reliability for customers due to greater visibility throughout our network.	\$11.4m / year
Service line replacement deferral	Ability to defer the replacement of a service line by two years.	\$3.0m / year
DER Integration	Ability to orchestrate DER more accurately on our network	Present value of \$8.9m
Grid Planning uplift	Ability to better plan the network through access to LV data.	\$17k / year
Theft	Ability to detect when a customer has by-passed the meter	\$24k / year

Table 3 Benefits overview for Option 2

The yearly benefits cashflow is shown in Figure 7.



Figure 7 – Options 2 Benefits Cashflow



4.3 Option 3 – OH Services only with a mixture of near real-time and 6hour data capture

This option acquires data for overhead service lines only, in capturing the safety and reliability benefits, as well as a deferral of service lines replacement. This option will also result in an improvement in our distribution transformer failure response. To capture the benefits associated with DER integration, this option requires 25% of our overhead network, from either smart meters or LV monitors to enable highly efficient operating envelopes to be produced. This option results in data capture for around 40% of our overhead service lines.

4.3.1 Assumptions

- Data acquisition timeframe this option assumes near real-time data is utilised for 25% of our OH service lines with data for the remaining OH services being captured in 6-hour windows.
- Ratio of data capture this option assumes that we capture the smart meter that is available for our overhead network and complement this with LV monitoring for those areas where we are unable to source smart meter data.
- Service line safety improvement using live data will prevent 90% of the safety incidents on our LV service lines. For those with 6-hour data capture, 70% of our incidents will be captured. This likely under-represents the success of this program in identifying incidents on our network.
- Service line reliability improvement using live data will reduce 100% of our reliability incidents by 1 hour, while those with 6-hour data will prevent around 10% of the customer outages related to service line failures through being able to respond earlier to faults. This is low due to the lag in data reaching our network operations centre from 6-hour data.
- Distribution transformer reliability improvement -
 - We have around 27,000 transformers that are pole-mounted that will have their reliability improved should they fail in service. This is equal to all pole-mounted transformers above 60kVA in size.
 - Using a near real-time data capture will prevent around 15% of the customer outages related to transformer failures on 27,000 transformers identified above. This translates to a 30-minute saving on all outages where live data has been captured. This assumes a mixture of live data across all transformers in our network.
 - We have utilised the age profile of our distribution transformer population to determine the benefits attributable.
- **DER Integration** the benefits of the uplift described in our DER Integration Strategy from the basic DoE to the highly accurate DoE have been attributed to this business case. The resultant improvement is entirely attributable to obtaining the critical mass of 25% of live data, but only for our overhead networks which equates to 40% of our network.
- Service line and cable replacement deferral we have factored in a present value benefit of \$20 for a deferral in replacement because of having more active monitoring available.
- **Grid planning uplift** we have forecast that because of an improved level of data capture, we will save around 80 hours of effort in planning the network at \$112 / hour.



- Electricity Theft we have estimated a reduction of theft from 20 customers / year, and assumed an annual use of 4,000kWh at a prevailing rate of \$0.3 / kWh
- **Investment horizon** this has been assumed to be 15 years to compare options involving more LV monitors, which have an asset life expectation of 15 years.

4.3.2 Costs

The cost of this program is:

<u>Opex</u>

• 6-hourly smart meter data acquisition - \$0m / year

• Data analytics for LV monitors of \$10 / year, which begins to be accrued after 5 years (not accrued in the 2025-2030 regulatory period).

<u>Capex</u>

• Establishing 3,500 LV monitors at \$770 / monitor to cover those service lines that we expect won't be covered through the smart meter roll-out.

4.3.3 Benefits

A summary of the benefits attributable to our LV monitoring capability are list below. These are summarised to yearly figures as the final uptake rate in 2030 as we achieve close to full penetration of smart meters.

Benefit Type	Benefit Description	Value
Service line safety and reliability – 6-hour data	Improvement in fault and defect detection to improve safety and reliability of our network.	\$18.3m / year
Service line safety and reliability – live data	Improvement in fault and defect detection to improve safety and reliability of our network.	\$14.8m / year
Distribution transformer reliability	Improvement in network reliability for customers due to greater visibility throughout our network.	\$8.9m / year
Service line replacement deferral	Ability to defer the replacement of a service line by two years.	\$3.0m / year
DER Integration	Ability to orchestrate DER more accurately on our network	Present value of \$3.3m
Grid Planning uplift	Ability to better plan the network through access to LV data.	\$8.5k / year
Theft	Ability to detect when a customer has by-passed the meter	\$12k / year

Table 4 Benefits overview for Option 3

The yearly benefits cashflow is shown in Figure 8





Figure 8 – Option 3 Benefits Cashflow

4.4 Option 4 – All services, with capture of live data for 25%

This option acquires data for overhead and underground service lines. This captures the safety and reliability benefits, as well as a deferral of service lines replacement, while also resulting in an improvement in our distribution transformer failure response for both overhead and underground areas with the acquisition of near real-time data. This also captures the benefits associated with DER integration to enable highly efficient operating envelopes to be produced. This option results in data being captured for around 80-90% of our services.

4.4.1 Assumptions

- Data acquisition timeframe this option assumes near real-time data is utilised for 25% of our OH and UG service lines, with the remaining services captured in a 6-hour window
- Ratio of data capture this option assumes that we capture the smart meter that is available for our overhead and underground network and complement this with LV monitoring for those areas where we are unable to source smart meter data.
- Service line safety improvement using live data will prevent 90% of the safety incidents on our LV service lines. For those with 6-hour data capture, 70% of our incidents will be captured. This likely under-represents the success of this program in identifying incidents on our network.
- Service line reliability improvement using live data will reduce 100% of our reliability incidents by 1 hour for service line failure, while those with 6-hour data will prevent around



10% of the customer outages related to service line failures through being able to respond earlier to faults. This is low due to the lag in data reaching our network operations centre from 6-hour data.

- Distribution transformer reliability improvement -
 - We have factored in an improvement in the failure response to all 34,000 transformers in our network above 60kVA.
 - We have utilised the age profile of our distribution transformer population to determine the benefits attributable.
- **DER Integration** the benefits of the uplift described in our DER Integration Strategy from the basic DoE to the highly accurate DoE have been attributed to this business case. The resultant improvement is entirely attributable to obtaining the critical mass of 25% of live data for both our overhead and underground networks.
- Service line and cable replacement deferral we have factored in a present value benefit of \$20 for a deferral in replacement because of having more active monitoring available.
- **Grid planning uplift** we have forecast that because of an improved level of data capture, we will save around 360 hours of effort in planning the network at \$112 / hour.
- Electricity Theft we have estimated a reduction of theft from 80 customers / year, and assumed an annual use of 4,000kWh at a prevailing rate of \$0.3 / kWh
- **Investment horizon** this has been assumed to be 15 years to compare options involving more LV monitors, which have an asset life expectation of 15 years.

4.4.2 Costs

The cost of this program is:

<u>Opex</u>

• 6-hourly smart meter data acquisition - \$0m / year



• Data analytics for LV monitors of \$10 / year, which begins to be accrued after 5 years.

<u>Capex</u>

• Establishing 3,500 LV monitors at \$770 / monitor to cover those service lines that we expect won't be covered through the smart meter roll-out.

4.4.3 Benefits

A summary of the benefits attributable to our LV monitoring capability are list below. These are summarised to yearly figures as the final uptake rate in 2030 as we achieve close to full penetration of smart meters.



Table 5 Benefits overview for Option 4

Benefit Type	Benefit Description	Value
Service line safety and reliability – 6-hour data	Improvement in fault and defect detection to improve safety and reliability of our network.	\$18.3m / year
Service line safety and reliability – live data	Improvement in fault and defect detection to improve safety and reliability of our network.	\$14.7m / year
Distribution transformer reliability	Improvement in network reliability for customers due to greater visibility throughout our network.	\$11.4m / year
Service line replacement deferral	Ability to defer the replacement of a service line by two years.	\$3.0m / year
DER Integration	Ability to orchestrate DER more accurately on our network	Present value of \$8.9m
Grid Planning uplift	Ability to better plan the network through access to LV data.	\$34k / year
Theft	Ability to detect when a customer has by-passed the meter	\$48k / year

The yearly benefits cashflow is shown in Figure 9.



Figure 9 – Option 4 Benefits Cashflow



4.5 Economic Analysis

4.5.1 Cost summary 2025-30

Table 6 shows the costs for each option for the 2025-2030 period. These have been broken up into Opex and Capex to ensure the step change value can be separately reported from the capex implications of the program.

Option	Expenditure Type	2025-26	2026-27	2027-28	2028-29	2029-30	Total 2025-30
Option 1	Opex	\$0.2	\$0.3	\$0.3	\$0.3	\$0.3	\$1.4
	Capex	\$2.3	\$2.3	\$2.4	\$2.4	\$2.4	\$12.0
Option 2	Opex	\$2.0	\$2.4	\$2.8	\$3.1	\$3.5	\$13.7
	Capex	\$2.3	\$2.3	\$2.4	\$2.4	\$2.4	\$12.0
Option 3	Opex	\$0.9	\$1.1	\$1.2	\$1.4	\$1.5	\$6.1
	Capex	\$2.3	\$2.3	\$2.4	\$2.4	\$2.4	\$12.0
Option 4	Opex	\$2.1	\$2.5	\$2.8	\$3.2	\$3.5	\$14.0
	Capex	\$2.3	\$2.3	\$2.4	\$2.4	\$2.4	\$12.0

Table 6 – Cost summary 2025-30 2022-23 \$m

4.5.2 NPV analysis

The NPV of all options has been calculated, with the results shown in Table 7.

Table 7 – NPV analysis \$m

Option	Net Present Value	Present Value of Costs	Present Value of Benefits
Option 1	\$222.01	\$28.76	\$250.78
Option 2	\$378.06	\$59.74	\$437.80
Option 3	\$368.43	\$40.59	\$409.02
Option 4	\$377.11	\$60.22	\$437.33

As can be seen from Table 7, Option 2 maximises the value to our customers, with benefits of obtaining greater visibility on our network outweighing the costs associated with obtaining it. However, these results are so close to warrant a consideration of the costs of obtaining the data. As such, we are proposing to proceed with Option 2 in the next regulatory control period as it is a lower upfront cost option.



5 RECOMMENDATION

Consistent with the cost benefit analysis of acquiring data for our LV network, Option 2 is the recommended option. This includes obtaining all data for overhead services, with 25% being near real-time, and obtaining 25% of data for our underground networks.

Criteria	Option 1 – 6-hour data for OH	Option 2 – Mixture of data for OH and 25% for underground	Option 3 – Mixture of 6-hour and near real- time data for OH	Option 4 – All customer data, 25% near real- time	
Net Present Value	\$222.0.m \$378.1m		\$368.4m	377.1m	
Investment cost (TCO)	\$13.3m	13.3m \$25.7m \$18.1		\$26.0m	
Detailed analysis – Benefits	Provides an improvement in safety and reliability for our OH services network.	Provides safety benefits for our OH services network. Provides reliability benefits for our services and transformer network across all our network.	Provides safety benefits for our OH network. Provides reliability benefits to our OH network.	Provides the highest overall benefits to customers.	
Detailed analysis – Risks	Does not provide any reliability benefits for transformer failures.	Provides slightly less grid planning visibility than option 4.	Does not provide any benefits for our customers connected to the underground networks.	Provides the most benefits to our customers.	

Table 8 Options Analysis Scorecard



APPENDICES

Appendix 1: Alignment with the National Electricity Rules

Table 9 Recommended Option's Alignment with the National Electricity Rules

NER	capital expenditure objectives	Rationale					
A building block proposal must include the total forecast capital expenditure which the DNSP considers is required in order to achieve each of the following (the capital expenditure objectives):							
6.5.7	(a) (1)						
meet or manage the expected demand for standard control services over that period		reliable network and improve our ability to respond to asset failures.					
6.5.7 (a) (2)		We have a regulatory obligation to operate our network safely and					
comply with all applicable regulatory obligations or requirements associated with the provision of standard control services;		undertake expenditure that is proportionate to the risk involved. We have demonstrated a positive cost-benefit analysis for this expenditure.					
6.5.7	(a) (3)						
to the oblig	e extent that there is no applicable regulatory ation or requirement in relation to:						
(i)	the quality, reliability or security of supply of standard control services; or						
(ii)	the reliability or security of the distribution system through the supply of standard control services,	This business case is supported by positive cost benefit analysis.					
to the relevant extent:							
(iii)	maintain the quality, reliability and security of supply of standard control services; and						
(iv)	maintain the reliability and security of the distribution system through the supply of standard control services						
6.5.7 (a) (4)							
maintain the safety of the distribution system through the supply of standard control services.		This business case proposes the use of smart meter data to detect asset failures that could result in public safety issues.					
NER capital expenditure criteria		Rationale					
The AER must be satisfied that the forecast capital expenditure reflects each of the following:							
6.5.7 (c) (1) (i)							
the efficient costs of achieving the capital expenditure objectives		identified.					
6.5.7 (c) (1) (ii)							
the costs that a prudent operator would require to achieve the capital expenditure objectives		prudency.					
6.5.7	(c) (1) (iii)						
a realistic expectation of the demand forecast and cost inputs required to achieve the capital expenditure objectives		The costs associated with this initiative have been worked through with suppliers of data and analytics tools.					



Appendix 2: Reconciliation Table

Table 10 Reconciliation

Expenditure	DNSP	2025-26	2026-27	2027-28	2028-29	2029-30	2025-30
Opex in business case \$m, direct 2022-23	Energex	\$2.0	\$2.4	\$2.8	\$3.1	\$3.5	\$13.7
Capex in business case \$m, direct 2022-23	Energex	\$2.3	\$2.3	\$2.4	\$2.4	\$2.4	\$12.0